

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 21, 2005

Registration No. 333-123644

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 4
TO
Form S-1
REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey

*(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)*

8200

*(Primary Standard Industrial Classification
Code Number)*

57-1150621

(IRS Employer Identification Number)

**200 Executive Drive, Suite 340
West Orange, New Jersey 07052
(973) 736-9340**

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

David F. Carney
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Lincoln Educational Services Corporation
200 Executive Drive, Suite 340
West Orange, New Jersey 07052
(973) 736-9340

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Rohan S. Weerasinghe, Esq.
Shearman & Sterling LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 848-4000
Facsimile: (212) 848-7179

Cristopher Greer, Esq.
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
7 Times Square
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 326-2000
Facsimile: (212) 326-2061

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale of the securities to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box.

If this form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box.

The registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective

date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to such Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion

Preliminary Prospectus dated June 21, 2005

PROSPECTUS

4,000,000 Shares



Lincoln Educational Services Corporation

Common Stock

This is Lincoln Educational Services Corporation's initial public offering. Lincoln Educational Services Corporation is selling 3,000,000 shares and certain of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation's stockholders are selling 1,000,000 shares.

We expect the public offering price to be between \$19.00 and \$21.00 per share. Currently, no public market exists for the shares. After pricing of the offering, we expect that the shares will be quoted on The Nasdaq National Market under the symbol "LINC."

Investing in our common stock involves risks that are described in the "Risk Factors" section beginning on page 8 of this prospectus.

| | <u>Per Share</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|--|------------------|--------------|
| Public offering price | \$ | \$ |
| Underwriting discount | \$ | \$ |
| Proceeds, before expenses, to us | \$ | \$ |
| Proceeds, before expenses, to the selling stockholders | \$ | \$ |

The underwriters may also purchase up to an additional 600,000 shares from Lincoln Educational Services Corporation at the public offering price, less the underwriting discount, within 30 days from the date of this prospectus to cover overallotments.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The shares will be ready for delivery on or about _____, 2005.

Merrill Lynch & Co.

Banc of America Securities LLC

Lehman Brothers

Harris Nesbitt

Jefferies & Company, Inc.

Robert W. Baird & Co.

The date of this prospectus is _____, 2005.





SOME PROGRAMS MAY NOT BE
AVAILABLE AT ALL CAMPUSES.



LINCOLN

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES



 **LINCOLN TECH**

 CAREER EDUCATION INSTITUTE

 **THE CITTONE INSTITUTE**

 **DADC**
DALLAS AUTO-MOTIVE & DIESEL COLLEGE

 **NADC**
NASHVILLE AUTO-DIESEL COLLEGE

 **SOUTHWESTERN COLLEGE**

 **NEW ENGLAND TECH**
NEW ENGLAND TECHNICAL INSTITUTE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Summary | 1 |
| Risk Factors | 8 |
| Forward-Looking Statements | 22 |
| Use of Proceeds | 23 |
| Dividend Policy | 23 |
| Capitalization | 24 |
| Dilution | 25 |
| Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information | 26 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Selected Financial Information | 31 |
| Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 33 |
| Business | 52 |
| Regulatory Environment | 67 |
| Management | 83 |
| Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions | 104 |
| Principal and Selling Stockholders | 107 |
| Description of Capital Stock | 110 |
| Description of Credit Agreement | 113 |
| Shares Eligible for Future Sale | 114 |
| Material United States Federal Tax Considerations for Non-United States Holders | 116 |
| Underwriting | 119 |
| Legal Matters | 123 |
| Experts | 123 |
| Where You Can Find Additional Information | 123 |
| Index to Financial Statements | F-1 |

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not, and the underwriters have not, authorized any other person to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not, and the underwriters are not, making an offer to sell these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date on the front cover of this prospectus or other date stated in this prospectus. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since that date.

SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. You should read the entire prospectus carefully before making an investment decision, especially the risks of investing in our common stock discussed under "Risk Factors." See Note 1 of the notes to our financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus for a description of the reorganization and the formation of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation. Unless otherwise indicated, industry data are derived from publicly available sources, which we have not independently verified.

Lincoln Educational Services Corporation

Overview

We are a leading and diversified for-profit provider of career-oriented post-secondary education as measured by total enrollment and number of graduates. We offer recent high school graduates and working adults degree and diploma programs in four principal areas of study: automotive technology, allied health (which includes programs for medical administrative assistants, medical assistants, pharmacy technicians and massage therapists), skilled trades and business and information technology. At March 31, 2005, our automotive technology program, our allied health program, our skilled trades program and our business and information technology program accounted for 44.2%, 37.5%, 13.2% and 5.1%, respectively, of our total enrollment. We had 17,630 students enrolled as of March 31, 2005 and our average enrollment for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was 17,692 students, an increase of 14.8% from average enrollment of 15,417 for the three months ended March 31, 2004. For the three months ended March 31, 2005, our revenues were \$70.9 million, which represents an increase of 19.0% from the three months ended March 31, 2004. Excluding our acquisition of New England Technical Institute in January 2005, our revenues and average enrollments would have increased by 13.2% and 8.7%, respectively, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004. For the year ended December 31, 2004, our revenues were \$261.3 million, which represents a 31.4% increase from the year ended December 31, 2003. Excluding our acquisition of Southwestern College in January 2004, our revenues and average enrollments for the year ended December 31, 2004 would have increased by 27.5% and 22.4%, respectively, compared to the year ended December 31, 2003.

As of March 31, 2005, we operated 32 campuses throughout the United States under seven well-established brands with long operating histories: Lincoln Technical Institute (58 years of operation), Nashville Auto-Diesel College (85 years), Denver Automotive & Diesel College (41 years), The Cittone Institute (36 years), Career Education Institute (21 years), Southwestern College (26 years) and New England Technical Institute, which we acquired on January 11, 2005 and has been in operation for 65 years.

Market Opportunity

The U.S. Department of Education has reported that, over the last 25 years, enrollments at for-profit post-secondary institutions have experienced a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 10% versus enrollments at traditional colleges and universities, which had a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 1% over the same time period. In particular, we believe there is significant and growing demand by employers for individuals possessing skills in each of our areas of study. The U.S. Department of Labor estimates that there will be approximately 4.8 million job openings due to growth and net replacement from 2002 to 2012 in careers related to the areas of study we offer. However, we cannot provide any assurance that we will benefit from this projected growth.

Business Strengths

We believe that the following strengths differentiate us from our competitors:

Program Diversification. We believe the breadth of our areas of study and the diversity of our offerings increase our addressable market and enhance our overall revenue stability and operational flexibility.

Operational Flexibility. Our operational infrastructure is highly flexible and enables us to adapt quickly to changing market trends.

Strong Brands and Geographic Presence. We believe that our schools have established a strong competitive position in their respective local markets and significant brand recognition with prospective students and employers.

Strong Marketing and Student Recruitment. We use a variety of marketing and recruiting methods to attract students and increase enrollments.

Experienced Management Team. Our senior management team has over 200 years of combined experience and an average of approximately 20 years of experience in the for-profit, post-secondary education industry.

Growth Strategy

Our goal is to strengthen our role as a leading and diversified provider of career-oriented post-secondary education by continuing to pursue the following growth strategies:

Expand Existing Areas of Study and Existing Facilities. We believe we can leverage our existing operations to capitalize on the growing demand from students and employers in our local markets.

Enter New Geographic Markets and New Areas of Study. We believe we can increase our student enrollments by entering selected new geographic markets and new areas of study that we believe have significant growth potential and where we can leverage our reputation and operating expertise.

Opportunistically Pursue Strategic Acquisitions. In evaluating potential acquisitions, we seek to identify schools with the potential for program replication at our existing campuses, new areas of study, new markets with attractive growth opportunities and advanced degree programs.

Introduce Online Education Alternatives. We recently launched our online initiative to capitalize on the rapidly growing demand for, and flexibility provided by, online education alternatives.

Regulation

Our schools are subject to extensive regulation by federal and state governmental agencies and by accrediting commissions. In particular, the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder by the U.S. Department of Education, or the DOE, set forth numerous standards that our schools must satisfy to participate in various federal student financial assistance programs under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, which we refer to as Title IV Programs. In 2004, we derived approximately 81% of our revenues, calculated on a cash basis as required by DOE regulations, from Title IV Programs administered by the DOE. To participate in Title IV Programs, each of our schools must receive and maintain authorization by the applicable education agencies in the state in which each school is physically located, be accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the DOE and be certified as an eligible institution by the DOE.

Each year, based on the financial information submitted by an eligible institution that participates in Title IV Programs, the DOE calculates a composite score to measure the institution's financial

responsibility. If an institution's composite score is below 1.0, the institution is considered by the DOE to lack financial responsibility. Based on our calculations, our audited financial statements for the 2003 and 2004 fiscal years reflect a composite score of 2.1 and 1.8, respectively. The DOE has not yet reviewed our financial statements for the 2004 fiscal year. However, as a result of the corrections of certain errors in our prior financial statements, the DOE recomputed our consolidated composite scores for the 2001 and 2002 fiscal years and concluded that the recomputed consolidated composite scores for those two years were below 1.0. The DOE has informed us that, as a result, for a period of three years effective December 30, 2004, all of our current and future institutions have been placed on "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status," and are required to timely notify the DOE with respect to certain enumerated oversight and financial events. We have entered into a settlement agreement with the DOE with respect to compliance issues related to this matter.

Recent Developments

On January 11, 2005, we acquired the rights, title and interests in the assets used in the conduct and operation of New England Technical Institute for a purchase price of \$19.7 million. New England Technical Institute operates four schools in New Britain, Hamden, Shelton and Cromwell, Connecticut and provides programs in automotive technology, allied health, business and information technology and skilled trades, including culinary arts and nursing. This acquisition expanded our presence in the northeastern United States and increased the number of campuses we operate from 28 to 32.

Principal Stockholders

Stonington Partners, Inc. II, or Stonington, our principal stockholder, is a private investment fund with over \$1.0 billion in assets under management. Alexis P. Michas, a Managing Partner of Stonington, and James J. Burke, Jr., a Partner, are members of our board of directors. As of May 31, 2005, Stonington owns, through Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., its controlled subsidiary, 79.1% of the fully diluted equity of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, and, after giving effect to this offering, Stonington will continue to own, through Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., 69.9% of the fully diluted equity of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation after giving effect to the exercise of all options that have vested or vest prior to July 31, 2005.

Hart Capital LLC, or Hart Capital, which is the managing member of our second largest stockholder Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC, is a private investment fund that invests primarily in the education sector. Hart Capital has assisted us in our acquisitions and online programs. Steven W. Hart, the owner and President of Hart Capital, is a member of our board of directors. As of May 31, 2005, Hart Capital owns, through Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC, 13.6% of the fully diluted equity of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation; and after giving effect to this offering, Hart Capital will continue to own, through Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC, 8.4% of the fully diluted equity of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation after giving effect to the exercise of all options that have vested or vest prior to July 31, 2005.

Our principal executive offices are located at 200 Executive Drive, Suite 340, West Orange, New Jersey 07052, and our telephone number at that address is (973) 736-9340.

The Offering

Common stock offered:

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--------|
| By us | 3,000,000 | shares |
| By the selling stockholders | 1,000,000 | shares |
| Total | 4,000,000 | shares |
| Shares outstanding after the offering | 24,710,985 | shares |

Use of proceeds

We estimate that our proceeds from this offering will be approximately \$53.4 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. We intend to use these net proceeds primarily to repay \$31 million outstanding as of April 30, 2005, under our new credit agreement and for working capital and general corporate purposes, which may include the expansion of existing facilities, strategic acquisitions and the development and introduction of new programs. Any amounts we repay under our new credit agreement may be reborrowed in the future. See "Use of Proceeds."

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares by the selling stockholders.

Risk factors

See "Risk Factors" and other information included in this prospectus for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in shares of our common stock.

Proposed Nasdaq National Market symbol LINC

The number of shares of common stock outstanding after this offering is based on the number of shares outstanding as of May 31, 2005 and assumes no exercise of outstanding options after that date. Unless we indicate otherwise, all information in this prospectus (1) assumes no exercise of the overallotment option granted to the underwriters and (2) excludes:

- 1,985,495 shares issuable upon the exercise of options outstanding as of May 31, 2005 (including 110,602 shares to be issued in connection with the exercise of options by certain selling stockholders, immediately prior to the consummation of this offering.); and
- shares available for future option grants.

Summary Historical and Pro Forma Financial and Other Data

The following tables set forth our summary historical and pro forma financial and other data as of the dates and for the periods indicated. The summary historical consolidated statement of operations data for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary historical condensed consolidated statement of operations data for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 and the summary historical condensed consolidated balance sheet data as of March 31, 2005 have been derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. In our opinion, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the audited financial statements and include all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for fair presentation of the information set forth therein. The results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full year. The summary pro forma statement of operations and other data for the year ended December 31, 2004 and for the three months ended March 31, 2005 reflects our acquisitions of Southwestern College (which occurred on January 23, 2004) and New England Technical Institute (which occurred on January 11, 2005) as if each of these acquisitions had occurred on January 1, 2004. The summary as adjusted balance sheet data as of March 31, 2005 reflects our sale of common stock in this offering at an estimated initial public offering price of \$20.00 per share (the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover of this prospectus) and the application of the net proceeds as described under "Use of Proceeds." The historical results included below and elsewhere in this prospectus are not necessarily indicative of our future performance. The summary pro forma and as adjusted financial data do not purport to be indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved had these acquisitions and this offering been consummated as of the dates assumed, nor are the results necessarily indicative of our future results of operations.

You should read the following summary historical, pro forma and as adjusted financial and other data in conjunction with "Selected Financial Information," "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements along with the notes thereto, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2004 Pro Forma | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 Pro Forma |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 139,201 | \$ 198,881 | \$ 261,275 | \$ 276,223 | \$ 59,574 | \$ 70,869 | \$ 71,147 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Educational services and facilities | 66,580 | 85,201 | 104,843 | 113,204 | 24,163 | 29,084 | 29,292 |
| Selling, general and administrative(1) | 71,753 | 97,714 | 130,941 | 136,570 | 31,778 | 39,284 | 39,355 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of assets | (1,082) | (22) | 368 | 368 | — | — | — |
| Total costs and expenses | 137,251 | 182,893 | 236,152 | 250,142 | 55,941 | 68,368 | 68,647 |
| Operating income | 1,950 | 15,988 | 25,123 | 26,081 | 3,633 | 2,501 | 2,500 |
| Gain on sale of securities | — | 211 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Interest income | 212 | 133 | 104 | 133 | 13 | 8 | 8 |
| Interest expense(2) | (2,937) | (2,758) | (3,007) | (4,145) | (842) | (1,194) | (1,194) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (775) | 13,574 | 22,220 | 22,069 | 2,804 | 1,315 | 1,314 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (101) | 5,355 | 9,242 | 9,179 | 1,186 | 543 | 543 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (674) | \$ 8,219 | \$ 12,978 | \$ 12,890 | \$ 1,618 | \$ 772 | \$ 771 |

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2004 Pro Forma | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 Pro Forma |
| | (dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) per share: | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.59 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.04 |
| Diluted | (0.03) | 0.37 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Other Data: | | | | | | | |
| EBITDA(3) | \$ 9,151 | \$ 26,078 | \$ 35,872 | \$ 37,686 | \$ 3,729 | \$ 2,557 | \$ 2,556 |
| EBITDA margin(4) | 6.6% | 13.1% | 13.7% | 13.6% | 6.3% | 3.6% | 3.6% |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 9,723 | 27,166 | 26,674 | — | 957 | (3,059) | — |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (2,155) | (8,680) | (38,311) | — | (20,617) | (22,886) | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (3,889) | 19,400 | 4,117 | — | (3,008) | (5,451) | — |
| Capital expenditures | 3,598 | 13,154 | 23,813 | — | 6,276 | 3,195 | — |
| Number of campuses (at end of period) | 23 | 23 | 28 | 32 | 28 | 32 | 32 |
| Average student enrollments | 9,155 | 12,487 | 16,266 | 17,362 | 15,417 | 17,692 | 17,692 |

As of March 31, 2005

| Actual | As Adjusted |
|--------|-------------|
|--------|-------------|

(dollars in thousands)

Balance Sheet Data:

| | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,049 | \$ 32,449 |
| Working capital (deficit)(5) | (14,782) | 7,618 |
| Total assets | 156,098 | 178,498 |
| Total debt(6) | 42,006 | 11,006 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$ 59,501 | \$ 112,901 |

- (1) Selling, general and administrative expenses include (a) a \$2.1 million charge for the year ended December 31, 2004 to give effect to the one-time write-off of deferred offering costs, (b) compensation costs of approximately \$0.5 million, \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively, and \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively, related to the adoption of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" and (c) a \$0.7 million one-time non-cash charge for the year ended December 31, 2004 related to the timing of rent expense for our schools during the period of construction of leasehold improvements and to align the depreciation lives of our leasehold improvements to the terms of our noncancellable leases, including renewal options.
- (2) Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2005 includes a \$0.4 million non-cash charge resulting from the write-off of deferred finance costs under our old credit agreement.
- (3) EBITDA is a measurement not recognized in financial statements presented in accordance with GAAP. We define EBITDA as net (loss) income before interest expense (net of interest income), provision (benefit) for income taxes and depreciation and amortization. For the year ended December 31, 2003, EBITDA includes a gain on sale of securities of \$0.2 million. EBITDA is presented because we believe it is a useful indicator of our performance and our ability to make strategic acquisitions and meet capital expenditure and debt service requirements. It is not, however, intended to represent cash flows from operations as defined by GAAP and should not be used as an alternative to net income (loss) as an indicator of operating performance or to cash

flow as a measure of liquidity. EBITDA is not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. Following is a reconciliation of net (loss) income to EBITDA:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2004 Pro Forma | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 Pro Forma |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (674) | \$ 8,219 | \$ 12,978 | \$ 12,890 | \$ 1,618 | \$ 772 | \$ 771 |
| Interest expense, net | 2,725 | 2,625 | 2,903 | 4,012 | 829 | 1,186 | 1,186 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (101) | 5,355 | 9,242 | 9,179 | 1,186 | 543 | 543 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,201 | 9,879 | 10,749 | 11,605 | 96 | 56 | 56 |
| EBITDA | \$ 9,151 | \$ 26,078 | \$ 35,872 | \$ 37,686 | \$ 3,729 | \$ 2,557 | \$ 2,556 |

- (4) EBITDA divided by revenues.
- (5) Working capital (deficit) is defined as current assets less current liabilities.
- (6) Total debt consists of long-term debt including current portion, capital leases, auto loans and a finance obligation for \$9.7 million incurred in connection with a sale-leaseback transaction as further described in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider each of the risks below, together with all of the other information contained in this prospectus, before deciding to invest in shares of our common stock.

If any of the following risks is not managed, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected, the market price of your shares could decline, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Failure of our schools to comply with the extensive regulatory requirements for school operations could result in financial penalties, restrictions on our operations and loss of external financial aid funding, which could affect our revenues and impose significant operating restrictions on us.

Our schools are subject to extensive regulation by federal and state governmental agencies and by accrediting commissions. In particular, the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder by the U.S. Department of Education, or the DOE, set forth numerous standards that our schools must satisfy to participate in various federal student financial assistance programs under Title IV Programs. In 2004, we derived approximately 81% of our revenues, calculated on a cash basis, from Title IV Programs. To participate in Title IV Programs, each of our schools must receive and maintain authorization by the applicable education agencies in the state in which each school is physically located, be accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the DOE and be certified as an eligible institution by the DOE. These regulatory requirements cover the vast majority of our operations, including our educational programs, facilities, instructional and administrative staff, administrative procedures, marketing, recruiting, financial operations and financial condition. These regulatory requirements also affect our ability to acquire or open additional schools, add new educational programs, expand existing educational programs, and change our corporate structure and ownership.

If any of our schools fails to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, the school and its related main campus and/or additional locations could be subject to the loss of state licensure or accreditation, the loss of eligibility to participate in and receive funds under the Title IV Programs, the loss of the ability to grant degrees, diplomas and certificates, provisional certification, or the imposition of liabilities or monetary penalties, each of which could adversely affect our revenues and impose significant operating restrictions upon us. In addition, the loss by any of our schools of its accreditation, its state authorization or license, or its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs constitutes an event of default under our new credit agreement, which we and our subsidiaries entered into with a syndicate of banks on February 15, 2005, which could result in the acceleration of all amounts then outstanding under our new credit agreement. The various regulatory agencies periodically revise their requirements and modify their interpretations of existing requirements and restrictions. We cannot predict with certainty how any of these regulatory requirements will be applied or whether each of our schools will be able to comply with these requirements or any additional requirements instituted in the future.

If we or our eligible institutions do not meet the financial responsibility standards prescribed by the DOE, as has occurred in the past, we may be required to post letters of credit or our eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs could be terminated or limited, which could significantly reduce our student population and revenues.

To participate in Title IV Programs, an eligible institution must satisfy specific measures of financial responsibility prescribed by the DOE or post a letter of credit in favor of the DOE and possibly accept other conditions on its participation in Title IV Programs. Any obligation to post one or more letters of credit would increase our costs of regulatory compliance. Our inability to obtain a

required letter of credit or limitations on, or termination of, our participation in Title IV Programs could limit our students' access to various government-sponsored student financial aid programs, which could significantly reduce our student population and revenues.

Each year, based on the financial information submitted by an eligible institution that participates in Title IV Programs, the DOE calculates three financial ratios for the institution: an equity ratio, a primary reserve ratio and a net income ratio. Each of these ratios is scored separately and then combined into a composite score to measure the institution's financial responsibility. As a result of the corrections of certain errors, including accounting for advertising costs, a sale leaseback transaction, rent and certain other individually insignificant adjustments, in our prior financial statements, the DOE recomputed our consolidated composite scores for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002 and concluded that the recomputed consolidated composite scores for those two years were below 1.0. In addition, we identified certain additional errors in our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003 relating to our accounting for stock-based compensation and accrued bonuses that did not result in a recomputation of our 2003 composite score. The DOE has informed us that as a result, for a period of three years effective December 30, 2004, all of our current and future institutions have been placed on "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status," a less favorable Title IV fund payment system that requires us to credit student accounts before drawing down Title IV funds and to timely notify the DOE with respect to certain enumerated oversight and financial events. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we may lose our eligibility for continued participation in Title IV Programs or may be required to post irrevocable letters of credit. We expect that the DOE will also consider our audited financial statements and composite scores for our most recent fiscal year as well as for other fiscal years after 2001 and 2002 when each of our institutions is next required to apply for recertification to participate in Title IV Programs. Additionally, since the DOE concluded that the previously computed composite scores for 2001 and 2002 were overstated, we agreed to pay \$165,000 to the DOE pursuant to a settlement agreement with respect to compliance issues related to this matter. We paid this amount on March 3, 2005.

If we fail to demonstrate "administrative capability" to the DOE, our business could suffer.

DOE regulations specify extensive criteria an institution must satisfy to establish that it has the requisite "administrative capability" to participate in Title IV Programs. These criteria require, among other things, that the institution:

- comply with all applicable Title IV regulations;
- have capable and sufficient personnel to administer Title IV Programs;
- have acceptable methods of defining and measuring the satisfactory academic progress of its students;
- provide financial aid counseling to its students; and
- submit in a timely manner all reports and financial statements required by the regulations.

If an institution fails to satisfy any of these criteria or any other DOE regulation, the DOE may:

- require the repayment of Title IV funds;
- impose a less favorable payment system for the institution's receipt of Title IV funds;
- place the institution on provisional certification status; or
- commence a proceeding to impose a fine or to limit, suspend or terminate the participation of the institution in Title IV Programs.

If we are found not to have satisfied the DOE's "administrative capability" requirements, one or more of our institutions, including its additional locations, could be limited in its access to, or lose, Title IV Program funding. A decrease in Title IV funding could adversely affect our revenues, as we received approximately 81% of our revenues (calculated on a cash basis of accounting) from Title IV Programs in 2004.

We are subject to fines and other sanctions if we pay impermissible commissions, bonuses or other incentive payments to individuals involved in certain recruiting, admissions or financial aid activities, which could increase our cost of regulatory compliance and adversely affect our results of operations.

A school participating in Title IV Programs may not provide any commission, bonus or other incentive payment based on success in enrolling students or securing financial aid to any person involved in any student recruiting or admission activities or in making decisions regarding the awarding of Title IV Program funds. The law and regulations governing this requirement do not establish clear criteria for compliance in all circumstances. If we are found to have violated this law, we could be fined or otherwise sanctioned by the DOE or we could face litigation filed under the *qui tam* provisions of the Federal False Claims Act. See "Business—Legal Proceedings."

If our schools do not maintain their state authorizations and their accreditation, they may not participate in Title IV Programs, which could adversely affect our student population and revenues.

An institution that grants degrees, diplomas or certificates must be authorized by the appropriate education agency of the state in which it is located and, in some cases, other states. Requirements for authorization vary substantially among states. The school must be authorized by each state in which it is physically located in order for its students to be eligible for funding under Title IV Programs. Loss of state authorization by any of our schools from the education agency of the state in which the school is located would end that school's eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs and could cause us to close the school. The annual certificate of approval for our school in Texas will expire on June 21, 2005. The school timely submitted to the Texas Workforce Commission its annual application for the renewal of its certificate of approval and expects the Commission to issue a new certificate of approval reflecting a renewal date of June 22, 2005, but cannot give any assurance as to when and if that will occur.

A school must be accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the DOE in order to participate in Title IV Programs. Accreditation is a non-governmental process through which an institution submits to qualitative review by an organization of peer institutions, based on the standards of the accrediting agency and the stated aims and purposes of the institution, including achieving and maintaining stringent retention, completion and placement outcomes. Certain states require institutions to maintain accreditation as a condition of continued authorization to grant degrees. The Higher Education Act requires accrediting commissions recognized by the DOE to review and monitor many aspects of an institution's operations and to take appropriate disciplinary action when the institution fails to comply with the accrediting agency's standards. Loss of accreditation by any of our main campuses would result in the termination of eligibility of that school and all of its branch campuses to participate in Title IV Programs and could cause us to close the school and its branches.

Our institutions would lose eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs if the percentage of their revenues derived from those programs were too high, which could reduce our student population and revenues.

Each of our institutions would immediately lose its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs if it derived more than 90% of its revenues (calculated on a cash basis) from those programs in any fiscal year as calculated in accordance with DOE regulations. Any institution that violates this rule is ineligible to apply to regain its eligibility until the following fiscal year. Based on our calculations, none

of our institutions received more than 90% of its revenues in fiscal year 2004, and our institution with the highest percentage received approximately 87% of its revenues, from Title IV Programs. If any of our institutions loses eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, that loss would cause an event of default under our new credit agreement, which could result in the acceleration of any indebtedness then outstanding under our new credit agreement, and would also adversely affect our students' access to various government-sponsored student financial aid programs, which could reduce our student population and revenues. These calculations are required to be made on a cash basis of accounting.

Our institutions would lose eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs if their former students defaulted on repayment of their federal student loans in excess of specified levels, which could reduce our student population and revenues.

An institution of higher education, such as each of our institutions, loses its eligibility to participate in some or all Title IV Programs if its former students default on the repayment of their federal student loans in excess of specified levels. If any of our institutions exceeds the official student loan default rates published by the DOE, it will lose eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs. That loss would adversely affect our students' access to various government-sponsored student financial aid programs, which could reduce our student population and revenues.

We are subject to sanctions if we fail to correctly calculate and timely return Title IV Program funds for students who withdraw before completing their educational program, which could increase our cost of regulatory compliance and decrease our profit margin.

An institution participating in Title IV Programs must correctly calculate the amount of unearned Title IV Program funds that have been credited to students who withdraw from their educational programs before completing them and must return those unearned funds in a timely manner, generally within 30 days of the date the institution determines that the student has withdrawn. If the unearned funds are not properly calculated and timely returned, we may have to post a letter of credit in favor of the DOE or may be otherwise sanctioned by the DOE, which could increase our cost of regulatory compliance and adversely affect our results of operations. One of our schools, Southwestern College, made late returns of Title IV Program funds in excess of the DOE's prescribed threshold. As a result, in accordance with DOE regulations, we submitted a letter of credit in favor of the DOE in the amount of \$28,400. Another of our schools, Nashville Auto-Diesel College, made late returns of Title IV Program funds in excess of the DOE's prescribed threshold during periods that predated our acquisition of that school. As a result, in accordance with DOE regulations, we submitted a letter of credit in favor of the DOE in the amount of \$327,000. Based on the absence of late returns of Title IV Program funds in excess of the DOE's prescribed thresholds in Nashville Auto-Diesel College's compliance audits for the 2002 fiscal year after our acquisition and for the 2003 fiscal year, we were allowed to have this letter of credit expire in accordance with its terms in March 2004. We could be required to provide letters of credit in favor of the DOE in the future if any of our institutions makes late returns of Title IV Program funds in excess of the DOE's prescribed thresholds, which could increase our cost of regulatory compliance.

If regulators do not approve our acquisition of a school that participates in Title IV Programs, the acquired school would no longer be permitted to participate in Title IV Programs, which could impair our ability to operate the acquired school as planned or to realize the anticipated benefits from the acquisition of that school.

If we acquire a school that participates in Title IV Programs, we must obtain approval from the DOE and applicable state education agencies and accrediting commissions in order for the school to be able to continue operating and participating in Title IV Programs. An acquisition can result in the temporary suspension of the acquired school's participation in Title IV Programs unless we submit to

the DOE a timely and materially complete application for recertification and the DOE issues a temporary provisional program participation agreement. If we were unable to timely re-establish the state authorization, accreditation or DOE certification of the acquired school, our ability to operate the acquired school as planned or to realize the anticipated benefits from the acquisition of that school could be impaired. Southwestern College received an executed provisional program participation agreement from the DOE. In connection with our acquisition of New England Technical Institute, that institution received an executed temporary provision program participation agreement. We are in the process of obtaining final approval of that acquisition from the DOE. We expect the DOE approval issued to New England Technical Institute at the conclusion of the pending change in ownership approval process to be provisional.

If regulators do not approve or delay their approval of transactions involving a change of control of our company or any of our schools, our ability to participate in Title IV Programs may be impaired.

If we or any of our schools experience a change of control under the standards of applicable state education agencies, our accrediting commissions or the DOE, we or the affected schools must seek the approval of the relevant regulatory agencies in order for us or the acquired school to participate in Title IV Programs. Transactions or events that constitute a change of control include significant acquisitions or dispositions of our common stock or significant changes in the composition of our board of directors. Some of these transactions or events may be beyond our control. Our failure to obtain, or a delay in receiving, approval of any change of control from any state in which our schools are located or other states as the case may be, our accrediting commissions or the DOE could impair or result in the termination of our accreditation, state licensure or ability to participate in Title IV Programs. Our failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, approval of any change of control from any state in which we do not have a school but in which we recruit students could require us to suspend our recruitment of students in that state until we receive the required approval. The potential adverse effects of a change of control with respect to participation in Title IV Programs could influence future decisions by us and our stockholders regarding the sale, purchase, transfer, issuance or redemption of our stock. In addition, the adverse regulatory effect of a change of control also could discourage bids for your shares of our common stock and could have an adverse effect on the market price of your shares.

The DOE and both of our accrediting agencies have confirmed that this offering will not be a change of control under their respective standards. Each school has received confirmation from the educational agency that authorizes the school to operate in the state in which it is physically located that the offering will not be a change of control with the exception of our five schools in Pennsylvania and our school in Kentucky. Our Pennsylvania schools will be subject to review by the Pennsylvania Department of Education to reaffirm their approvals as a result of this offering. Although we expect the Pennsylvania Department of Education to reaffirm the approvals of our Pennsylvania schools after this offering, there can be no assurance that the agency will reaffirm those approvals. We have been informed that the Kentucky State Board for Proprietary Education is scheduled to determine whether the offering constitutes a change of control on June 23, 2005. If the agency determines that the offering is a change of control, our Kentucky school would be required to submit a change in ownership application to the Kentucky State Board for Proprietary Education.

Congress may change the law or reduce funding for Title IV Programs, which could reduce our student population, revenues or profit margin.

Congress periodically revises the Higher Education Act and other laws governing Title IV Programs and annually determines the funding level for each Title IV Program. During 2005, Congress is expected to devote significant attention to reauthorizing the Higher Education Act, which will likely result in numerous changes. Approximately 81% of our revenues in 2004 (calculated on a cash basis of accounting) were derived from Title IV programs. Any action by Congress that significantly reduces

funding for Title IV Programs or the ability of our schools or students to receive funding through these programs could reduce our student population and revenues. Congressional action may also require us to modify our practices in ways that could result in increased administrative costs and decreased profit margin.

In addition current requirements for student and school participation in Title IV Programs may change or one or more of the present Title IV Programs could be replaced by other programs with materially different student or school eligibility requirements. If we cannot comply with the provisions of the Higher Education Act, as they may be revised, or if the cost of such compliance is excessive, our revenues or profit margin could be adversely affected.

Regulatory agencies or third parties may conduct compliance reviews, bring claims or initiate litigation against us. If the results of these reviews or claims are unfavorable to us, our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Because we operate in a highly regulated industry, we are subject to compliance reviews and claims of noncompliance and lawsuits by government agencies and third parties. If the results of these reviews or proceedings are unfavorable to us, or if we are unable to defend successfully against third-party lawsuits or claims, we may be required to pay money damages or be subject to fines, limitations on the operations of our business, loss of federal funding, injunctions or other penalties. Even if we adequately address issues raised by an agency review or successfully defend a third-party lawsuit or claim, we may have to divert significant financial and management resources from our ongoing business operations to address issues raised by those reviews or defend those lawsuits or claims. The DOE conducted a program review at Southwestern College and issued an initial program review report in which it identified potential instances of noncompliance with DOE requirements. Southwestern College has submitted an initial response to the report, is in the process of submitting additional information to the DOE and is waiting for a response or determination from the DOE. The DOE also conducted a program review at Nashville Auto-Diesel College and issued a final program determination stating that Nashville Auto-Diesel College had taken the required corrective actions to resolve four of five findings of noncompliance with DOE requirements and requiring that Nashville Auto-Diesel College pay the DOE \$14,499 based on the fifth finding. The fifth finding related to Nashville Auto-Diesel College granting Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant matching funds to ineligible students. Nashville Auto-Diesel College expects to pay this amount to the DOE in the second quarter of 2005.

Risks Related to Our Business

If we fail to effectively manage our growth, we may incur higher costs and expenses than we anticipate in connection with our growth.

We have experienced a period of significant growth since 1999. Our continued growth has strained and may in the future strain our management, operations, employees or other resources. We will need to continue to assess the adequacy of our staff, controls and procedures to meet the demands of our continued growth. We may not be able to maintain or accelerate our current growth rate, effectively manage our expanding operations or achieve planned growth on a timely or profitable basis. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively while maintaining appropriate internal controls, we may experience operating inefficiencies that likely will increase our expected costs.

We may not be able to successfully integrate acquisitions into our business, which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Since 1999, we have acquired a number of schools and we may continue to grow our business through acquisitions. The anticipated benefits of an acquisition may not be achieved unless we successfully integrate the acquired school or schools into our operations and are able to effectively

manage, market and apply our business strategy to any acquired schools. Integration challenges include, among others, regulatory approvals, significant capital expenditures, assumption of known and unknown liabilities and our ability to control costs. The successful integration of future acquisitions may also require substantial attention from our senior management and the senior management of the acquired schools, which could decrease the time that they devote to the day-to-day management of our business. The difficulties of integration may initially be increased by the necessity of integrating personnel with disparate business backgrounds and corporate cultures. Management's focus on the integration of acquired schools and on the application of our business strategy to those schools could interrupt or cause loss of momentum in our other ongoing activities.

Failure on our part to establish and operate additional schools or campuses or effectively identify suitable expansion opportunities could reduce our ability to implement our growth strategy.

As part of our business strategy, we anticipate opening and operating new schools or campuses. Establishing new schools or campuses poses unique challenges and requires us to make investments in management and capital expenditures, incur marketing expenses and devote other resources that are different, and in some cases greater than those required with respect to the operation of acquired schools.

To open a new school or campus, we would be required to obtain appropriate state and accrediting commission approvals, which may be conditioned or delayed in a manner that could significantly affect our growth plans. In addition, to be eligible for federal Title IV Program funding, a new school or campus would have to be certified by the DOE and would require federal authorization and approvals. In the case of entirely separate, freestanding U.S. schools, a minimum of two years' operating history is required to be eligible for Title IV Program funding. We cannot be sure that we will be able to identify suitable expansion opportunities to maintain or accelerate our current growth rate or that we will be able to successfully integrate or profitably operate any new schools or campuses. Additionally, we began offering online courses in mid-2004. We have not previously offered courses online and, accordingly, these programs may not be successful. A failure by us to effectively identify suitable expansion opportunities and to establish and manage the operations of newly established schools or online offerings could slow our growth and make any newly established schools or our online programs unprofitable or more costly to operate than we had planned.

Our success depends in part on our ability to update and expand the content of existing programs and develop new programs in a cost-effective manner and on a timely basis.

Prospective employers of our graduates increasingly demand that their entry-level employees possess appropriate technological skills. These skills are becoming more sophisticated in line with technological advancements in the automotive, diesel, information technology, or IT, skilled trades and healthcare industries. Accordingly, educational programs at our schools must keep pace with those technological advancements. The expansion of our existing programs and the development of new programs may not be accepted by our students, prospective employers or the technical education market. Even if we are able to develop acceptable new programs, we may not be able to introduce these new programs as quickly as our competitors or as quickly as employers demand. If we are unable to adequately respond to changes in market requirements due to financial constraints, unusually rapid technological changes or other factors, our ability to attract and retain students could be impaired, our placement rates could suffer and our revenues could be adversely affected.

In addition, if we are unable to adequately anticipate the requirements of the employers we serve, we may offer programs that do not teach skills useful to prospective employers or students seeking a technical or career-oriented education which could affect our placement rates and our ability to attract and retain students, causing our revenues to be adversely affected.

We may not be able to retain our key personnel or hire and retain the personnel we need to sustain and grow our business.

Our success has depended, and will continue to depend, largely on the skills, efforts and motivation of our executive officers who generally have significant experience within the post-secondary education industry. Our success also depends in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly qualified faculty, school directors, administrators and corporate management. Due to the nature of our business, we face significant competition in the attraction and retention of personnel who possess the skill sets that we seek. In addition, key personnel may leave us and subsequently compete against us. Furthermore, we do not currently carry "key man" life insurance on any of our employees. The loss of the services of any of our key personnel, or our failure to attract and retain other qualified and experienced personnel on acceptable terms, could have an adverse effect on our ability to operate our business efficiently and to execute our growth strategy.

If we are unable to hire, retain and continue to develop and train our employees responsible for student recruitment, the effectiveness of our student recruiting efforts would be adversely affected.

In order to support revenue growth, we need to hire new employees dedicated to student recruitment and retain and continue to develop and train our current student recruitment personnel. Our ability to develop a strong student recruiting team may be affected by a number of factors, including our ability to integrate and motivate our student recruiters; our ability to effectively train our student recruiters; the length of time it takes new student recruiters to become productive; regulatory restrictions on the method of compensating student recruiters; the competition in hiring and retaining student recruiters; and our ability to effectively manage a multi-location educational organization. If we are unable to hire, develop or retain our student recruiters, the effectiveness of our student recruiting efforts would be adversely affected.

Competition could decrease our market share and cause us to lower our tuition rates.

The post-secondary education market is highly competitive. Our schools compete for students and faculty with traditional public and private two-year and four-year colleges and universities and other proprietary schools, many of which have greater financial resources than we do. Some traditional public and private colleges and universities, as well as other private career-oriented schools, offer programs that may be perceived by students to be similar to ours. Most public institutions are able to charge lower tuition than our schools, due in part to government subsidies and other financial resources not available to for-profit schools. Some of our competitors also have substantially greater financial and other resources than we have which may, among other things, allow our competitors to secure strategic relationships with some or all of our existing strategic partners or develop other high profile strategic relationships or devote more resources to expanding their programs and their school network, all of which could affect the success of our marketing programs. In addition, some of our competitors already have a more extended or dense network of schools and campuses than we do, enabling them to recruit students more effectively from a wider geographic area. If we are unable to compete effectively with these institutions for students, our student enrollments and revenues will be adversely affected.

We may be required to reduce tuition or increase spending in response to competition in order to retain or attract students or pursue new market opportunities. As a result, our market share, revenues and operating margin may be decreased. We cannot be sure that we will be able to compete successfully against current or future competitors or that the competitive pressures we face will not adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

Our financial performance depends in part on our ability to continue to develop awareness and acceptance of our programs among high school graduates and working adults looking to return to school.

The awareness of our programs among high school graduates and working adults looking to return to school is critical to the continued acceptance and growth of our programs. Our inability to continue to develop awareness of our programs could reduce our enrollments and impair our ability to increase our revenues or maintain profitability. The following are some of the factors that could prevent us from successfully marketing our programs:

- student dissatisfaction with our programs and services;
- diminished access to high school student populations;
- our failure to maintain or expand our brand or other factors related to our marketing or advertising practices; and
- our inability to maintain relationships with automotive, diesel, healthcare, skilled trades and IT manufacturers and suppliers.

If students fail to pay their outstanding balances, our profitability will be adversely affected.

We offer a variety of payment plans to help students pay the portion of their education expense not covered by financial aid programs. These balances are unsecured and not guaranteed. Although we have reserved for estimated losses related to unpaid student balances, losses in excess of the amounts we have reserved for bad debts will result in a reduction in our profitability.

An increase in interest rates could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain students.

Interest rates have reached historical lows in recent years, creating a favorable borrowing environment for our students. Much of the financing our students receive is tied to floating interest rates. Therefore, any future increase in interest rates will result in a corresponding increase in the cost to our existing and prospective students of financing their education, which could result in a reduction in our student population and revenues. Higher interest rates could also contribute to higher default rates with respect to our students' repayment of their education loans. Higher default rates may in turn adversely impact our eligibility for Title IV Program participation, which could result in a reduction in our student population.

Seasonal and other fluctuations in our results of operations could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

Our results of operations fluctuate as a result of seasonal variations in our business, principally due to changes in total student population. Student population varies as a result of new student enrollments, graduations and student attrition. Historically, our schools have had lower student populations in our first and second quarters than in the remainder of the year because fewer new students start during the summer months. Our expenses, however, do not generally vary at the same rate as changes in our student population and revenues and, as a result, do not fluctuate significantly on a quarterly basis. We expect quarterly fluctuations in results of operations to continue as a result of seasonal enrollment patterns. Such patterns may change, however, as a result of acquisitions, new school openings, new program introductions and increased enrollments of adult students. These fluctuations may result in volatility or have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

We cannot predict our future capital needs, and if we are unable to secure additional financing when needed, our operations and revenues would be adversely affected.

We may need to raise additional capital in the future to fund our operations, expand our markets and program offerings or respond to competitive pressures or perceived opportunities. We cannot be sure that additional financing will be available to us on favorable terms, or at all. If adequate funds are not available when required or on acceptable terms, we may be forced to cease our operations and, even if we are able to continue our operations, our ability to increase student enrollments and revenues would be adversely affected.

Our schools' failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations governing our activities could result in financial penalties and other costs which could adversely impact our results of operations.

We use hazardous materials at some of our schools and generate small quantities of waste, such as used oil, antifreeze, paint and car batteries. As a result, our schools are subject to a variety of environmental laws and regulations governing, among other things, the use, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous substances and waste, and the clean-up of contamination at our facilities or off-site locations to which we send or have sent waste for disposal. In the event we do not maintain compliance with any of these laws and regulations, or are responsible for a spill or release of hazardous materials, we could incur significant costs for clean-up, damages, and fines or penalties which could adversely impact our results of operations.

Approximately 31% of our schools are concentrated in the states of New Jersey and Pennsylvania and a change in the general economic or regulatory conditions in these states could increase our costs and have an adverse effect on our revenues.

As of March 31, 2005, we operated 32 campuses in 15 states. Ten of those schools are located in the states of New Jersey and Pennsylvania. As a result of this geographic concentration, any material change in general economic conditions in New Jersey or Pennsylvania could reduce our student enrollment in our schools located in these states and thereby reduce our revenues. In addition, the legislatures in the states of New Jersey and/or Pennsylvania could change the laws in those states or adopt regulations regarding private, for-profit post-secondary coeducation institutions which could place additional burdens on us. If we were unable to comply with any such new legislation, we could be prohibited from operating in those jurisdictions, which could reduce our revenues.

The number of lenders and financial institutions that make federally guaranteed student loans and that guarantee Title IV loans is relatively small. The loss of any of these lenders or guarantors could cause a material adverse effect on our revenues.

In 2004, six lenders provided funding to more than 91% of the students at the schools we owned. While we believe that other lenders would be willing to make federally guaranteed student loans to our students if loans were no longer available from our current lenders, we cannot assure you that there are other lenders who would make federally guaranteed loans to our students. If such alternative lenders were not forthcoming, our enrollment and our results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

In addition, the primary guarantors for the Title IV loans of our students are USA Group, a subsidiary of Sallie Mae, and New Jersey Higher Education Assistance Authority, an independent agency of the State of New Jersey. These two agencies currently guarantee 91% of all federally guaranteed student loans made to students enrolled at our schools. There are six other guaranty agencies that guarantee student loans made to students enrolled at our schools. We believe that other guaranty agencies would be willing to guarantee loans to our students if any of these guarantee agencies ceased guaranteeing those loans or reduced the volume of loans they guarantee; however, if

we cannot find other guarantors, our enrollment and our revenues could be materially and adversely affected.

Risks Related to the Offering

The price of our common stock may be volatile and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Volatility in the market price of our common stock may prevent you from being able to sell your shares at or above the price you paid for your shares. The market price of our common stock could fluctuate significantly for various reasons, which include:

- our quarterly or annual earnings or those of other companies in our industry;
- the public's reaction to our press releases, our other public announcements and our filings with the SEC;
- changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by research analysts who track our common stock or the stocks of other companies in our industry;
- new laws or regulations or new interpretations of laws or regulations applicable to our business;
- changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;
- changes in general conditions in the United States and global economies or financial markets, including those resulting from war, incidents of terrorism or responses to such events; and
- sales of common stock by our directors and executive officers.

In addition, in recent years, the stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant impact on the market price of securities issued by many companies, including companies in our industry. The price of our common stock could fluctuate based upon factors that have little or nothing to do with our company, and these fluctuations could materially reduce our stock price.

In the past, following periods of market volatility in the price of a company's securities, security holders have often instituted class action litigation. If the market value of our common stock experiences adverse fluctuations and we become involved in this type of litigation, regardless of the outcome, we could incur substantial legal costs and our management's attention could be diverted from the operation of our business, causing our business to suffer.

There is no existing market for our common stock and we do not know if one will develop to provide you with adequate liquidity.

Prior to this offering, there has not been a public market for our common stock. An active market for our common stock may not develop following the completion of this offering, or if developed, may not be maintained. If an active trading market does not develop, you may have difficulty selling any of our common stock that you buy. The initial public offering price for the shares will be determined by negotiations between us and the representative of the underwriters and may not be indicative of prices that will prevail in the open market following this offering. Consequently, you may not be able to sell shares of our common stock at prices equal to or greater than the price paid by you in this offering.

Future sales of our common stock, including shares purchased in this offering, in the public market could lower our stock price.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market following this offering by our existing stockholders, upon the exercise of outstanding stock options or by persons who acquire shares in this offering may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Such sales could

also create public perception of difficulties or problems with our business. These sales might also make it more difficult for us to sell securities in the future at a time and price that we deem necessary or appropriate.

Upon the completion of this offering, we will have outstanding 24,710,985 shares of common stock, assuming no exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option and no exercise of outstanding options after May 31, 2005, of which:

- all of the 4,000,000 shares we and the selling stockholders are selling in this offering may be resold in the public market immediately after this offering, other than shares purchased by our affiliates; and
- 20,710,985 shares will be "restricted securities" as defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act eligible for sale in the public market pursuant to the provisions of Rule 144, of which 20,607,210 shares are subject to lock-up agreements and will become available for resale in the public market beginning 180 days after the date of this prospectus.

With limited exceptions, these lock-up agreements prohibit a stockholder from selling, contracting to sell or otherwise disposing of any common stock or securities that are convertible or exchangeable for common stock for 180 days from the date of this prospectus, although Merrill Lynch may, in its sole discretion and at any time without notice, release all or any portion of the securities subject to these lock-up agreements. Merrill Lynch has advised us that it has no present intent or arrangement to release any shares subject to a lock-up, and will consider the release of any lock-up on a case-by-case basis. Upon a request to release any shares subject to a lock-up, Merrill Lynch would consider the particular circumstances surrounding the request, including, but not limited to, the length of time before the lock-up expires, the number of shares requested to be released, reasons for the request, the possible impact on the market or our common stock and whether the holder of our shares requesting the release is an officer, director or other affiliate of ours. As a result of these lock-up agreements, notwithstanding earlier eligibility for sale under the provisions of Rule 144, none of these shares may be sold until at least 180 days after the date of this prospectus. A total of 20,662,812 shares of common stock are subject to the lock-up agreements which amount gives effect to the exercise of options to purchase approximately an additional 110,602 shares of our common stock by certain selling stockholders immediately prior to the consummation of this offering.

We have reserved up to 5% of the shares to be sold in this offering for sale to certain of our current stockholders, and to certain of our business associates and related persons. If any of our current stockholders or certain option holders subject to lock-up agreements purchase these reserved shares, the shares will be restricted from sale under the lock-up agreements. If any of these shares are purchased by persons who are not current stockholders, such shares will not be subject to lock-up agreements.

As restrictions on resale end, our stock price could drop significantly if the holders of these restricted shares sell them or are perceived by the market as intending to sell them. These sales might also make it more difficult for us to sell securities in the future at a time and at a price that we deem appropriate.

Anti-takeover provisions in our certificate of incorporation, our bylaws and New Jersey law could discourage a change of control that our stockholders may favor, which could negatively affect our stock price.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws and applicable provisions of the New Jersey Business Corporation Act may make it more difficult and expensive for a third party to acquire control of us even if a change of control would be beneficial to the interests of our stockholders. These provisions could discourage potential takeover attempts and could adversely affect the market price of

our common stock. For example, applicable provisions of the New Jersey Business Corporation Act may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control by prohibiting us from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of five years after the person becomes an interested stockholder. Furthermore, we expect that our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws, which will be in effect at the time this offering is consummated, will:

- authorize the issuance of blank check preferred stock that could be issued by our board of directors to thwart a takeover attempt;
- prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would otherwise allow holders of less than a majority of stock to elect some directors;
- require super-majority voting to effect amendments to certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation;
- limit who may call special meetings of both the board of directors and stockholders;
- prohibit stockholder action by non-unanimous written consent and otherwise require all stockholder actions to be taken at a meeting of the stockholders;
- establish advance notice requirements for nominating candidates for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at stockholders' meetings; and
- require that vacancies on the board of directors, including newly created directorships, be filled only by a majority vote of directors then in office.

We can issue shares of preferred stock without shareholder approval, which could adversely affect the rights of common stockholders.

Our certificate of incorporation permits us to establish the rights, privileges, preferences and restrictions, including voting rights, of future series of our preferred stock and to issue such stock without approval from our stockholders. The rights of holders of our common stock may suffer as a result of the rights granted to holders of preferred stock that may be issued in the future. In addition, we could issue preferred stock to prevent a change in control of our company, depriving common stockholders of an opportunity to sell their stock at a price in excess of the prevailing market price.

Our principal stockholder will continue to own a large percentage of our voting stock after this offering, which will allow it to control substantially all matters requiring shareholder approval.

Immediately after this offering, Stonington, our principal stockholder, will directly or indirectly hold approximately 69.9% of our outstanding shares. Accordingly, it will control us through its ability to determine the outcome of the election of our directors, to amend our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and to take other actions requiring the vote or consent of stockholders, including mergers, going private transactions and other extraordinary transactions, and the terms of any of these transactions. The ownership positions of this stockholder may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control or a change in the composition of our board of directors. In addition, two members of our board of directors are partners of Stonington. As a result, Stonington has an added ability to influence certain matters, such as determining compensation of our executive officers.

We will have broad discretion in applying a portion of the net proceeds of this offering and may not use those proceeds in ways that will enhance our market value.

Our management has broad discretion over the use of the portion of the proceeds that we receive from this offering. If we do not apply these funds effectively, we may lose significant business

opportunities. Furthermore, our stock price could decline if the market does not view our use of the proceeds from the offering favorably.

You will suffer immediate and substantial dilution.

The initial public offering price per share is substantially higher than the pro forma net tangible book value per share immediately after the offering. As a result, you will pay a price per share that substantially exceeds the book value of our assets after subtracting our liabilities. At the offering price of \$20.00 per share (the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus), you will incur immediate and substantial dilution in the amount of \$17.60 per share. As of March 31, 2005, we also had outstanding stock options to purchase shares of our common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$5.95 per share. To the extent these options are exercised, there will be further dilution.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains "forward-looking statements," which include information relating to future events, future financial performance, strategies, expectations, competitive environment, regulation and availability of resources. These forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements regarding: proposed new programs; expectations that regulatory developments or other matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity; statements concerning projections, predictions, expectations, estimates or forecasts as to our business, financial and operating results and future economic performance; and statements of management's goals and objectives and other similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as "may," "should," "could," "would," "predicts," "potential," "continue," "expects," "anticipates," "future," "intends," "plans," "believes," "estimates," and similar expressions, as well as statements in future tense, identify forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements should not be read as a guarantee of future performance or results, and will not necessarily be accurate indications of the times at, or by, which such performance or results will be achieved. Forward-looking statements are based on information available at the time those statements are made and/or management's good faith belief as of that time with respect to future events, and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual performance or results to differ materially from those expressed in or suggested by the forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our results of operations;
- our failure to comply with the extensive regulatory framework applicable to our industry;
- our success in updating and expanding the content of existing programs and developing new programs in a cost-effective manner or on a timely basis;
- risks associated with the opening of new campuses;
- risks associated with integration of acquired schools, including New England Technical Institute;
- industry competition;
- our ability to continue to execute our growth strategies;
- conditions and trends in our industry;
- general and economic conditions; and
- other factors discussed under the headings "Risk Factors," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Business" and "Regulatory Environment."

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information, except to the extent required by applicable securities laws.

USE OF PROCEEDS

The net proceeds from the sale of the 3,000,000 shares of common stock offered by us will be approximately \$53.4 million, based on an estimated initial public offering price of \$20.00 per share (the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus), after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares to be sold by the selling stockholders.

The primary purposes of the offering are to create a public market for our common stock, obtain additional capital and repay outstanding indebtedness under our new credit agreement, which we entered into with a syndicate of banks on February 15, 2005 with a five year term. We expect to use \$31 million of the net proceeds of this offering to repay all amounts outstanding under our new credit agreement. We expect to use the balance of the net proceeds from this offering, including any net proceeds we receive if the underwriters elect to exercise their overallotment option, for working capital and general corporate purposes, which may include the expansion of existing facilities, strategic acquisitions and the development and introduction of new programs. Any amounts repaid under our new credit agreement may be reborrowed at any time. As of April 30, 2005, we had outstanding borrowings of \$31.0 million and \$4.1 million in letters of credit under our new credit agreement. We paid interest on the amounts outstanding under our new credit agreement at an adjusted LIBOR rate, as defined in the new credit agreement, of 4.07% as of April 30, 2005. Amounts under the new credit agreement were primarily borrowed for working capital and general corporate purposes, as well as to repay amounts outstanding under our previous credit agreement, primarily borrowed in connection with our acquisition of Southwestern College, and New England Technical Institute. See "Description of Credit Agreement." We routinely consider acquiring companies in our industry. At any given time, we may be in discussions to acquire one or more companies. Depending upon the timing of any future acquisition, we may use the net proceeds of this offering toward the purchase price of such acquisitions. We currently have an executed non-binding letter of intent with respect to the acquisition of an education company. The letter of intent is subject to conditions precedent prior to the consummation of the acquisition, including the completion of due diligence and the negotiation and execution of a definitive purchase agreement. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will ultimately be consummated. Management will have broad discretion in the allocation of the net proceeds of this offering.

The amounts actually expended for each purpose and the timing of such expenditures will depend on a number of factors, including our realization of the different elements of our growth strategy and the amount of cash generated by our operations. Pending their use as described above, the proceeds of the offering will be invested in short-term, interest-bearing securities.

An affiliate of Harris Nesbitt Corp. is the administrative agent and a lender under our new credit agreement and Fleet National Bank, an affiliate of Banc of America Securities LLC, is also a lender under our new credit agreement, and will receive a portion of the net proceeds of this offering when we repay the amounts outstanding under the new credit agreement. See "Underwriting—Other Relationships."

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock. Following consummation of this offering, we do not anticipate declaring or paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Instead, we currently anticipate that we will retain all of our future earnings, if any, to fund the operation and expansion of our business and to use as working capital and for other general corporate purposes. Our board of directors will determine whether to pay dividends in the future based on conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, the availability of third-party financing and the financial responsibility standards prescribed by the DOE, as well as any economic and other conditions that our board of directors may deem relevant. In addition, our ability to declare and pay dividends is subject to certain restrictions under our new credit agreement.

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and our capitalization as of March 31, 2005:

- on an actual basis; and
- on an as adjusted basis, giving effect to our sale of 3,000,000 shares of our common stock in this offering (at an estimated initial public offering price of \$20.00 per share, the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover of this prospectus) and the application of the proceeds of the sale as discussed under "Use of Proceeds."

You should read this table together with the "Use of Proceeds," "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information," "Selected Financial Information," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Description of Capital Stock" and our consolidated financial statements, along with the notes thereto, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

| | As of March 31, 2005 | |
|--|------------------------|-------------|
| | Actual | As Adjusted |
| | (dollars in thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,049 | \$ 32,449 |
| Debt: | | |
| Credit agreement(1) | 31,000 | — |
| Finance obligation | 9,672 | 9,672 |
| Capital leases—computers | 1,229 | 1,229 |
| Automobile loans | 105 | 105 |
| Total debt | 42,006 | 11,006 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, no par value per share, 50,000,000 shares authorized (actual) and 100,000,000 authorized (as adjusted); 21,704,785 shares issued and outstanding (actual) and 24,704,785 shares issued and outstanding (as adjusted) | 62,385 | 115,785 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 3,821 | 3,821 |
| Accumulated deficit | (6,705) | (6,705) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 59,501 | 112,901 |
| Total capitalization | \$ 101,507 | \$ 123,907 |

- (1) On February 15, 2005, we entered into a \$100 million revolving credit agreement (including amounts available for letters of credit up to an aggregate of \$20 million) with a syndicate of banks. Amounts repaid under our new credit agreement may be reborrowed at any time until expiration of our new credit agreement in February 2010. Amounts outstanding under our new credit agreement as of April 30, 2005 were \$31.0 million and letters of credit outstanding were \$4.1 million (which letters of credit will remain outstanding upon completion of this offering). See "Description of Credit Agreement" for a description of the new credit agreement.

DILUTION

If you invest in our common stock, your interest will be diluted to the extent of the difference between the initial public offering price per share of our common stock and the pro forma net tangible book value per share of our common stock after the offering. Dilution results from the fact that the per share offering price of the common stock is substantially in excess of the book value per share attributable to the existing stockholders for the presently outstanding stock. Our net tangible book value at March 31, 2005 was \$6.0 million, or \$0.28 per share of common stock. After giving effect to our sale of 3,000,000 shares of common stock offered by this prospectus at an estimated initial public offering price of \$20.00 per share (the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover of this prospectus) and after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us, our pro forma net tangible book value as of March 31, 2005 would have been \$59.4 million, or \$2.40 per share. This represents an immediate increase in pro forma net tangible book value of \$2.12 per share to existing stockholders and an immediate dilution of \$17.60 per share to investors purchasing common stock in the offering. The following table illustrates this per share dilution:

| | | |
|---|---------|--------------|
| Assumed initial public offering price per share of common stock | | \$ 20.00 |
| Net tangible book value per share of common stock at March 31, 2005 | \$ 0.28 | |
| Increase in net tangible book value per share of common stock attributable to this offering | 2.12 | |
| Pro forma net tangible book value per share of common stock after this offering | | 2.40 |
| Dilution per share of common stock to new investors | | \$ 17.60 |

The following table summarizes, on a pro forma basis as of March 31, 2005, the differences between existing stockholders and the new investors with respect to the number of shares of common stock purchased from us, the total consideration paid and the average price per share paid before deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and our estimated offering expenses.

| | Shares Purchased | | Total Consideration | | Average Price Per Share |
|---|---|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | Number | Percent | Amount | Percent | |
| | (dollars in thousands, except per share amount) | | | | |
| Existing holders of capital stock | 21,704,785 | 87.9% | \$ 62,385 | 51.0% | \$ 2.87 |
| Investors purchasing common stock in the offering | 3,000,000 | 12.1% | \$ 60,000 | 49.0% | \$ 20.00 |
| Total | 24,704,785 | 100% | \$ 122,385 | 100% | \$ 4.95 |

The discussion and tables above assume no exercise of outstanding stock options after March 31, 2005. As of the consummation of this offering, we expect to have options outstanding to purchase a total of 1,874,893 shares of common stock, with a weighted average exercise price of \$6.04 per share taking into effect the exercise of options by certain of our selling stockholders prior to the consummation of the offering. To the extent that any of these options are exercised, there will be further dilution to new investors. See "Description of Capital Stock" and Note 10 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Assuming all of these options were exercisable and were exercised upon consummation of this offering, total dilution per share of common stock to new investors would increase from \$17.60 to \$17.77.

If the underwriters' overallotment option is exercised in full:

- the percentage of our shares of common stock held by our existing holders of capital stock will decrease to approximately 85.8% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding after this offering;
- the number of shares of common stock held by investors purchasing common stock from us in this offering will increase to 3,600,000 shares, or approximately 14.2% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding after this offering; and
- total dilution per share of common stock to new investors will decrease from \$17.60 to \$17.21.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma financial information for the year ended December 31, 2004 and for the three months ended March 31, 2005 is derived from (1) our historical consolidated financial statements (included elsewhere in this prospectus), (2) the historical financial statements of Southwestern College for the period from January 1, 2004 until our acquisition of Southwestern College on January 23, 2004 (not included in this prospectus), and (3) the historical financial statements of New England Technical Institute for the year ended December 31, 2004 and for the period from January 1, 2005 until our acquisition of New England Technical Institute on January 11, 2005 (not included in this prospectus). Separate balance sheet data for Southwestern College and New England Technical Institute is not presented because such data is already reflected in our historical consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2005. The unaudited pro forma financial statements should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The unaudited pro forma statement of operations data for the year ended December 31, 2004 and for the three months ended March 31, 2005 give effect to the acquisitions of Southwestern College and New England Technical Institute as if each had occurred on January 1, 2004. We accounted for the acquisitions of Southwestern College and New England Technical Institute under the purchase method of accounting. The unaudited pro forma financial statements presented below do not reflect any anticipated operating efficiencies or cost savings from the integration of Southwestern College and New England Technical Institute into our business. The unaudited as adjusted balance sheet data gives effect to the sale of shares of our common stock in this offering at an estimated initial public offering price of \$20.00 per share (the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover of this prospectus) and the application of the proceeds as described under "Use of Proceeds."

The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements reflect pro forma adjustments that are described in the accompanying notes and are based on available information and certain assumptions we believe are reasonable, but are subject to change. We have made, in our opinion, all adjustments that are necessary to present fairly the pro forma information. The unaudited consolidated pro forma financial statements do not purport to represent what our actual results of operations or financial position would have been if the acquisition and related transactions described above had occurred on such dates or to project our results of operations or financial position for any future period.

For the Year Ended December 31, 2004

| | Historical | South-western(1) | New England Technical Institute(2) (audited) | Adjustments(3) | Pro Forma |
|---|------------|------------------|---|----------------|------------|
| (dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 261,275 | \$ 4 | \$ 14,944 | | \$ 276,223 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Educational services and facilities | 104,843 | 68 | 7,870 | 423(a) | 113,204 |
| Selling, general and administrative(4) | 130,941 | 111 | 5,085 | 433(b) | 136,570 |
| Loss on sale of assets | 368 | — | — | — | 368 |
| Total costs and expenses | 236,152 | 179 | 12,955 | 856 | 250,142 |
| Operating income | 25,123 | (175) | 1,989 | (856) | 26,081 |
| Interest income | 104 | 1 | 28 | | 133 |
| Interest expense | (3,007) | 0 | (38) | (1,100)(c)(d) | (4,145) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 22,220 | (174) | 1,979 | (1,956) | 22,069 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 9,242 | — | 0 | (63)(e)(f) | 9,179 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 12,978 | \$ (174) | \$ 1,979 | \$ (1,893) | \$ 12,890 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.60 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.09) | \$ 0.59 |
| Diluted | 0.56 | (0.01) | 0.09 | (0.08) | 0.56 |
| Weighted average shares: | | | | | |
| Basic | 21,676 | — | — | — | 21,676 |
| Diluted | 23,095 | — | — | — | 23,095 |
| Other Data: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 23,813 | — | — | — | \$ 23,813 |
| Number of campuses | 28 | — | 4 | — | 32 |
| Average student population | 16,266 | — | — | — | 17,362 |

(1) Historical data for the period from January 1, 2004 to January 23, 2004 includes certain reclassifications to conform to our presentation.

(2) Historical data for the year ended December 31, 2004 includes certain reclassifications to conform to our presentation.

(3) Represents adjustments to reflect the following:

- (a) \$0.023 million in the remaining amortization of \$0.3 million in fair market value of student contracts acquired in connection with the Southwestern College acquisition on January 23, 2004 and the amortization of \$0.4 million representing the preliminary allocation of fair market value of student contracts and other identified intangible assets acquired in connection with the New England Technical Institute acquisition on January 11, 2005.
- (b) the current amortization of \$1.3 million, which represents the preliminary allocation of fair market value of a non-compete agreement acquired in connection with the New England Technical Institute acquisition on January 11, 2005. The non-compete agreement is being amortized over a period of three years.

- (c) no pro forma interest expense adjustment recorded for the 23 day period in 2004 prior to the acquisition of Southwestern College on \$14.5 million of borrowings under our previous credit agreement as these borrowings were drawn down in December 2003.
 - (d) interest expense on \$20.0 million of borrowings under our previous credit agreement to finance the acquisition of New England Technical Institute (assuming those borrowings were outstanding as of January 1, 2004 at an average annual rate of 5.50%).
 - (e) represents the tax effects of presenting Southwestern College as a taxpayer giving effect to the historical income statement for the period indicated as well as the pro forma income statement adjustments at an effective tax rate of 39%. Prior to our acquisition, Southwestern College was an S-Corporation and therefore did not pay income taxes.
 - (f) represents the tax effects of presenting New England Technical Institute as a taxpayer giving effect to the historical income statement for the period indicated as well as the pro forma income statement adjustments at an effective tax rate of 39%. Prior to our acquisition, New England Technical Institute was an S-Corporation and therefore did not pay income taxes.
- (4) Selling, general and administrative expenses includes (a) a \$2.1 million charge for the year ended December 31, 2004 to give effect to the one-time write-off of deferred offering costs, (b) compensation costs of approximately \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 related to the adoption of SFAS No. 123, "*Accounting for Stock Based Compensation*" and (c) a \$0.7 million one-time non-cash charge for the year ended December 31, 2004 related to the timing of rent expense for our schools during the period of construction of leasehold improvements and to align the depreciation lives of our leasehold improvements to the terms of our noncancellable leases, including renewal options.

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2005

| | Historical | New England Technical Institute(1)(2) | Adjustments(3) | Pro Forma |
|---|------------|---|----------------|--------------|
| (dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 70,869 | \$ 278 | — | \$ 71,147 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Educational services and facilities | 29,084 | 208 | — | 29,292 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 39,284 | 59 | 12 (a) | 39,355 |
| Loss on sale of assets | — | — | — | — |
| Total costs and expenses | 68,368 | 267 | 12 | 68,647 |
| Operating income | 2,501 | 11 | (12) | 2,500 |
| Interest income | 8 | — | — | 8 |
| Interest expense(4) | (1,194) | — | — (b) | (1,194) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 1,315 | 11 | (12) | 1,314 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 543 | — | — (c) | 543 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 772 | \$ 11 | \$ (12) | \$ 771 |

Income (loss) from continuing operations per share:

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Basic | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.04 |
| Diluted | 0.03 | 0.01 | (0.01) | 0.03 |
| Weighted average shares: | | | | |
| Basic | 21,699 | — | — | 21,699 |
| Diluted | 22,965 | — | — | 22,965 |

Other Data:

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|-----|---|----------|
| Capital expenditures | \$ 6,276 | — | — | \$ 6,276 |
| Number of campuses | 28 | 4 | — | 32 |
| Average student population | 16,764 | 928 | — | 17,692 |

As of March 31, 2005

| Historical | As Adjusted |
|------------|-------------|
| | |

(dollars in thousands)

Balance Sheet Data (at end of period):

| | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,049 | \$ 32,449 |
| Working capital (deficit)(5) | (14,782) | 7,618 |
| Total assets | 156,098 | 178,498 |
| Total debt(6) | 42,006 | 11,006 |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$ 59,501 | \$ 112,901 |

(1) Data for the three months ended March 31, 2005, includes certain reclassifications to conform to our historical presentation.

(2) Represents data for the period from January 1, 2005 to January 11, 2005, the date of the acquisition.

(3) Represents adjustments to reflect the following:

- (a) the amortization of \$1.3 million for the period, resulting from our preliminary allocation of the excess of the fair market value of the purchase price over the net assets acquired to a non-compete agreement in connection with the New England Technical Institute acquisition. The non-compete agreement is being amortized over a period of three years.

- (b) no pro forma interest expense adjustment recorded for the 10-day period in 2005 prior to our acquisition of New England Technical Institute on \$20.0 million of borrowings under our previous credit agreement as these borrowings were drawn down in December 2004.
 - (c) the tax effects of presenting New England Technical Institute as a taxpayer giving effect to the historical income statement for the period indicated as well as the pro forma income statement adjustments at an effective tax rate of 41.5%. Prior to our acquisition, New England Technical Institute was an S-Corporation and therefore did not pay any taxes.
- (4) Interest expense includes a one-time non-cash charge of \$0.4 million resulting from the write-off of deferred finance costs under our old credit agreement.
 - (5) Working capital (deficit) is defined as current assets less current liabilities.
 - (6) Total debt consists of long-term debt including current portion, capital leases, auto loans and a finance obligation of \$9.7 million incurred in connection with a sale-leaseback transaction as further described in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following table sets forth our selected historical consolidated financial and operating data as of the dates and for the periods indicated. You should read these data together with "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected historical consolidated statement of operations data for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected historical consolidated statements of operations data for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001 and historical consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 have been derived from our consolidated financial information not included in this prospectus. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of our future results. The selected historical unaudited consolidated statement of operations data for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 and the selected historical unaudited consolidated balance sheet data as of March 31, 2005 have been derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected historical unaudited consolidated balance sheet data as of March 31, 2004 have been derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements which are not included in this prospectus. In our opinion, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the audited financial statements and include all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for fair presentation of the information set forth therein. The results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full year.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2004 | 2005 |
| (dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | | | | | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 81,541 | \$ 105,738 | \$ 139,201 | \$ 198,881 | \$ 261,275 | \$ 59,574 | \$ 70,869 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Educational services and facilities | 37,193 | 52,952 | 66,580 | 85,201 | 104,843 | 24,163 | 29,084 |
| Selling, general and administrative(1) | 42,346 | 59,019 | 71,753 | 97,714 | 130,941 | 31,778 | 39,284 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of assets | — | — | (1,082) | (22) | 368 | — | — |
| Total costs and expenses | 79,539 | 111,971 | 137,251 | 182,893 | 236,152 | 55,941 | 68,368 |
| Operating income (loss) | 2,002 | (6,233) | 1,950 | 15,988 | 25,123 | 3,633 | 2,501 |
| Gain on sale of securities | — | — | — | 211 | — | — | — |
| Interest income | 772 | 310 | 212 | 133 | 104 | 13 | 8 |
| Interest expense(2) | (479) | (1,664) | (2,937) | (2,758) | (3,007) | (842) | (1,194) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 2,295 | (7,587) | (775) | 13,574 | 22,220 | 2,804 | 1,315 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 1,000 | (2,942) | (101) | 5,355 | 9,242 | 1,186 | 543 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 1,295 | \$ (4,645) | \$ (674) | \$ 8,219 | \$ 12,978 | \$ 1,618 | \$ 772 |
| Income (loss) share: | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.04 |
| Diluted | 0.08 | (0.22) | (0.03) | 0.37 | 0.56 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
| Weighted average shares: | | | | | | | |
| Basic | 15,987 | 21,355 | 21,662 | 21,667 | 21,676 | 21,668 | 21,699 |
| Diluted | 15,987 | 21,355 | 21,662 | 22,364 | 23,095 | 23,038 | 22,965 |
| Other Data: | | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 2,183 | \$ 7,322 | \$ 3,598 | \$ 13,154 | \$ 23,813 | \$ 6,276 | \$ 3,195 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,249 | 5,474 | 7,201 | 9,879 | 10,749 | 96 | 56 |
| Number of campuses | 13 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 28 | 28 | 32 |
| Average student population | 5,799 | 7,203 | 9,155 | 12,487 | 16,266 | 15,417 | 17,692 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 24,952 | \$ 7,400 | \$ 11,079 | \$ 48,965 | \$ 41,445 | \$ 26,297 | \$ 10,049 |
| Working capital (deficit)(3) | 9,673 | (12,710) | (11,287) | 13,402 | 4,570 | (1,460) | (14,782) |
| Total assets | 62,918 | 89,119 | 92,562 | 139,355 | 162,729 | 133,536 | 156,098 |
| Total debt(4) | 2,705 | 26,596 | 22,682 | 43,060 | 46,829 | 40,044 | 42,006 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 36,800 | 34,096 | 33,905 | 42,924 | 58,086 | 45,263 | 59,501 |

(Footnotes on following page)

- (1) Selling, general and administrative expenses includes (a) a \$2.1 million charge for the year ended December 31, 2004 to give effect to the one-time write-off of deferred offering costs, (b) compensation costs of approximately \$0.5 million, \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively, and \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively, related to the adoption of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" and (c) a \$0.7 million one-time non-cash charge for the year ended December 31, 2004 related to the timing of rent expense for our schools during the period of construction of leasehold improvements and to align the depreciation lives of our leasehold improvements to the terms of our noncancellable leases, including renewal options.
- (2) Interest expense includes a \$0.4 million non-cash charge for the three months ended March 31, 2005 resulting from the write-off of deferred finance costs under our old credit agreement.
- (3) Working capital (deficit) is defined as current assets less current liabilities.
- (4) Total debt consists of long-term debt including current portion, capital leases, auto loans and a finance obligation of \$9.7 million for each of the years in the four-year period ended December 31, 2004 incurred in connection with a sale-leaseback transaction as further described in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General

We are a leading and diversified for-profit provider of career-oriented post-secondary education. We offer recent high school graduates and working adults degree and diploma programs in four areas of study: automotive technology, allied health, skilled trades and business and information technology. As of March 31, 2005, we enrolled 17,630 students at our 32 campuses across 15 states. Our campuses primarily attract students from their local communities and surrounding areas, although our four destination schools attract students from across the United States, and in some cases, from abroad.

We have experienced significant growth in recent years both organically and through acquisitions. Our organic growth has been achieved by increasing the breadth of our program offerings, increasing our marketing efforts, expanding existing facilities and starting new schools. In 2001, we acquired eight schools that we consider start-ups because they had no students or faculty at the time of acquisition. We initially opened these schools with only IT programs, but as a result of the decrease in demand for IT programs, we began adding allied health programs in the third quarter of 2002. While our profitability on a consolidated basis was negatively impacted by the start up of these schools in 2002, these start-up schools reached breakeven profitability in the fourth quarter of 2003 and as a group contributed \$31.6 million and \$42.8 million of revenues in 2003 and 2004, respectively. As we continue to grow the student population in these schools, we expect the profitability of these schools to continue to improve.

From 1999 through December 31, 2004, we obtained nine additional schools through our acquisitions of Denver Automotive & Diesel College in 2000 (one school), Career Education Institute in 2001 (two schools), Nashville Auto-Diesel College in 2003 (one school) and Southwestern College in 2004 (five schools). In addition, on January 11, 2005, we acquired the rights, title and interest in the assets used in the conduct and operation of New England Technical Institute for a purchase price of \$19.7 million. New England Technical Institute operates four schools in New Britain, Hamden, Shelton and Cromwell, Connecticut. Our campuses, a majority of which serve major metropolitan markets, are located throughout the United States. Four of our campuses are destination schools, which attract students from across the United States and, in some cases, from abroad. Our other campuses primarily attract students from their local communities and surrounding areas. All of our schools are nationally accredited and eligible to participate in federal financial aid programs. Southwestern College received an executed provisional program participation agreement from the DOE. New England Technical Institute has received an executed temporary provisional program participation agreement from the DOE.

In evaluating potential acquisitions, we seek to identify schools with the potential for program replication at our existing campuses, new areas of study, new markets with attractive growth opportunities and advanced degree programs. In many cases, these schools are under-managed and under-capitalized. We have historically been successful in integrating and growing acquired schools by leveraging our sales and marketing expertise, business management systems and our experienced management team. For example, in 2000, we acquired Denver Automotive & Diesel College which had a student population of 343, and as of March 31, 2005, we had grown its student population in excess of 200% to 1,091 students. As we continue to make and integrate our acquisitions, such as Southwestern College and New England Technical Institute, we expect our student population to continue to grow.

Our revenues consist primarily of student tuition and fees derived from the programs we offer and are presented as revenues after reductions related to scholarships and refunds for students who withdraw from our programs prior to specified dates. We recognize revenues from tuition and one-time fees, such as application fees, ratably over the length of a program. We also earn revenues from our bookstores, dormitories, cafeterias and contract training services. These non-tuition revenues are

recognized upon delivery of goods or as services are performed and represent less than 10% of our revenues.

Tuition varies by school and by program and on average we increase tuition once a year by 2% to 5%. Our ability to raise tuition is influenced by the demand for our programs and by the rate of tuition increase at other post-secondary schools. If historical trends continue, we expect to be able to continue to raise tuition annually at comparable rates.

We have historically enjoyed strong revenue growth as we have grown both organically and from acquisitions over the last several years. Our revenues increased 43% and 31%, respectively, in 2003 and 2004 over the prior years as we grew from 23 campuses at December 31, 2002 to 28 campuses at December 31, 2004. We had 32 campuses at March 31, 2005. During this same time period our average student population increased from 9,155 at December 31, 2002 to 16,266 at December 31, 2004, and to 17,692 at March 31, 2005. While we expect to be able to continue to increase our revenue and enrollments in the foreseeable future as a result of both organic growth and strategic acquisitions, we can give no assurance as to our ability to continue to increase our revenues at historical rates and expect our rate of revenue increases to moderate over time as we become a larger and more mature company.

Our operating expenses are also a function of our revenue growth. Our educational expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue have decreased over time as our revenue has increased. Educational services and facilities expenses have decreased as a percentage of revenue from 47.8% in 2002 to 40.1% in 2004 and selling, general and administrative expenses have decreased as a percentage of revenue from 51.6% in 2002 to 50.1% in 2004. We expect that in the future these expenses will continue to decline slightly as a percentage of revenues as we achieve better operating efficiencies and utilization at our schools.

Our revenues are directly dependent on our average number of students enrolled and the particular courses they are taking. Our enrollment is influenced by the number of new students starting, re-entering, graduating from and withdrawing from our schools. In addition, our programs range from 24 to 100 weeks and students attend classes for different amounts of time per week depending on the school and program in which they are enrolled. Because we start new students every month, our total student population changes monthly. The number of students enrolling or re-entering our programs each month is driven by the demand for our programs, the effectiveness of our marketing and advertising, the availability of financial aid and other sources of funding, the number of recent high school graduates and seasonality. Our retention and graduation rates are influenced by the quality and commitment of our teachers and student services personnel, the effectiveness of our programs, the placement rate and success of our graduates and the availability of financial aid. Although similar courses have comparable tuition rates, the tuition rates vary among our numerous programs. As more of our schools receive approval to offer associate degree programs, which are longer than our diploma degree programs, we would expect our average enrollments and the average length of stay of our students to increase.

The majority of students enrolled at our schools rely on funds received under various government-sponsored student financial aid programs to pay a substantial portion of their tuition and other education-related expenses. The largest of these programs are Title IV Programs which represented approximately 81% of our cash receipts relating to revenues in 2004.

Based on our calculations, our audited financial statements for the 2003 and 2004 fiscal years reflect a composite score of 2.1 and 1.8, respectively. The DOE has confirmed that we received a passing composite score of 1.5 or more for the 2003 fiscal year. The DOE has not yet reviewed our financial statements for the 2004 fiscal year. However, as a result of the corrections of certain errors, including accounting for advertising costs, a sale leaseback transaction, rent and certain other individually insignificant adjustments, in our prior financial statements, the DOE recomputed our consolidated composite scores for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002 and concluded that the recomputed consolidated composite scores for those two years were below 1.0. In addition, we

identified certain additional errors in our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003 relating to our accounting for stock-based compensation and accrued bonuses that did not result in a recomputation of our 2003 composite score. The DOE has informed us that as a result, for a period of three years effective December 30, 2004, all of our current and future institutions have been placed on "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status." As a result, we are subject to a less favorable Title IV fund payment system that requires us to credit student accounts before drawing down Title IV funds and are also required to timely notify the DOE with respect to certain enumerated oversight and financial events. The DOE also informed us that these corrections will be taken into consideration when each of our institutions applies for recertification of its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs. When each of our institutions is next required to apply for recertification to participate in Title IV Programs, we expect that the DOE will also consider our audited financial statements and composite scores for our most recent fiscal year as well as for other fiscal years after 2001 and 2002. Additionally, since the DOE concluded that the previously computed composite scores for 2001 and 2002 were overstated, we agreed to pay \$165,000 to the DOE, pursuant to a settlement agreement, with respect to compliance issues related to this matter. We paid this amount on March 3, 2005.

Although no assurance can be given, we do not believe that the actions of the DOE specified above will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations since we have always operated our business in a manner similar to an institution operating under "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status" and accordingly, it has been our policy to credit student accounts before drawing down Title IV funds. We also do not believe the additional reporting requirements will cause an undue burden on our operations.

An institution is required to operate under "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status," if it has a composite score between 1.0 and 1.4. If an institution's composite score is below 1.0, the institution is considered by the DOE to lack financial responsibility and, as a condition of Title IV Program participation, the institution may be required to, among other things, post a letter of credit in an amount of at least 10 to 50 percent of the institution's annual Title IV Program participation for its most recent fiscal year. A composite score under 1.0 in any future year could have an adverse effect on our operations and would result in a default under our new credit agreement and could result in an acceleration of the debt under our new credit agreement.

The operating expenses associated with an existing school do not increase proportionally as the number of students enrolled at the school increases. We categorize our operating expenses as (1) educational services and facilities and (2) selling, general and administrative.

- Major components of educational services and facilities expenses include faculty compensation and benefits, compensation and benefits of other campus administration employees, facility rent, maintenance, utilities, depreciation and amortization of property and equipment used in the provision of education services and other costs directly associated with teaching our programs and providing educational services to our students.
- Selling, general and administrative expenses include compensation and benefits of employees who are not directly associated with the provision of educational services (such as executive management, finance and central accounting, legal, human resources and business development), marketing and student enrollment expenses (including compensation and benefits of personnel employed in sales and marketing and student admissions), costs to develop curriculum, costs of professional services, bad debt expense, rent for our corporate headquarters, depreciation and amortization of property and equipment that is not used in the provision of educational services and other costs that are incidental to our operations. All marketing and student enrollment expenses are recognized in the period incurred. Costs related to the opening of new facilities, excluding related capital expenditures, are expensed in the period incurred.

We use advertising to attract a substantial portion of our yearly student enrollments. While we utilize a mix of different advertising mediums, including television, internet and direct mail, we rely

heavily on television advertising. The cost of television advertising has been increasing faster than the pace of student tuition increases and the cost of living index. Continued increases in the cost of television advertising may have a material impact on our operating margins.

Costs associated with the implementation of our student management and reporting system have increased over the last year as we began to implement a new student management and reporting system. We expect the roll-out of this system to continue through the remainder of 2005 and the first half of 2006. We believe that the investment in our student management and reporting system will improve services to students and our ability to integrate new schools into our operations, if and when new schools are opened or acquired. We anticipate that the cost to complete the continued roll-out of our new student management and reporting system in 2005 and through the first half of 2006 will be approximately \$1.2 million. We anticipate funding these costs with cash provided by operating activities and cash on hand or alternatively with borrowings under our credit agreement.

We expect our selling, general and administrative expenses to increase when we become a public company. Additional costs for legal and accounting services, compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley Act regulations and other annual costs of doing business as a public company are expected to range from \$1.5 million to \$2 million per year. We anticipate funding costs relating to being a public company with cash provided by operating activities and cash on hand or alternatively with borrowings under our credit agreement.

Acquisitions and Start-ups

Acquisitions have been, and will continue to be, a component of our growth strategy. We have a team of professionals who conduct financial, operational and regulatory due diligence as well as a team that integrates acquisitions with our policies, procedures and systems. From January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2004, we have added nine schools through our acquisitions of Denver Automotive & Diesel College in 2000 (one school), Career Education Institute in 2001 (two schools), Nashville Auto-Diesel College in 2003 (one school) and Southwestern College in 2004 (five schools). Our acquisition of New England Technical Institute, which closed on January 11, 2005, added another four schools, bringing our total acquisitions to thirteen schools.

On October 25, 2000, we purchased the rights, title and interest in the assets used in the conduct and operation of Denver Automotive & Diesel College for \$1.3 million in cash, including costs related to the acquisition. We used our existing cash balances to complete the transaction. Denver Automotive & Diesel College was an under-managed school that we have grown from 343 students at the end of 2000 to 1,083 students at December 31, 2004 by introducing better management and by implementing our field-based recruiting and television marketing programs.

On March 16, 2001, we purchased the rights, title, and interest in the assets used in the conduct and operation of five schools by Computer-Ed, Inc. for \$2.5 million in cash, including costs related to the acquisition. We used our existing cash balances to complete this transaction. We merged two of these schools into one of the Computer Learning Centers, Inc. schools described below, and merged one of our schools into one of the Computer-Ed, Inc. schools we acquired. We continue to operate the remaining two schools under our Career Education Institute brand name. At the time of acquisition, these schools primarily offered IT programs and now offer business and information technology and allied health programs. This acquisition accelerated our entrance into the New England market.

In two transactions taking place on March 9, 2001 and April 6, 2001, we purchased out of bankruptcy the assets comprising nine schools operated by Computer Learning Centers, Inc. for a total of \$20.7 million in cash, including costs related to the acquisitions. These acquisitions were funded by existing cash and by borrowings under our then existing credit facility. We considered eight of these nine schools to be start-ups at the time of their acquisition because they had no students or faculty at the time of acquisition. We merged one of the existing campuses of our Cittance Institute into the ninth school located at Paramus, New Jersey and renamed it The Cittance Institute. We received all regulatory

approvals and hired staff by the end of 2001 so that all of these schools were operational under our Cittone Institute or Career Education Institute brand names. These schools offer business and information technology and allied health programs. This acquisition enabled us to rapidly expand into several of the largest markets in the United States with well-located, proven sites.

On February 14, 2003, we acquired Nashville Auto-Diesel College for approximately \$7.6 million including costs related to the acquisition, net of \$4.1 million of cash acquired. This acquisition was funded by available cash and by borrowings under our previous credit agreement. Nashville Auto-Diesel College offers associate and diploma programs in automotive and diesel mechanics and collision repair. This is our only school that owns its dormitories and, like our other destination schools in Indianapolis, Denver and Columbia, Maryland, the vast majority of Nashville Auto-Diesel College students come from outside the local market. We have been able to increase the student population and profitability in a short period of time by introducing new management techniques and through television marketing. This acquisition gave us an additional destination campus, entry into the Nashville geographic market and further expanded our auto business.

On January 23, 2004 we completed the acquisition of Southwestern College for approximately \$14.5 million in cash, including costs related to the acquisition. This acquisition was funded from borrowings under our previous credit agreement. This acquisition enabled us to enter the Ohio and Kentucky markets.

On January 11, 2005 we acquired the rights, title and interest in the assets used in the conduct and operation of New England Technical Institute for \$19.7 million including costs related to the acquisition. This acquisition was funded by borrowings under our existing credit facility. New England Technical Institute operates four schools in New Britain, Hamden, Shelton and Cromwell, Connecticut.

The following chart summarizes our recent acquisition and start-up transactions:

| | Year of Acquisition | Classification of Schools | | | Total Number of Schools |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|
| | | Merged/ Relocated | Start ups | Acquisitions | |
| Denver Automotive & Diesel College | 2000 | — | — | 1 | 1 |
| Career Education Institute | 2001 | 3(1) | — | 2 | 5 |
| Computer Learning Centers(2) | 2001 | 1(3) | 8 | — | 9 |
| Nashville Auto-Diesel College | 2003 | — | — | 1 | 1 |
| Southwestern College | 2004 | — | — | 5 | 5 |
| New England Technical Institute | 2005 | — | — | 4 | 4 |
| Total | | 4 | 8 | 13 | 25 |

- (1) Students from three Career Education Institute facilities were merged into three of our other campuses.
- (2) Represents the acquisition out of bankruptcy of nine schools in two transactions in 2001, operated as Career Education Institute or The Cittone Institute.
- (3) We moved our students from Mahwah, New Jersey into the acquired Paramus, New Jersey location.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussions of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP. The preparation of financial statements

in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions, including those related to revenue recognition, bad debts, fixed assets, goodwill and other intangible assets, income taxes and certain accruals. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The critical accounting policies discussed herein are not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not result in significant management judgment in the application of such principles. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result from the result derived from the application of our critical accounting policies. We believe that the following accounting policies are most critical to us in that they represent the primary areas where financial information is subject to the application of management's estimates, assumptions and judgment in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue recognition. Revenues are derived primarily from programs taught at our schools. Tuition revenues and one-time fees, such as nonrefundable application fees, and course material fees are recognized on a straight-line basis over the length of the applicable program, which is the period of time from a student's start date through his or her graduation date, including internships or externships that take place prior to graduation. If a student withdraws from a program prior to a specified date, any paid but unearned tuition is refunded. Refunds are calculated and paid in accordance with federal, state and accrediting agency standards. Other revenues, such as textbook sales, tool sales and contract training revenues are recognized as services are performed or goods are delivered. On an individual student basis, tuition earned in excess of cash received is recorded as accounts receivable, and cash received in excess of tuition earned is recorded as unearned tuition.

Allowance for uncollectible accounts. Based upon experience and judgment, we establish an allowance for uncollectible accounts with respect to tuition receivables. We use an internal group of collectors, augmented by third-party collectors as deemed appropriate, in our collection efforts. In establishing our allowance for uncollectible accounts, we consider, among other things, a student's status (in-school or out-of-school), whether or not additional financial aid funding will be collected from Title IV Programs or other sources, whether or not a student is currently making payments, and overall collection history. Changes in trends in any of these areas may impact the allowance for uncollectible accounts. The receivables balances of withdrawn students with delinquent obligations are reserved for based on our collection history. Although we believe that our reserves are adequate, if the financial condition of our students deteriorates, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, or if we underestimate the allowances required, additional allowances may be necessary, which will result in increased selling, general and administrative expenses in the period such determination is made.

Our bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 was 4.1%, 3.7% and 3.5%, respectively. Our bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 was 3.1% and 3.2%, respectively. Our exposure to changes in our bad debt expense could impact our operations. A 1% increase in our bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 would have resulted in an increase in bad debt expense of \$1.4 million, \$2.0 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

Because a substantial portion of our revenue is derived from Title IV Programs, any legislative or regulatory action that significantly reduces the funding available under Title IV Programs or the ability of our students or schools to participate in Title IV Programs could have a material effect on the realizability of our receivables.

Goodwill. We assess the impairment of goodwill in accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." Accordingly, we test our goodwill for impairment annually, or whenever events

or changes in circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred, by comparing its fair value to its carrying value. Impairment may result from, among other things, deterioration in the performance of the acquired business, adverse market conditions, adverse changes in applicable laws or regulations, including changes that restrict the activities of the acquired business, and a variety of other circumstances. If we determine that an impairment has occurred, we are required to record a write-down of the carrying value and charge the impairment as an operating expense in the period the determination is made. In evaluating the recoverability of the carrying value of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of the acquired assets. Changes in strategy or market conditions could significantly impact these judgments in the future and require an adjustment to the recorded balances.

In light of our contemplated public offering, along with the market experience of our principal stockholders and management, we believe that the most appropriate determination of fair value for each reporting unit is a market approach, which takes into consideration peer company multiples of revenues and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, discounted for lack of marketability. Under this approach we utilized a 20% discount factor, which was deemed reasonable by management, to peer company multiples to determine the valuation of our reporting units. Various factors, including changes in revenues, earnings and market trends, among others, could affect the valuation of our reporting units. If any factor or combination of factors were to cause the valuation of any reporting unit to be reduced by as much as 50%, it would not result in any impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 142.

Stock-based compensation. We account for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, *"Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation."* Effective January 1, 2004, we elected to change our accounting policies from the use of the intrinsic value method of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, *"Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation"* to the fair value-based method of accounting for options. As permitted under SFAS No. 148, *"Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transitions and Disclosure—an amendment to SFAS Statement No. 123,"* we have elected to retroactively restate all periods presented. Because no market for our common stock exists, our board of directors determined the fair value of our common stock based upon several factors, including our operating performance, forecasted future operating results, and our expected valuation in an initial public offering.

We value the exercise price of options issued to employees using a market based approach. This approach takes into consideration the value ascribed to our competitors by the market. In determining the fair value of an option at the time of grant, we review contemporaneous information about our peers, which includes a variety of market multiples, including, but not limited to, revenue, EBITDA, net income, historical growth rates and market/industry focus. During 2004, the value we ascribed to stock options granted was based upon our anticipated initial public offering as well as discussions with our investment advisors. Due to the number of peer companies in our sector, we believe using public company comparisons provides a better indication of how the market values companies in the for-profit post secondary education sector.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, *"Share Based Payment,"* which eliminated the alternative to measure stock-based compensation awards using the intrinsic value approach permitted by Accounting Principles Board, or APB, Opinion No. 25, *"Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation"* and by SFAS No. 123. We have not yet determined what impact, if any, adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have on the consolidated financial statements; however, as discussed in Note 2, we adopted the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation provisions of SFAS No. 123 and the retroactive transitional provisions of SFAS No. 148, *"Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure."* As a result, we have been recording stock-based compensation expense for all employee stock awards that were granted or modified.

Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2004

The following table sets forth selected consolidated statements of operations data as a percentage of revenues for each of the periods indicated.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2004 | 2005 |
| Revenues | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | |
| Educational services and facilities | 40.6% | 41.1% |
| Selling, general and administrative | 53.3% | 55.4% |
| Loss (gain) on sale of assets | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total costs and expenses | 93.9% | 96.5% |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Operating income | 6.1% | 3.5% |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Gain on sale of securities | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Interest income | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Interest expense | (1.4)% | (1.7)% |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 4.7% | 1.8% |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 2.0% | 0.8% |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Net income (loss) | 2.7% | 1.1% |
| | ----- | ----- |

Revenues. Our revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2005 were \$70.9 million, representing an increase of \$11.3 million, or 19.0%, as compared to revenues of \$59.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Of this increase, approximately \$3.4 million, or 5.7%, is the result of the New England Technical Institute acquisition, which closed on January 11, 2005. The remainder of the increase was primarily due to an 8.9% increase in the average undergraduate full-time student enrollment, which increased to 16,764, exclusive of New England Technical Institute, for the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to 15,417 for the three months ended March 31, 2004, and from tuition increases, which averaged between 2% to 5% annually depending on the program.

Educational services and facilities expenses. Our educational services and facilities expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2005 were \$29.1 million, representing an increase of \$4.9 million, or 20.2%, from \$24.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The acquisition of New England Technical Institute on January 11, 2005 resulted in \$2.3 million of this increase. In addition, instructional expenses increased \$2.0 million over the comparable period in the prior year primarily due to increased compensation and benefits related to current and anticipated higher average student enrollments, which required additional educational and operations personnel. Additionally, for the three months ended March 31, 2005, rent expense, real estate taxes and depreciation increased by approximately \$0.3 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004 due to rent on our new Queens, New York facility in 2005 and as a result of our expanded campus facilities in Indianapolis, Indiana and Lincoln, Rhode Island during the later part of 2004. Educational services and facilities expenses as a percentage of revenues increased to 41.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2005 from 40.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Our selling, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2005 were \$39.3 million, an increase of \$7.5 million, or 23.6%, from \$31.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Approximately \$1.1 million, or 3.5%, of this increase is due to the New England Technical Institute acquisition. The remainder of the increase was

due to (1) a \$2.6 million, or 16.1% increase in administrative costs, (2) a 35.1% increase in marketing costs as a result of increased advertising expenses associated with student leads and enrollment, (3) an 18.7% increase in sales expenses primarily attributable to additional compensation and benefit expenses related to additional sales representatives, and (4) a 16.2% increase in student services expenses as a result of our 8.9% increase in average student enrollment as well as an approximately \$0.2 million increase in bus services for our students. The \$2.6 million increase in administrative costs is primarily due to (1) an increase of approximately \$0.3 million in bad debt expense due to the approximately \$11.3 million increase in revenue, (2) an increase of \$0.5 million over the prior year period in personnel training costs mainly due to the timing of the training as compared to the prior year period, (3) an increase of \$1.3 million over the prior year period primarily due to incremental compensation and benefits due to additional administrative personnel and (4) an increase of \$0.5 million associated with expenses incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2005 to roll out a new student software and management reporting system at some of our schools. During the latter half of 2004 we significantly increased our personnel ranks to ensure that we will have an adequate infrastructure to function as a public company.

Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 55.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2005 from 53.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Interest expense. Our interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was \$1.2 million, representing an increase of \$0.4 million, or 41.8%, from \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. This increase was primarily due to the write-off of deferred financing costs related to our old credit agreement.

Income taxes. Our provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was \$0.5 million, or 41.3% of pretax income, compared to \$1.2 million, or 42.3% of pretax income, for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The lower effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2005 is primarily attributable to our accruing for income taxes based upon our expected effective rate for the year ending December 31, 2005.

Results of Operations for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2004

The following table sets forth selected consolidated statements of operations data as a percentage of revenues for each of the periods indicated.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Revenues | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | |
| Educational services and facilities | 47.8% | 42.8% | 40.1% |
| Selling, general and administrative | 51.6% | 49.1% | 50.1% |
| Loss (gain) on sale of assets | (0.8)% | 0.0% | 0.2% |
| Total costs and expenses | 98.6% | 91.9% | 90.4% |
| Operating income | 1.4% | 8.1% | 9.6% |
| Gain on sale of securities | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Interest income | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| Interest expense | (2.1)% | (1.4)% | (1.2)% |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (0.5)% | 6.8% | 8.5% |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (0.1)% | 2.7% | 3.5% |
| Net income (loss) | (0.4)% | 4.1% | 5.0% |

Year Ended December 31, 2004 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2003

Revenues. Our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2004 were \$261.3 million, representing an increase of \$62.4 million, or 31.4%, as compared to revenues of \$198.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Of this increase, approximately \$7.6 million, or 12.2% of the increase, is the result of our acquisition of Southwestern College on January 23, 2004, while the remainder of the increase was primarily due to a 22.4% increase in the average undergraduate full-time student enrollment, which increased to 15,280, exclusive of Southwestern College, for the year ended December 31, 2004 as compared to 12,487 for the year ended December 31, 2003, and from tuition increases, which averaged between 2% to 5% annually depending on the program.

Educational services and facilities expenses. Our educational services and facilities expenses for the year ended December 31, 2004 were \$104.8 million, representing an increase of \$19.6 million, or 23.1%, as compared to educational services and facilities expenses of \$85.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increases in educational services and facilities expense for the year ended December 31, 2004 were primarily due to our acquisition of Southwestern College and higher average student enrollments, which required additional educational and operations personnel as well as books, tools and supplies. As a result, instruction compensation and benefit expenses increased by \$9.9 million and books and tool expenses increased by approximately \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2004, we also experienced increased rent expense of approximately \$3.5 million over the prior year primarily due to increased square footage of several facilities coupled with the relocation of certain existing facilities to new larger facilities. Included in the \$3.5 million increase described above is a charge of approximately \$0.7 million relating to prior periods due to changes in the way we account for rent holidays in lease terms and the depreciable lives of leasehold improvements, as more fully described in Note 18 to the audited consolidated financial statements. Educational services and facilities expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased to 40.1% for the year ended December 31, 2004 from 42.8% for the year ended December 31, 2003, primarily due to improvements in the utilization of our existing facilities resulting from higher average student enrollments and increased student-to-teacher ratios.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Our selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$130.9 million, an increase of \$33.2 million, or 34.0%, as compared to selling, general and administrative expenses of \$97.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Approximately \$5.1 million or 15.3% of the increase was due to the acquisition of Southwestern College. The remainder of the increase was due to (1) an 11.4% increase in sales expense resulting mainly from incremental compensation and benefit expenses related to additional sales representatives, (2) a 22.7% increase in marketing costs as a result of increased advertising expenses associated with student leads and enrollment, (3) a 24.1% increase in student services expenses as a result of our 22.4% growth in average student population, (4) a 124.1% increase in additional stock compensation expense recognized for the year ended December 31, 2004 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2003 in accordance with FASB No. 123 and (5) approximately \$2.1 million from the write-off of deferred offering costs due to a delay in filing the registration statement for this offering. During 2004 we significantly increased our personnel, including our administrative personnel, in order to ensure we had the proper infrastructure to provide a quality education to our students and meet our stockholders' and investors' expectations.

Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 50.1% for the year ended December 31, 2004 from 49.1% for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue is directly attributable to the acquisition of Southwestern College offset by better utilization of our staff. Excluding the Southwestern College acquisition, the write-off of the deferred offering costs and the additional stock compensation expense, selling, general and administrative expenses would have decreased to 48.4% of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Interest expense. Our interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$3.0 million representing an increase of \$0.2 million or 9.0% from \$2.8 million at December 31, 2003. This increase was primarily due to an increase in the average debt balance outstanding under our previous credit agreement as a result of our acquisition of Southwestern College.

Income taxes. Our provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$9.3 million, or 41.6% of pretax income, compared to a \$5.4 million, or 39.5% of pretax income, for the year ended December 31, 2003. The higher effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2004 is primarily attributable to our higher federal tax bracket in 2004.

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002

Revenues. Revenues increased by \$59.7 million, or 42.9%, to \$198.9 million in 2003 from \$139.2 million for 2002. \$21.9 million of this increase was attributable to the acquisition of Nashville Auto-Diesel College in February 2003. The remaining \$37.8 million of the increase was primarily due to a 22.6% increase in our average student enrollment (excluding Nashville Auto-Diesel College) during the year and an increase in the average tuition charge per student resulting from tuition increases which averaged between 2% and 5% annually depending on the program. Our average student enrollment, excluding Nashville Auto-Diesel College, in 2003 was 11,227 compared with 9,155 for 2002 and, including Nashville Auto-Diesel College, our average student enrollment in 2003 was 12,487, a 36.4% increase over 2002. Growth in average student population was driven by increased demand for our allied health and automotive programs and partially offset by decreased demand for our IT programs.

Educational services and facilities expenses. Educational services and facilities expenses increased by \$18.6 million, or 28.0%, to \$85.2 million in 2003 from \$66.6 million for 2002. Our acquisition of Nashville Auto-Diesel College accounted for 51.6%, or \$9.6 million, of this increase. The remaining increase was primarily due to incremental education expenses, such as salaries for additional teachers and supplies, books and tools, related to higher average student enrollments. As a result, compensation and benefits expenses increased by \$10.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2003, we also experienced increased rent and utilities expense (approximately \$1.1 million) due to increased square footage at several facilities. Educational services and facilities expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased to 42.8% of revenues for 2003 from 47.8% for 2002, primarily due to improvements in the utilization of our existing facilities resulting from higher average student enrollments and increased student-to-teacher ratios.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$26.0 million, or 36.2%, to \$97.7 million in 2003 from \$71.8 million for 2002. Approximately \$9.5 million of this increase or 36.5% was attributed to our acquisition of Nashville Auto-Diesel College. The additional increases in selling, general and administration expenses for the year ended December 31, 2003 were primarily due to (1) a 17.9% increase in sales expense resulting mainly from incremental compensation and benefit expenses related to additional sales representatives, (2) an 18.6% increase in marketing costs as a result of increased advertising expenses associated with student leads and enrollment and (3) a 21.9% increase in student services expense as a result of our 22.6% growth in average student population. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased to 49.1% of revenues for 2003 from 51.6% of revenues for 2002 as we continued to increase the utilization and efficiencies of our facilities, in particular at our start-up schools and other schools offering allied health programs.

Interest expense and income. Interest expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 6.1%, to \$2.7 million for 2003 from \$2.9 million in 2002. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in our average debt balance outstanding under our previous credit agreement coupled with a decrease in the average interest rate paid. Although we financed the acquisition of Nashville Auto-Diesel College in 2003 using funds drawn on our

previous credit agreement and our capital expenditures were higher in 2003 than in 2002, our improved cash flow from operations in 2003 decreased our average debt balance outstanding 7.8% to \$10.7 million in 2003 as compared to \$11.7 million in 2002. Interest income decreased \$0.1 million or 37.3% to \$0.1 million for 2003 from \$0.2 million in 2002 primarily due to lower interest rates and lower cash balances.

Income taxes. Income tax provision for 2003 was \$5.4 million, or 39.5% of pretax income, compared to an income tax benefit of \$0.1 million in 2002.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary capital requirements are for facilities expansion and maintenance, acquisitions and the development of new programs. Our principal sources of liquidity have been cash provided by operating activities and borrowings under our credit agreement. The following chart summarizes the principal elements of our cash flow for the past three fiscal years and the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005:

Cash Flow Summary

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2004 | 2005 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 9,723 | \$ 27,166 | \$ 26,674 | \$ 957 | \$ (3,059) |
| Net cash used in investing activities: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (3,598) | (13,154) | (23,813) | (6,276) | (3,195) |
| Acquisitions of a business, net of cash acquired | — | (7,583) | (14,498) | (14,341) | (19,691) |
| Other | 1,443 | 12,057 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total net cash used in investing activities | (2,155) | (8,680) | (38,311) | (20,617) | (22,886) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (3,889) | 19,400 | 4,117 | (3,008) | (5,451) |

Operating Activities

As of March 31, 2005, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$10.0 million, compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$41.4 million as of December 31, 2004. Historically, we have financed our operating activities and our organic growth primarily through cash generated from operations. We have financed acquisitions primarily through borrowings under our credit agreement, and cash generated from operations. Management currently anticipates that we will be able to meet both our short-term cash needs, as well as our needs to fund operations and meet our obligations beyond the next twelve months with cash generated by operations, existing cash balances, the portion of the net proceeds of this offering remaining after any repayment of our indebtedness and, if necessary, borrowings under our new credit agreement. As of April 30, 2005, we had borrowings available under our new credit agreement of approximately \$64.9 million, including a \$15.9 million sub-limit on letters of credit.

Our primary source of cash is tuition collected from our students. Our students fund their tuition payments from a variety of sources including Title IV Programs, federal and state grants, private loans and their personal resources. The significant majority of students' tuition payments are derived from Title IV Programs. Students must apply for a new loan for each academic period. Federal regulations dictate the timing of disbursements of funds under Title IV Programs and loan funds are generally provided by lenders in two disbursements for each academic year. The first disbursement is usually received approximately 30 days after the start of a student's academic year and the second disbursement is typically received at the beginning of the sixteenth week from the start of the student's

academic year. Certain types of grants and other funding are not subject to a 30-day delay. Our programs range from 30 to 84 weeks and may cover one or two academic years. In certain instances, if a student withdraws from a program prior to a specified date, any paid but unearned tuition or prorated Title IV financial aid is refunded and the amount of the refund varies by state.

The majority of students enrolled at our schools rely on funds received under various government-sponsored student financial aid programs to pay a substantial portion of their tuition and other education-related expenses. The largest of these programs are Title IV Programs which represented approximately 81% of our cash receipts relating to revenues in 2004. As a result of the significance of the Title IV funds received by our students, we are highly dependent on these funds to operate our business. Any reduction in the level of Title IV funds that our students are eligible to receive or any impact on our ability to be able to receive Title IV funds would have a significant impact on our operations and our financial condition.

As previously discussed, the DOE has informed us that all of our current and future institutions have been placed on "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status." As a result we are subject to a less favorable Title IV fund payment system that requires us to credit student accounts before drawing down Title IV funds and are also required to timely notify the DOE with respect to certain enumerated oversight and financial events. The DOE also informed us that the corrections made to our prior financial statements will be taken into consideration when each of our institutions applies for recertification of its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs. When each of our institutions is next required to apply for recertification to participate in Title IV Programs, we expect that the DOE will also consider our audited financial statements and composite scores for our most recent fiscal year as well as for other fiscal years after 2001 and 2002.

Although no assurance can be given, we do not believe that the actions of the DOE specified above will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations since we have always operated our business in a manner similar to an institution operating under "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status" and accordingly, it has been our policy to credit student accounts before drawing down Title IV funds. We also do not believe the additional reporting requirements will cause an undue burden on our operations.

An institution is required to operate under "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status," if it has a composite score between 1.0 and 1.4. A composite score under 1.0 in any future year could have an adverse effect on our operations and would result in a default under our new credit agreement, as well as additional requirements that may be imposed by the DOE, including the posting of irrevocable letters of credit.

Net cash provided by operating activities is attributable primarily to net income adjusted for depreciation and amortization, non-cash expenses and changes in working capital items.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2004. Net cash used in operating activities was \$3.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The \$4.1 million change was primarily due to the increase in accounts payable for the three months ended March 31, 2005 being \$3.6 million less than the corresponding increase in the comparable preceding period and a \$3.3 million increase in unearned tuition offset by decreases in income taxes payable of \$2.2 million. The remaining difference resulted from changes in other working capital items, including bad debt expense and other assets.

Year Ended December 31, 2004 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2003. Net cash provided by operating activities decreased to \$26.7 million in 2004 from \$27.2 million in 2003. This decrease of \$0.5 million, or 1.8%, was primarily due to a \$1.0 million increase in stock compensation expense in accordance with FASB No. 123 and a \$1.8 million increase in our provision for doubtful accounts as a

result of a \$62.4 million increase in revenue offset by decreases that resulted from changes in working capital items, including deferred income taxes and accrued expenses.

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002. Net cash provided by operating activities increased to \$27.2 million in 2003 from \$9.7 million in 2002. This increase of \$17.5 million, or 179%, was primarily due to an \$8.9 million increase in net income and a \$2.4 million decrease in cash payments to the pension plan. The remainder of the increase resulted from changes in working capital items, including deferred income taxes and accrued expenses offset by increased accounts receivable and lower accounts payable and unearned tuition.

Investing Activities

We currently lease almost all of our campuses. As we execute our growth strategy, strategic acquisitions of campuses may be considered. In addition, although our current growth strategy is to continue our organic growth, strategic acquisitions of operations will be considered. To the extent that these potential strategic acquisitions are large enough to require financing beyond available cash from operations and borrowings under our credit facilities, we may incur additional debt or issue additional debt or equity securities.

Our new credit agreement also restricts the amount of capital expenditures we may make to \$35 million for any of the four fiscal quarterly periods ending March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005 or December 31, 2005. Management does not believe that this capital expenditure limit in 2005 will have any impact on our operations.

Our cash used in investing activities is primarily related to the purchase of property and equipment and in acquiring schools. Our capital expenditures primarily result from facility expansion, leasehold improvements, and investments in classroom and shop technology and in operating systems. On January 11, 2005, we acquired New England Technical Institute for \$19.7 million in cash.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2004. Net cash used in investing activities increased \$2.3 million from \$20.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 to \$22.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in cash used in acquisitions of \$5.4 million in connection with the acquisition of New England Technical Institute, offset by a decrease in capital expenditures of \$3.1 million. Under the terms of our new credit agreement, we may not make more than \$35.0 million in capital expenditures payments in each fiscal quarter in 2005.

Year Ended December 31, 2004 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2003. Net cash used in investing activities increased \$29.6 million from \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 to \$38.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in cash used in acquisitions of \$6.9 million in connection with the acquisition of Southwestern College as well as the receipt in the prior year of approximately \$3.0 million from the sale of securities. Additionally, \$9.0 million was released from restricted cash for the year ended December 31, 2003 in connection with the refinancing of our credit agreement and we had an increase in capital expenditures of \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from the year ended December 31, 2003.

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002. Capital expenditures increased to \$13.2 million in 2003 from \$3.6 million in 2002. This increase of \$9.6 million is primarily attributable to constructing allied health training rooms in 13 of our schools and three training facilities for our electronic systems technician program.

Capital expenditures are expected to increase as we upgrade and expand current equipment and facilities or open new facilities to meet increased student enrollments. We opened a new 126,000 square foot automotive school in Indianapolis, Indiana in the second quarter of 2004 and a new 40,000 square

foot allied health and business and information technology school in Lincoln, Rhode Island in October 2004. We also took possession of our new 48,000 square foot Queens, New York automotive campus in the first quarter of 2005 with an expected opening date in 2006. Additionally, we are evaluating several other expansion opportunities. We anticipate capital expenditures to be approximately 8% to 10% of revenues. We expect to be able to fund these capital expenditures with cash generated from operating activities and proceeds from this offering.

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Acquisitions and Start-ups" for a discussion of our investments in acquisitions in recent years.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$3.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. This increase is mainly attributable to debt repayments under our old credit agreement as well as payment of deferred financing fees in connection with our credit agreement in the three months ended March 31, 2005.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$19.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This decrease is mainly attributable to our borrowing \$26.0 million less in 2004 than in 2003.

At December 31, 2004, our wholly-owned operating subsidiary, Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., its subsidiaries and Southwestern College had \$35.8 million in loans outstanding and \$4.0 million in letters of credit outstanding under our previous credit agreement that was entered into as of February 11, 2003 to refinance our prior credit agreement. At December 31, 2004, the interest rate on the amounts outstanding under our previous credit agreement ranged from 5.70% to 6.75%.

On February 15, 2005, we and our subsidiaries entered into a new credit agreement with a syndicate of banks. This new credit agreement provides for a \$100 million revolving credit facility with a term of five years under which any outstanding borrowings bear interest at the rate of adjusted LIBOR (as defined in the new credit agreement) plus a margin that may range from 1.00% to 1.75% or a base rate (as defined in the new credit agreement) plus a margin that may range from 0.00% to 0.25%. At April 30, 2005, the interest rate on the amounts outstanding under the new credit agreement was 4.07%. The new credit agreement permits the issuance of letters of credit up to an aggregate amount of \$20.0 million, the amount of which reduces the availability of permitted borrowings under the new credit agreement.

Our and our subsidiaries' obligations under the new credit agreement are secured by a lien on substantially all of our and our subsidiaries' assets and any assets that we and our subsidiaries may acquire in the future, including a pledge of substantially all of our subsidiaries' common stock. In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the new credit agreement, we are required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders with respect to the unused amounts available under the new credit agreement at a rate that may range from 0.25% to 0.40% per year. We are charged quarterly interest at an annual rate of 1.25% as of April 30, 2005 on the outstanding balance of letters of credit issued under the new credit agreement.

The new credit agreement contains various covenants, including a number of financial covenants. Furthermore, the new credit agreement contains customary events of default as well as an event of default in the event of the suspension or termination of Title IV Program funding for our and our subsidiaries' schools aggregating 10% or more of our EBITDA (as defined in the new credit agreement) or our and our subsidiaries' consolidated total assets and such suspension or termination is not cured within a specified period. See "Description of Credit Agreement."

The following table sets forth our long-term debt for the periods indicated:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | March 31, 2005 |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Credit agreement | \$ 10,460 | \$ 32,000 | \$ 35,750(1) | \$ 31,000 |
| Automobile loans | 132 | 132 | 113 | 105 |
| Finance obligation | 9,503 | 9,503 | 9,672 | 9,672 |
| Capital leases—computers (with rates ranging from 6.97% to 19.9%) | 2,587 | 1,425 | 1,294 | 1,229 |
| Subtotal | 22,682 | 43,060 | 46,829 | 42,006 |
| Less current maturities | (2,085) | (3,624) | (5,311) | (311) |
| | \$ 20,597 | \$ 39,436 | \$ 41,518 | \$ 41,695 |

(1) This credit agreement was replaced by the new credit agreement described above on February 15, 2005.

Contractual Obligations

Long-Term Debt. As of December 31, 2004, our long-term debt consisted entirely of amounts borrowed under our previous credit agreement, the finance obligation in connection with our sale-leaseback transaction in 2001 and amounts due under capital lease obligations.

Lease Commitments. We lease offices, educational facilities and various equipment for varying periods through the year 2020 at basic annual rentals (excluding taxes, insurance, and other expenses under certain leases).

The following table contains supplemental information regarding our total contractual obligations as of March 31, 2005, measured from the end of our fiscal year, December 31, 2004:

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 2-3 years | 4-5 years | After 5 years |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Credit facility (excluding interest)(1) | \$ 35,750 | \$ 5,000 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 30,750 |
| Capital leases (including interest) | 1,603 | 372 | 556 | 400 | 275 |
| Operating leases | 103,138 | 12,095 | 23,262 | 20,336 | 47,445 |
| Finance obligation | 15,205 | 1,258 | 2,516 | 2,516 | 8,915 |
| Automobile loans (including interest) | 120 | 36 | 68 | 16 | — |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 155,816 | \$ 18,761 | \$ 26,402 | \$ 23,268 | \$ 87,385 |

(1) We entered into a new credit facility on February 15, 2005, with a term of five years. The amount outstanding, as of April 30, 2005, under our new credit agreement was \$31.0 million, in addition to \$4.1 million in outstanding letters of credit. Interest amounts under the credit agreement vary by term of the underlying instrument and are not fixed in nature or timing of payment. As of April 30, 2005, the interest rate under the credit agreement was 4.34%. Assuming that the amount outstanding under the credit agreement at April 30, 2005 remained outstanding for a full year and that the interest rate did not change, interest under the credit agreement for that year would be approximately \$1.3 million.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We had no off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2004 or March 31, 2005.

Related Party Transactions

In 2003, we entered into a multi-year management service agreement with our majority stockholder, Stonington. In accordance with this agreement we pay Stonington Partners a management fee of \$0.75 million in the first month of each year for management consulting and financial and business advisory services. Such services include valuing acquisitions and structuring their financing and assisting with new loan agreements. This agreement will terminate upon completion of this offering.

We had a consulting agreement with Hart Capital to advise us in identifying acquisition and merger targets and to assist with the due diligence reviews and negotiations with these targets. This agreement terminated by its terms in June 2004. Hart Capital is the managing member of Five Mile River Capital Partners, which is our second largest stockholder, and Steven Hart, who is the owner and President of Hart Capital, sits on our board of directors. We paid Hart Capital a monthly retainer, reimbursement of expenses and an advisory fee for its work on successful acquisitions or mergers. In accordance with the agreement, we paid Hart Capital approximately \$0.7 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively. Pursuant to the agreement, we had the obligation to make certain payments to Hart Capital with respect to acquisition opportunities identified for us by Hart Capital prior to the termination of the agreement if we consummated any such acquisition opportunities within the twelve months following the termination of the agreement. We paid Hart Capital \$0.3 million for its services in connection with the New England Technical Institute acquisition, which closed on January 11, 2005.

Seasonality and Trends

Our net revenues and operating results normally fluctuate as a result of seasonal variations in our business, principally due to changes in total student population. Student population varies as a result of new student enrollments, graduations and student attrition. Historically, our schools have had lower student populations in our first and second quarters and we have experienced large class starts in the third and fourth quarters and student attrition in the first half of the year. Our expenses, however, do not vary significantly with changes in our student population and revenues. During the first half the year, we make significant investments in marketing, staff, programs and facilities to ensure that we meet our second half of the year targets and, as a result, such expenses do not fluctuate significantly on a quarterly basis. We expect quarterly fluctuation in operating results to continue as a result of seasonal enrollment patterns. Such patterns may change, however, as a result of new school openings, new program introductions, increased enrollments of adult students or acquisitions.

Operating income is negatively impacted during the initial start-up phase of new campus expansions. We incur sales and marketing costs as well as campus personnel costs in advance of the campus facility opening. Typically we begin to incur such costs approximately 15 months in advance of the campus opening with the majority of such costs being incurred in the nine-month period prior to a campus opening. During the current year, we initiated expansion efforts for one new campus, located in Queens, New York, which is scheduled to open in 2006.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our principal exposure to market risk relates to changes in interest rates. At April 30, 2005, we had \$31.0 million of loans outstanding under our new credit agreement and \$4.1 million in outstanding letters of credit. Loans outstanding under our credit agreement accrue interest at a rate of 4.07%. Each 1% increase in this interest rate would add \$0.3 million per year to our interest expense. We intend to

use a portion of the proceeds from this offering to repay all outstanding amounts under our new credit agreement. See "Use of Proceeds."

Effect of Inflation

Inflation has not had and is not expected to have a significant effect on our operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. ("FIN") 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*. FIN 45 elaborates on the existing disclosure requirements for most guarantees, including loan guarantees such as standby letters of credit. It also clarifies that at the time a company issues a guarantee, we must recognize an initial liability for the fair value of the obligations we assume under the guarantee and must disclose that information in our financial statements. The provisions related to recognizing a liability at inception of the guarantee for the fair value of the guarantor's obligations does not apply to product warranties or to guarantees accounted for as derivatives. The initial recognition and initial measurement provisions apply on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. We had no guarantees which require disclosure under FIN 45 as of December 31, 2004.

In November 2002, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") reached a consensus on Issue No. 00-21 "*Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*" ("EITF 00-21"). Under EITF 00-21, in order to separate the accounting for multiple deliverables (products or services) provided to a customer by a vendor, the deliverables must have separable value to the customer. EITF 00-21 is effective for us for revenue arrangements entered into after January 1, 2004. The adoption of EITF 00-21 did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, as amended in December 2003 by FIN 46 (Revised) ("FIN 46-R"), which deferred the effective date until the first interim or annual reporting period ending after March 15, 2004. FIN 46-R requires the consolidation of an entity by an enterprise known as a "primary beneficiary," (i) if that enterprise has a variable interest that will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses, if they occur, receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns, if they occur, or both and (ii) if the entity is a variable interest entity ("VIE"), as defined. An entity qualifies as a variable interest entity if (i) the total equity investment at risk in the entity is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties or (ii) the equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest in the entity. The initial determination of whether an entity is a variable interest entity shall be made as of the date at which an enterprise becomes involved with the entity and re-evaluated as of the date of triggering events, as defined. The adoption of FIN 46-R did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "*Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Liabilities, Equity, or Both*," which establishes standards for classifying and measuring as liabilities certain financial instruments that embody obligations of the issuer and have characteristics of both liabilities and equity. On November 7, 2003, the FASB deferred, indefinitely, the application of paragraphs 9 and 10 of SFAS No. 150 as it relates to mandatory redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated subsidiaries in order to address a number of interpretation and implementation issues. The adoption of SFAS 150 did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, "*Share Based Payment*," which eliminated the alternative to measure stock-based compensation awards using the intrinsic value approach permitted by APB Opinion No. 25, "*Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*" and by SFAS No. 123. We have not yet determined what impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have on the consolidated

financial statements; however, as discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus, we adopted the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation provisions of SFAS No. 123 and the retroactive transitional provisions of SFAS No. 148, "*Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure*." As a result, we have been recording stock-based compensation expense for all employee stock awards that were granted or modified.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, "*Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an Amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*." SFAS No. 153 addresses the measurement of exchanges of nonmonetary assets and requires that such exchanges be measured at fair value, with limited exceptions. SFAS No. 153 amends APB Opinion No. 29 by eliminating the exception that required nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets be recorded on a carryover basis. The provisions of SFAS No. 153 are effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We will adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 153, as required.

BUSINESS

Overview

We are a leading and diversified for-profit provider of career-oriented post-secondary education as measured by total enrollment and number of graduates. We offer recent high school graduates and working adults degree and diploma programs in four principal areas of study: automotive technology, allied health (which includes programs for medical administrative assistants, medical assistants, pharmacy technicians and massage therapists), skilled trades and business and information technology. At March 31, 2005, our automotive technology program, our allied health program, our skilled trades program and our business and information technology program accounted for 44.2%, 37.5%, 13.2% and 5.1%, respectively, of our total enrollment. We had 17,630 students enrolled as of March 31, 2005 and our average enrollment for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was 17,692 students, an increase of 14.8% from average enrollment of 15,417 for the three months ended March 31, 2004. For the three months ended March 31, 2005, our revenues were \$70.9 million, which represents an increase of 19.0% from the three months ended March 31, 2004. Excluding our acquisition of New England Technical Institute in January 2005, our revenues and average enrollments would have increased by 13.2% and 8.7%, respectively, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004. For the year ended December 31, 2004, our revenues were \$261.3 million, which represents a 31.4% increase from the year ended December 31, 2003. Excluding our acquisition of Southwestern College in January 2004, our revenues and average enrollments for the year ended December 31, 2004 would have increased by 27.5% and 22.4%, respectively, compared to the year ended December 31, 2003.

As of March 31, 2005 we operated 32 campuses under seven well-established brands with long operating histories: Lincoln Technical Institute (58 years of operation), Nashville Auto-Diesel College (85 years), Denver Automotive & Diesel College (41 years), The Cittone Institute (36 years), Career Education Institute (21 years), Southwestern College (26 years) and New England Technical Institute, which we acquired on January 11, 2005 and has been in operation for 65 years. Our campuses, the majority of which serve major metropolitan markets, are located in various areas throughout the United States. Four of our campuses are destination schools, which attract students from across the United States and, in some cases, from abroad. Our other campuses primarily attract students from their local communities and surrounding areas. All of our schools are nationally accredited and eligible to participate in federal financial aid programs. Southwestern College received an executed provisional program participation agreement from the DOE. New England Technical Institute has received an executed temporary provisional program participation agreement from the DOE.

On January 11, 2005, we acquired the rights, title and interest in the assets used in the conduct and operation of New England Technical Institute for a purchase price of \$19.7 million. New England Technical Institute operates four schools in New Britain, Hamden, Shelton and Cromwell, Connecticut and provides programs in automotive technology, allied health, business and information technology and skilled trades, including culinary arts and nursing. This acquisition expands our presence in the northeastern U.S. and increased the number of campuses we operate from 28 to 32.

We believe that we provide our students with the highest quality career-oriented training available for our areas of study in our markets. We offer programs in areas of study that we believe are typically underserved by traditional providers of post-secondary education and for which we believe there exists significant demand among students and employers. Furthermore, we believe our convenient class scheduling, career focused curricula and emphasis on job placement offer our students valuable advantages that have been neglected by the traditional academic sector. By combining substantial hands-on training with traditional classroom-based training led by experienced instructors, we believe we offer our students a unique opportunity to develop practical job skills in key areas of expected job demand. We believe these job skills enable our students to compete effectively for employment opportunities and to pursue on-going salary and career advancement.

History

We were founded in 1946 as Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc. Our programs were initially focused on automotive technical education and were subsequently expanded into adjacent fields including skilled trades, business and information technology and, most recently, allied health, in order to capitalize on our expertise in creating and delivering technical education programs. In June 1999, Stonington acquired a controlling interest in us. Following the close of that transaction, David Carney was named our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and has worked with our senior management to refocus our business on growing our campuses and student population, expanding our areas of study and improving our operational performance. From 1999 through December 31, 2004, we have added nine schools through our acquisitions of Denver Automotive & Diesel College in 2000 (one campus), Career Education Institute in 2001 (two campuses), Nashville Auto-Diesel College in 2003 (one campus) and Southwestern College in 2004 (five campuses). In that same time, we have also started eight new campuses and introduced more than 50 new programs of study across our campuses. In January 2005, we acquired New England Technical Institute, which increased the number of our campuses by four. As a result of these initiatives, we have significantly grown and diversified our program offerings and student population.

Market Opportunity

The market for post-secondary education is large and growing. Expenditures on post-secondary education in the United States totaled over \$350.8 billion in the 2003-2004 academic year. The number of students in the United States obtaining some form of post-secondary education totaled 16.1 million in fall of 2002, and The National Center for Education Statistics estimates enrollments will grow to approximately 18.2 million by 2013.

Although expenditures on and enrollment in post-secondary education as a whole do not necessarily reflect a market opportunity for the career-oriented, post-secondary education sector that we serve, we believe that this sector will grow due to, among other factors, a variety of demographic and economic factors, including an increasing demand for skilled workers, an increasing wage premium for skilled workers, a growing number of high school graduates and working adults seeking post-secondary education, and market share gains from traditional academic institutions. We believe that for-profit providers will continue to increase the percentage of students served as for-profit providers are able to offer students advantages relative to traditional academic colleges and universities, including convenient course scheduling, career-oriented curricula and strong job placement opportunities. According to the DOE, over the last 25 years, enrollments at for-profit post-secondary institutions, which comprised 3.3% of total student enrollments in post-secondary institutions in 2001, have experienced a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 10% versus enrollments at traditional colleges and universities, which have had a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 1% over the same time period.

Government spending in support of post-secondary education is another significant factor supporting the growth in student enrollment. According to the DOE, total government aid to support this sector is estimated to have grown from \$45 billion in the 2000-2001 academic year to over \$80 billion for the fiscal 2006 budget. The federal government provides the majority of this assistance in the form of aid or loans to qualified students. The remainder of government aid comes from state and local government sources. Private third-party lenders also provide student financial aid.

We believe there is significant and growing demand by employers for individuals possessing skills in each of our areas of study. The U.S. Department of Labor estimates that there will be approximately 4.8 million job openings due to growth and net replacements from 2002 to 2012 in careers related to the areas of study we offer. Furthermore, we believe that each of our four areas of study have strong entry-level employment opportunities and ongoing career and salary advancement potential.

Automotive Technology. The U.S. Department of Labor estimates that there were approximately 1,038,000 working automotive servicers and repairers in the United States in 2002, and that this number is expected to increase by 12.5% from 2002 to 2012 due to aggregate growth and net replacements of 392,000 job openings during this period. In fact, the National Auto Dealers Association cites a current shortage of approximately 60,000 automotive technicians. In addition, the U.S. Department of Labor estimates that there were approximately 267,000 bus and truck mechanics and diesel engine specialists in the United States in 2002 and that their number is expected to increase by 14.2% by 2012 due to aggregate growth and net replacements of 107,000 jobs. Replacements are being driven by the rising average age of automotive technicians in the United States, which results in the creation of "replacement" job openings when a retiring technician exits the workforce. Growth is being driven by an increase in the number of automobiles and trucks, as well as rapid technological advancement that has raised hiring standards and increased the need for continuous training. Furthermore, the recurring need for, and expense of, training has led many automotive manufacturers to outsource training previously conducted in-house or in partnership with their local dealerships.

Allied Health. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, allied health represents one of the fastest growing segments of the domestic economy. Of the 30 fastest growing jobs from 2002-2012, the U.S. Department of Labor anticipates that 13 will be health-related. This growing demand for allied health professionals is being driven by technological advancements in healthcare, the aging population in the United States and an increasing awareness of health-related issues, all trends which we expect to continue for the foreseeable future. The U.S. Department of Labor estimates that there were over 5.3 million allied health positions (defined to include healthcare technicians and related support occupations) in 2002 and projects that this number will increase to 7.0 million in 2012. Similar to the growth projected in the total allied health sector, we expect strong demand within our specific program areas, which include medical administrative assistant, medical assistant and pharmacy technician. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, the number of medical assistants and pharmacy technicians is expected to increase from 576,000 in 2002 to 850,000 in 2012.

Skilled Trades. The skilled trades industry covers a wide variety of fields, including construction, equipment installation and repair and drafting occupations. Job growth is being driven by technological advancement, as well as a shift away from traditional training sources. Many public vocational schools, which have historically trained skilled trades professionals, are suffering from reduced funding, creating increased opportunities for non-traditional providers of skilled trades programs, such as for-profit post-secondary institutions. Specifically, our program offerings include: skilled electronic systems technicians, mechanical and architectural drafting specialists and heating, ventilation and air conditioning, or HVAC, technicians. For example, the U.S. Department of Labor estimates that there were 216,000 drafters and 249,000 HVAC installers in 2002 and employment is expected to grow to 222,000 and 328,000, respectively, in these areas by 2012. In addition to the skilled trades fields that our programs cover, there is also demand for skilled trades professionals in construction, plumbing and electrical wiring. We continually evaluate opportunities to develop or acquire the curriculum to offer programs designed to prepare our graduates to enter these and other attractive skilled trade professions.

Business and Information Technology. Despite recent weakness in the business and information technology sector, we believe demand still exists for business and information technology professionals. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, of the top 30 fastest growing jobs, seven are IT-related. Furthermore, we believe that the industry will be positively impacted by an economic recovery and accompanying business investment that will drive the demand for IT technicians to upgrade computer hardware and install, integrate and operate new software applications. We have focused our business and information technology program offerings on the skills that we believe will be most marketable in the business and information technology job market in the coming years. Our business and information technology programs include: software applications technology, network and PC systems technology,

network systems administration, business administration and graphic design. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, there was an aggregate of 1.2 million computer software application engineers, computer support specialists, and network and computer systems administrators in 2002 and this number is expected to grow to 1.5 million in 2012.

Business Strengths

We believe that the following strengths differentiate us from our competitors:

Program Diversification. We believe the breadth of our areas of study and the diversity of our offerings increase our addressable market and enhance our overall revenue stability and operational flexibility. The breadth of our areas of study improves our potential for program expansion by providing us with a broader range of areas upon which to add or expand. The diversity of our program and degree offerings broadens our addressable market by attracting a varied student population, including recent high school graduates and working adults, both men and women. Additionally, our program offerings enable us to capitalize on positive trends in certain segments of the economy while reducing our dependence on other segments, as we have recently demonstrated by expanding our allied health programs to take advantage of job growth in these areas.

Operational Flexibility. Our operational infrastructure is highly flexible and enables us to adapt quickly to changing market trends. Our management team is experienced in the development of new programs and curricula that we can rapidly roll out to our schools. For example, we developed several allied health programs, including Medical Assisting, which was piloted in one school and then replicated across our system to an additional 13 schools. We also developed the Electronic System Technology program at our Melrose Park campus and to date have replicated it to five additional campuses. This program is designed to train students in cable systems, audio/visual circuits, computer networks, fiber optics, telecommunications and satellite systems, and other related specialized programs. We recently launched online associate degree completion programs and we expect to introduce other online degree completion programs, as well as 100% online degree programs. We expect our online program offerings to broaden our market and further enhance our program flexibility. We also have substantial experience with the complex regulatory environment in which we operate and we believe this enables us to efficiently obtain the required approvals for new programs, expansion and acquisitions. We are also focused on the continual evaluation of our portfolio of schools and programs to maximize our facility utilization and can rapidly reallocate classroom space and other resources as necessary to exploit market opportunities. Finally, once we enter a new market through expansion or acquisition, our marketing and recruiting programs are designed to quickly populate the new program or school. For example, in 2002, we rapidly developed our allied health program curriculum, obtained the requisite regulatory approval, redesigned some of our classrooms and began to successfully market this new program offering. While allied health accounted for only 7% of our total enrollments as of December 31, 2002, it accounted for 24% of our total enrollments as of December 31, 2003, 31.9% as of December 31, 2004 and 37.5% as of March 31, 2005.

Strong Brands and Geographic Presence. We believe that our schools have established a strong competitive position in their respective local markets and significant brand recognition with prospective students and employers. The majority of our 32 campuses serve major metropolitan markets in the United States. Our Lincoln Technical Institute, Denver Automotive & Diesel College, Nashville Auto-Diesel College, The Cittone Institute, Career Education Institute, Southwestern College and New England Technical Institute brands provide us with local market representation in 15 states, while our destination schools—Denver Automotive & Diesel College, Nashville Auto-Diesel College, our Columbia, Maryland Lincoln Technical Institute School and our Indianapolis, Indiana Lincoln Technical Institute school—attract students from across the United States and, in some cases, from abroad. Unlike competitors with single or regional campus models, we are able to recruit effectively from a

national pool of potential students while also securing job placement for our graduates on a broader basis.

Strong Marketing and Student Recruitment. We use a variety of marketing and recruiting methods to attract students and increase enrollments. Our program combines various methods of media advertising such as television, the Internet and print media with a team of field- and campus-based recruiting representatives. Our media advertising is directed primarily at attracting high school students and working adults to our local campuses. Our field-based recruiting representatives make presentations at high schools to attract students to our local and destination campuses while our campus-based recruiting representatives field calls from our toll-free number that is included in our advertising and follow-up with potential students on an individual basis. We continuously monitor and adjust the focus of our marketing and recruiting efforts to maximize efficiency and minimize our student acquisition costs. In addition, our administrators actively work with our current students, graduates and others to encourage referrals, which have historically represented over 20% of our new enrollments.

Experienced Management Team. Our senior management team has over 200 years of combined experience and an average of approximately 20 years of experience in the for-profit, post-secondary education industry. Mr. David Carney, our Chief Executive Officer, has 27 years of experience with for-profit education companies, including serving as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Development for the chain of technical schools owned by British Oxygen Group Limited which he grew from four schools when he started to 25 schools ten years later. Mr. Lawrence Brown, our President and Chief Operating Officer, has over 32 years of experience in the industry. He has been with us for more than 27 years, and has performed various roles such as admissions officer/director, school director, regional Vice President and Executive Vice President. Mr. Thomas McHugh, our Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer, has 25 years of experience with for-profit education companies. We believe that our management team's deep understanding of the for-profit, post-secondary education industry enables us to successfully manage our operations and facilitate our growth.

Growth Strategy

Our goal is to strengthen our role as a leading and diversified provider of career-oriented post-secondary education by continuing to pursue the following growth strategies:

Expand Existing Areas of Study and Existing Facilities. We believe we can leverage our existing operations to capitalize on the growing demand from students and employers in our local markets. We are adding new programs and degree offerings in our current areas of study and are expanding several of our campus facilities.

- **Expand Existing Areas of Study.** We are expanding our program offerings in our existing areas of study by replicating existing programs, developing new, but related, programs and increasing our degree offerings.
 - We replicate programs by taking the curriculum for an existing program at one school and introducing it to other schools across our campus base. The replication of our Electronic System Technician program, which we introduced at one school in September 2001, three schools in 2003 and two schools in 2004, is an example of the success of our program replication. We plan to further replicate our electronic system technician program at additional locations in the future.
 - The development of new, but related, programs in existing areas of study allows us to expand our program offerings and increase enrollments at a relatively low cost. For example, our recently developed pharmacy technician program leverages our existing allied

health expertise and infrastructure while attracting a new base of students and satisfying employers' evolving needs. We are currently developing three new allied health programs and one new skilled trades program.

- We believe expanding our associate degree offerings will increase our average enrollments and the average length of stay of our students, broaden our addressable market and diversify our student demographics. We currently offer associate degrees in selected programs at 16 of our campuses and are seeking to offer associate degrees at six additional campuses.

- **Expand Existing Facilities.** We are expanding our existing facilities by renovating certain schools and relocating other schools to expand capacity. This will enable us to roll out new programs and attract more students. For example, we moved to a new facility in June 2004 in Indianapolis, Indiana which accommodates 2,200 students, nearly doubling the capacity of our two other facilities in that city. This additional space will allow us to grow our student population and further diversify our product offering. We moved into a new 40,000 square foot facility in October 2004 in Lincoln, Rhode Island which allowed us to consolidate facilities into one location and more than double our classroom space. Operationally, we believe these new facilities will be more efficient to manage and will accommodate increased enrollments and programs.

Enter New Geographic Markets and New Areas of Study. We believe we can increase our student enrollments by entering selected new geographic markets and new areas of study. We target new markets and areas of study that we believe have significant growth potential and where we can leverage our reputation and operating expertise. We expect that our entrance into new geographic markets and areas of study will increase our diversification and potential for future program expansion.

- **Enter New Geographic Markets.** We continuously evaluate entry into markets where we can start new schools. In the summer of 2001, we acquired eight schools that we consider start-ups because they did not have any students or staff. In starting these schools, we obtained the necessary government approvals for financial aid, hired teaching professionals and administrative staff, implemented our programs, marketed the schools under new brands and successfully populated the schools with students. Our reputation, experienced regulatory personnel and management expertise enabled us to begin accepting students in all of these schools within six months of acquisition. Today, these schools enroll more than 2,500 students. Our most recent start-up is in partnership with the Greater New York Area Automobile Dealers Association and is expected to offer programs in automotive technology starting in 2006. Construction has begun on our facility in Queens, New York and this location will allow us to capitalize on the significant employer and student demand in an attractive market that we believe is currently underserved.
- **Enter New Areas of Study.** We continuously evaluate new, high-growth areas of study that are in demand by students and employers. For example, in 2002, we targeted allied health and successfully developed and launched a suite of programs in that area of study. We typically require six to 18 months to develop new programs and to obtain necessary regulatory approvals. On January 11, 2005, we acquired New England Technical Institute, which offers programs in the culinary arts and nursing, which are new programs for us. In addition, in 2005 we intend to introduce a new program for dental assistants.

Opportunistically Pursue Strategic Acquisitions. In evaluating potential acquisitions, we seek to identify schools with the potential for program replication at our existing campuses, new areas of study, new markets with attractive growth opportunities and advanced degree programs. We also look for schools whose operations we can improve by leveraging our sales and marketing expertise, business management systems and our experienced management team. In 2003, we acquired Nashville

Auto-Diesel College, which provided us entry into the attractive Nashville, Tennessee market. We were able to successfully leverage our expertise and improve the operating income margins at Nashville Auto-Diesel College from 3.9% to 18.0% in the twelve months following the acquisition. In January 2004, we completed our acquisition of Southwestern College and on January 11, 2005, we completed the acquisition of New England Technical Institute. We continue to evaluate attractive acquisition candidates and believe that we will be successful in locating such candidates.

Introduce Online Education Alternatives. We recently launched our online initiative to capitalize on the rapidly growing demand for, and flexibility provided by, online education alternatives. Initially, we are offering our diploma graduates the opportunity to earn their associate degree online and we expect to expand our offering to include other degree completion programs as well as 100% online degree programs. We began offering online courses in mid-2004. This should broaden our addressable market and be an attractive option for students without the geographic or financial flexibility to enroll in campus-based programs. We believe that we will be able to leverage our existing programs and campuses, as well as our relationship with eCollege.com, a provider of technology and services for online learning programs, in developing our online offerings. We initially plan to use our online offerings to enable certificate and diploma automotive students and graduates to obtain an associate degree. These students or graduates must complete a series of general education courses, which are conducive to being offered online, to obtain an associate degree. We do not anticipate that these online offerings will contribute meaningfully to our 2005 revenues.

We have a two year contract with eCollege that expires December 31, 2005, and we expect to renew the contract. Under the terms of the existing contract, eCollege provides us with a website housed on eCollege servers which serves as a portal for students to access our on-line curriculum. The eCollege platform tracks all interaction between the student and the instructor. In addition, we purchased \$75,000 of prepaid professional services of which approximately \$25,000 remains to be used. The professional services were for customization of the eCollege portal and course design and development.

Programs and Areas of Study

We structure our program offerings to provide our students with practical, career-oriented education and position our students for attractive entry-level job opportunities in their chosen fields. Our programs are designed to be completed in 24 to 100 weeks. Tuition ranges from \$8,000 to \$26,000, depending on the length of the program and the area of study. All of our schools offer diploma and certificate programs and currently 16 of our schools are approved to offer associate degree programs. In order to accommodate the schedules of our students and maximize classroom utilization, we typically offer courses five days a week in three shifts a day and start new classes every month. We update and expand our programs frequently to reflect the latest technological advances in the field, providing our students with the specific skills and knowledge required in the current marketplace. Classroom instruction combines lectures and demonstrations by our experienced faculty with comprehensive hands-on laboratory exercises in simulated workplace environments.

The following table lists the programs offered and the number of students enrolled in each area of study as of March 31, 2005.

Programs Offered

| Area of Study | Associate | Diploma and Certificate(1) | Enrollment | Percent of Total Enrollment |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|------------|-----------------------------|
| Automotive Technology | Auto Service Management, Collision Repair, Diesel Technology, Diesel & Truck Service Management | Automotive Mechanics, Automotive Technology, Collision Repair, Diesel Truck Mechanics, Diesel Technology, Diesel & Truck Technology, Master Automotive Technology | 7,792 | 44.2% |
| Allied Health | — | Medical Administrative Assisting, Medical Assisting, Pharmacy Technology, Therapeutic Massage & Body Technology | 6,616 | 37.5% |
| Skilled Trades | Mechanical/Architectural Drafting, Electronics Engineering Technology | Electronic Servicing, Electronics Engineering Technology, Electronics System Technology, HVAC, Mechanical/Architectural Drafting | 2,330 | 13.2% |
| Business and Information Technology | PC Systems & Networking Technology | Business Administration, Graphic Web Design, Network Systems Administration, PC Support Technology | 892 | 5.1% |
| Total: | | | 17,630 | 100.0% |

(1) Additional programs in development: Dental Assisting, Billing and Coding, Health Information Technology, and Electrician. Upon our acquisition of New England Technical Institute in January 2005, we added programs in nursing, electrical and culinary arts.

Automotive Technology. Automotive technology represents our largest area of study, with 44.2% of our enrollments as of March 31, 2005. Our automotive technology programs are 24 to 100 weeks in length, with tuition rates of \$8,000 to \$24,000. We believe we are a leading provider of automotive technology education in each of our local markets. Graduates of our programs are qualified to obtain entry level employment ranging from positions as technicians and mechanics to various apprentice level positions. Our graduates are employed by a wide variety of employers, ranging from automotive and diesel dealers, independent auto body paint and repair shops, to trucking and construction companies.

In 2002, we signed a lease on a new 48,000 square foot facility in Queens, New York that we expect to open in 2006. This school will offer courses in automotive technology and will operate under the Lincoln Technical Institute brand name in partnership with the Greater New York Area Automobile Dealers Association. The Greater New York Area Automobile Dealers Association represents over 500 dealers in New York and Connecticut that comprise a large source of potential employers for our graduates, both at Queens and at our other automotive schools. We expect that we will be able to leverage our current advertising in the New York City television market to not only offer potential students who could not travel to one of our New Jersey schools a closer-to-home opportunity, but also to gain access to the entire eastern Long Island and southern Connecticut markets.

We have a partnership with BMW that offers our automotive technology students the opportunity to work for BMW through the Service Technician Education Program (STEP). The STEP program is a "graduate" school program for individuals who have successfully earned an automotive certification either at one of our schools or any of our competitor's schools. Students who are admitted to the STEP program have their tuition paid for by BMW and upon successfully completing the program are

typically employed as BMW mechanics. The BMW STEP program commenced at our Columbia, Maryland facility in the second quarter of 2004. Our partnership with BMW signifies our high quality education capabilities and is an attractive marketing program.

All of our Lincoln Technical Institute schools, with the exception of our Allentown, Pennsylvania campus, offer programs in automotive technology, in most cases in addition to other technical programs. Denver Automotive & Diesel College and Nashville Auto-Diesel College, which we acquired in 2000 and 2003, respectively, currently offer programs exclusively in automotive technology. Denver Automotive & Diesel College, Nashville Auto-Diesel College, our Columbia, Maryland Lincoln Technical Institute school and our Indianapolis, Indiana Lincoln Technical Institute schools are destination schools, attracting students from throughout the United States and, in some cases, from abroad.

Allied Health. As of March 31, 2005, allied health represented our second largest area of study, with 37.5% of our total enrollments up from 7.0% in 2002. Our allied health programs are 30 to 65 weeks in length, with tuition rates of \$8,000 to \$12,000. Graduates of our programs are qualified to obtain positions such as medical administrative assistant, EKG technician, claims examiner and pharmacy technician. Our graduates are employed by a wide variety of employers, including hospitals, laboratories, insurance companies, doctors' offices and pharmacies.

Our medical assistant and medical administrative assistant programs are our largest allied health programs. In 2003, we introduced our therapeutic massage & body technology program and our pharmacy technician program which we currently offer in twelve and nine of our campuses, respectively. We expect to augment these existing allied health programs with a new program in dental assisting and we are considering adding billing and coding and health information technology to our program offerings.

We offer allied health programs at all of our Cittone Institute and Career Education Institute schools, Southwestern College, and our Allentown, Pennsylvania and Melrose, Illinois Lincoln Technical Institute schools and at select New England Technical Institute schools.

Skilled Trades. As of March 31, 2005, 13.2% of our students were enrolled in our skilled trades programs. Our skilled trades programs are 24 to 88 weeks in length, with tuition rates of \$8,000 to \$24,000. Our skilled trades programs include heating, ventilation and air conditioning repair, drafting and computer-aided design and electronic system technician. Graduates of our programs are qualified to obtain entry level employment positions such as cable, wiring and HVAC installers and servicers and drafting technicians. Our graduates are employed by a wide variety of employers, including residential and commercial telecommunications companies and architectural firms.

We created our own in-house electronic system technician program in 2001 by partnering with two industry groups, Electronic Systems Technician Consortium and the National Center for Construction Education and Research. We have introduced our electronic system technician program to six of our campuses and plan to expand it to additional campuses. Students in these programs are trained to install and service equipment such as alarm systems, cable infrastructure, home entertainment systems, fiber-optic wiring in homes and offices, and satellite and telecommunication systems.

We offer skilled trades programs at seven of our eight Lincoln Technical Institute campuses (all but the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania campus), our Lincoln, Rhode Island Career Education Institute campus and at select New England Technical Institute campuses.

Business and Information Technology. As of March 31, 2005, 5.1% of our students were enrolled in our business and information technology programs. Our business and information technology programs are 30 to 64 weeks in length, with tuition rates of \$11,000 to \$26,000. We experienced a decline in our business and information technology programs between the years 2000 and 2003 due to weakness in the

economy and reduced demand for IT professionals. We therefore reduced our exposure to this area by developing our in-house electronic system technician and allied health programs in 2001 and 2002, respectively. However, we remain committed to the IT industry and expect it to grow, especially as the economy recovers, business investment in hardware and software increases and the rapid technological advancement of computer applications continues. We have focused our current program offerings on those that are most in demand, such as our PC systems technician, network systems administrator and business administration specialist programs.

We offer business and information technology programs at all of our Cittone Institute and Career Education Institute schools, in addition to two of our Lincoln Technical Institute schools (Columbia, Maryland and Allentown, Pennsylvania campuses) and all of our Southwestern College schools.

Marketing and Student Recruitment

We utilize a variety of marketing and recruiting methods to attract students and increase enrollments. Our marketing and recruiting efforts are targeted at potential students who are entering the workforce, or who are underemployed or unemployed and require additional training to enter or re-enter the workforce.

Marketing. Our marketing program utilizes media advertising such as television, the Internet, and various print media and is enhanced by referrals. We continuously monitor and adjust the focus of our marketing efforts to maximize efficiency and minimize our student acquisition costs.

- **Media.** Our media advertising is directed primarily at attracting students from the local areas in which our schools operate. Television advertising, which is coordinated by a national buyer, is our most successful medium, generating approximately one third of our new enrollments in 2004. Systems we have developed enable us to closely monitor and track the effectiveness of each advertisement on a daily or weekly basis and make adjustments accordingly. The Internet is our second most successful medium and its effectiveness is rapidly increasing. We also advertise via direct mail, in telephone directories and in newspapers.
- **Referrals.** Referrals from current students, high school counselors and satisfied graduates and their employers have historically represented over 20% of our new enrollments. Our school administrators actively work with our current students to encourage them to recommend our programs to potential students. We continue to build strong relationships with high school guidance counselors and instructors by offering annual seminars at our training facilities to further educate these individuals on the strengths of our programs. Graduates who have gone on to enjoy success in the workforce frequently recommend our programs, as do local business owners who are pleased with the performance of our graduates whom they have hired.

Recruiting. Our recruiting efforts are conducted by a group of field- and campus-based representatives who meet directly with potential students during presentations conducted at high schools, in the potential student's home or during a visit to one of our campuses.

- **Field-Based Recruiting.** Our field-based recruiting representatives make presentations at high schools to attract students to both our local and destination campuses. Our field-based representatives also visit directly with potential students in their homes. We estimate that in the year ended December 31, 2004, our 178 field-based representatives made approximately 7,492 visits (excluding representatives from Nashville Auto-Diesel College) to high schools and student homes, a 22% increase from the prior year. Over the last three years, we have recruited approximately 25% of our students directly out of high school.
- **Campus-Based Recruiting.** When a potential student is identified through our marketing and recruiting efforts, one of our 170 campus-based representatives is paired with the potential student to follow up on an individual basis. Our media advertisements contain a unique toll-free

number and our telephone system automatically directs the call to the campus nearest the caller. One of our campus-based recruiting representatives responds, typically within 24 hours, to these inquiries and other inquiries generated by our marketing efforts to explain the opportunities available within each program, schedule an appointment for the potential student to visit the school and arrange a tour of the school's facilities.

Student Admissions, Enrollment and Retention

Admissions. In order to attend our schools, students must complete an application and pass an entry examination. While each of our programs has different admissions criteria, we screen all applications and counsel the students on the most appropriate program to increase the likelihood that our students complete the requisite coursework and obtain and sustain employment following graduation.

Enrollment. We enroll students continuously throughout the year, with our largest classes enrolling in late summer or early fall following high school graduation. We had 17,630 students enrolled as of March 31, 2005 and our average enrollment for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was 17,692 students, an increase of 14.8% from March 31, 2004. Excluding our acquisition of New England Technical Institute in January 2005, our average enrollments would have increased by 8.7%. We had 16,664 students enrolled as of December 31, 2004 and our average enrollment for the year ended December 31, 2004 was 16,226 students, an increase of 30.3% from December 31, 2003. Excluding our acquisition of Southwestern College in January 2004, our average enrollments would have increased by 22.4%. For the year ended December 31, 2003, we had average enrollments of 12,487 students, a 36.4% increase over average enrollments of 9,155 in 2002. Excluding our acquisition of Nashville Auto-Diesel College in February 2003, our average enrollments were 11,227 students, a 22.6% increase over the prior year.

Retention. To maximize student retention, the staff at each school is trained to recognize the early warning signs of a potential drop and to assist and advise students on academic, financial, employment and personal matters. We monitor our retention rates by instructor, course, program and school. When we notice that a particular instructor or program is experiencing a higher than normal dropout rate, we quickly determine the cause of the problem and attempt to correct it. When we notice that a student is having trouble academically, we provide tutoring.

Job Placement

We believe that securing employment for our graduates is critical to our ability to attract high quality students. In addition, high job placement rates result in low student loan default rates, an important requirement for continued participation in Title IV Programs. See "Regulatory Environment—Regulation of Federal Student Financial Aid Programs." Accordingly, we dedicate significant resources to maintaining an effective graduate placement program. Our non-destination schools work closely with local employers to ensure that we are training students with skills that employers want. Each school has an advisory council made up of local employers who provide us with direct and immediate feedback on how well we are preparing our students to succeed in the workplace. This enables us to tailor our programs to the market. For example, part of a student's grade is dependent upon attendance and appearance because employers want their employees to be punctual and to have a professional appearance. The placement staff in each of our destination schools maintains databases of potential employers throughout the country, allowing us to place students in the market of their choice upon graduation. We also have internship programs that provide our students with opportunities to work with employers prior to graduation. For example, some of the students in our automotive programs have the opportunity to complete a portion of their hands-on training while working with a potential employer. In addition, some of our allied health students are required to participate in an internship program during which they work in the field as part of their career training.

Students that participate in these programs often go on to work for the same business upon graduation. We also assist students with resume writing, interviewing and other job search skills.

Our placement rates in 2002, 2003 and 2004 were 83.3%, 84.6% and 86.7%, respectively, of our graduates obtaining employment in their field prior to March 1 of the year following the year of their graduation. Throughout this period, our placement rate for our automotive technology graduates has consistently exceeded 89.0%. Many of our automotive technology graduates are employed by dealership and repair centers. Many of our non-auto graduates are placed with local employers such as small businesses, national chains and doctors' offices.

Faculty and Employees

We hire our faculty in accordance with established criteria, including relevant work experience, educational background and accreditation and state regulatory standards. We require meaningful industry experience of our teaching staff in order to maintain the quality of instruction in all of our programs and to address current and industry-specific issues in our course content. In addition, we provide intensive instructional training and continuing education, including quarterly instructional development seminars, annual reviews, technical upgrade training, faculty development plans and weekly staff meetings.

The staff of each school typically includes a school director, a director of graduate placement, an education director, a director of student services, a financial-aid director, an accounting manager and a director of admissions, all of whom are industry professionals with experience in our areas of study.

As of December 31, 2004, we had approximately 2,350 employees, including 818 full-time faculty and 275 part-time instructors, and 239 of our teaching professionals at four of our campuses are represented by unions. These employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements that expire in 2005 through 2006. Contract negotiations with regard to the two collective bargaining agreements expiring in 2005 are expected to begin in July and August of this year. We believe that we have good relationships with these unions.

We have had no work stoppages at any of our campuses in the past 20 years.

Intellectual Property

Lincoln Educational Services®, Lincoln Technical Institute®, Lincoln Tech®, LTI®, New England Technical Institute®, NETI®, New England Tech®, Center for Culinary Arts®, The Cittance Institute®, DOC® and DOC and design (a logo for our "Doctors Office Classroom" program) are our registered trademarks. CEI, Career Education Institute, is our registered mark in various states.

Competition

The for-profit post-secondary education industry is highly competitive and highly fragmented, with no one provider controlling significant market share. Direct competition between career-oriented schools and traditional four-year colleges or universities is limited. Thus, our main competitors are other for-profit, career-oriented schools, as well as public and private two-year junior and community colleges. Competition is generally based on location, the type of programs offered, the quality of instruction, placement rates, reputation, recruiting and tuition rates. Public institutions are generally able to charge lower tuition than our schools, due in part to government subsidies and other financial sources not available to for-profit schools. In addition, some of our private competitors have a more extended or dense network of schools and campuses than we do, which enables them to recruit students more efficiently from a wider geographic area. Nevertheless, we believe that we are able to compete effectively in our local markets because of the diversity of our program offerings, quality of instruction, the strength of our brands, our reputation and our success in placing students with employers.

We compete with every institution that is eligible to receive Title IV funding. This includes four-year not-for-profit public and private colleges and universities, community colleges and all for-profit institutions whether they are four years, two years or less. Our competition differs in each market depending on the curriculum that we offer. For example, a school offering automotive, allied health and skilled trades programs will have a different group of competitors than a school offering allied health, business/IT and skilled trades. Also, because schools can add new programs within six to twelve months, new competitors can emerge relatively quickly. Moreover, with the introduction of online learning, the number of competitors in each market has increased because students can now stay local but learn from a non-local institution.

Notwithstanding the above, today we mainly compete with community colleges and other career schools, both for-profit and not-for-profit. We focus on programs that are in high demand and are offered by as few competitors as possible. We compete against community colleges by seeking to offer more frequent start dates, more flexible hours, better instructional resources, more hands on training, shorter program length and greater assistance with job placement. We compete against the other career schools by seeking to offer a higher quality of education, higher quality instructional equipment and a better overall value. On average each of our schools has at least three direct competitors and at least a dozen indirect competitors. As we continue to add courses and degree programs, our addressable market increases and thus we face increased competition.

While competition with four-year institutions is more limited, we do compete with two-year traditional private and public institutions.

Facilities

We lease all of our facilities, except for our former Indianapolis, Indiana campus, our Nashville, Tennessee campus and our Cincinnati (Tri-County) campus, which we own. Four of our facilities (Union, New Jersey; Allentown, Pennsylvania; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and Grand Prairie, Texas) are also accounted for by us under a finance lease obligation as further described in Note 12 to the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. We continue to re-evaluate our facilities to maximize our facility utilization and efficiency and to allow us to introduce new programs and attract more students. We entered into a 15-year lease for a 126,000 square foot facility in Indianapolis, which replaced our existing facility in that city. We also entered into a ten-year lease for a 40,000 square foot facility in Lincoln, Rhode Island, which replaced our existing facilities in that city. In addition, in July 2002, we signed a lease for a new 48,000 square-foot facility in Queens, New York, which we expect to open in 2006. During 2003, we moved all of our Boston, Massachusetts students into our Somerville, Massachusetts campus. All of our existing leases expire between October 2005 and November 2020, with the exception of one lease representing a total of 10,000 square feet that we lease on a month-to-month basis. We currently have our former Indianapolis, Indiana campus, which we own, up for sale. Accordingly, these assets were classified as available for sale as of July 1, 2004.

The following table provides information relating to our facilities as of March 31, 2005, including our corporate offices:

| Location | Brand | Approximate Square Footage |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Union, New Jersey | Lincoln Technical Institute | 56,000 |
| Mahwah, New Jersey | Lincoln Technical Institute | 79,000 |
| Allentown, Pennsylvania | Lincoln Technical Institute | 26,000 |
| Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | Lincoln Technical Institute | 30,000 |
| Columbia, Maryland | Lincoln Technical Institute | 91,000 |
| Indianapolis, Indiana(1) | Lincoln Technical Institute | 182,000 |
| Melrose Park, Illinois | Lincoln Technical Institute | 67,000 |
| Grand Prairie, Texas | Lincoln Technical Institute | 48,000 |
| Denver, Colorado | Denver Automotive & Diesel College | 78,000 |
| Nashville, Tennessee | Nashville Auto-Diesel College | 278,000 |
| Edison, New Jersey | Cittone Institute | 64,000 |
| Mt. Laurel, New Jersey | Cittone Institute | 26,000 |
| Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | Cittone Institute | 29,000 |
| Northeast Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | Cittone Institute | 25,000 |
| Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania | Cittone Institute | 30,000 |
| Paramus, New Jersey | Cittone Institute | 27,000 |
| Boston, Massachusetts(2) | Career Education Institute | 7,000 |
| Brockton, Massachusetts | Career Education Institute | 10,000 |
| Lincoln, Rhode Island | Career Education Institute | 40,000 |
| Lowell, Massachusetts | Career Education Institute | 20,000 |
| Somerville, Massachusetts | Career Education Institute | 33,000 |
| Norcross, Georgia | Career Education Institute | 27,000 |
| Marietta, Georgia | Career Education Institute | 16,000 |
| Henderson, Nevada | Career Education Institute | 27,000 |
| Dayton, Ohio | Southwestern College | 9,000 |
| Franklin, Ohio | Southwestern College | 14,000 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | Southwestern College | 10,000 |
| Cincinnati (Tri-County), Ohio | Southwestern College | 15,000 |
| Florence, Kentucky | Southwestern College | 9,000 |
| West Orange, New Jersey | Corporate Offices | 41,000 |
| New Britain, Connecticut | New England Technical Institute | 19,000 |
| Cromwell, Connecticut | New England Technical Institute | 12,000 |
| Hamden, Connecticut | New England Technical Institute | 13,000 |
| Shelton, Connecticut | New England Technical Institute | 32,000 |

- (1) Our Indianapolis, Indiana school is currently comprised of two facilities: a 55,900 square foot facility that we own and a 126,000 square foot facility that we lease. We have classified these assets as available for sale on July 1, 2004.
- (2) The lease for this facility expired on February 28, 2005 and we did not renew the lease.

Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary conduct of our business, we are subject to periodic lawsuits, investigations and claims, including, but not limited to, claims involving students or graduates and routine employment matters. Although we cannot predict with certainty the ultimate resolution of lawsuits, investigations and claims asserted against us, we do not believe that any currently pending legal proceeding to which we are a party will have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

Since May 2002, we have been involved in litigation brought by two former employees acting as "relators" under the *qui tam* provisions of the federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-33, or FCA. The relators have alleged that we violated Title IV Program requirements that prohibit the payment of

commissions, bonuses or other incentive payments to admissions and recruitment personnel based upon their success in securing enrollments, and that our participation in the federal student aid programs under these circumstances constituted actionable "false claims" within the meaning of federal law. The "relators" are seeking to recover, on behalf of themselves and the United States government, treble damages and a civil penalty of \$5,000-\$10,000 for each action that violated the FCA as well as their costs and reasonable attorney fees. The relators are pursuing the litigation against us on their own, without the involvement or support of the U.S. Department of Justice, which had given notice, after investigation, of its intention not to participate in the case. On September 3, 2003, after full briefing of the issues by the parties, the district court granted our motion and dismissed the entire action, with prejudice. The relators appealed the ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, which affirmed the District Court's dismissal of the case on October 15, 2004. The relators subsequently served upon us a petition for a Writ of Certiorari with the Supreme Court of the United States and the petition was docketed in the Supreme Court on March 10, 2005. The Supreme Court denied the writ on May 16, 2005. On June 10, 2005, the relators submitted a petition for rehearing with the Supreme Court. We would vigorously defend the rulings of the District Court and the Fifth Circuit if the Supreme Court reversed its prior decision of May 16, 2005 and granted the writ.

REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

Students attending our schools finance their education through a combination of family contributions, individual resources and federal financial aid programs. Each of our schools participates in the federal programs of student financial aid authorized under Title IV Programs, which are administered by the DOE. For the year ended December 31, 2004, approximately 81% (calculated on a cash basis of accounting) of our revenues were derived from the Title IV Programs. Students obtain access to federal student financial aid through a DOE prescribed application and eligibility certification process. Student financial aid funds are generally made available to students at prescribed intervals throughout their predetermined expected length of study. Students typically use the funds received from the federal financial aid programs to pay their tuition and fees. The transfer of funds from the financial aid programs are to the student, who then applies those funds to the cost of their education.

In connection with the students' receipt of federal financial aid, our schools are subject to extensive regulation by governmental agencies and licensing and accrediting bodies. In particular, the Title IV Programs, and the regulations issued thereunder by the DOE, subject us to significant regulatory scrutiny in the form of numerous standards that each of our schools must satisfy in order to participate in the various federal student financial aid programs. To participate in the Title IV Programs, a school must be authorized to offer its programs of instruction by the applicable state education agencies in the states in which it is physically located, be accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the DOE and be certified as an eligible institution by the DOE. The DOE defines an eligible institution to consist of both a main campus and its additional locations, if any. Each of our schools is either a main campus or an additional location of a main campus. Each of our schools is subject to extensive regulatory requirements imposed by state education agencies, accrediting commissions, and the DOE. Our schools also participate in other federal and state financial aid programs that assist students in paying the cost of their education.

State Authorization

Each of our schools must be authorized by the applicable education agencies in the states in which the school is physically located and, in some cases other states, in order to operate and to grant degrees, diplomas or certificates to its students. State agency authorization is also required in each state in which a school is physically located in order for the school to become and remain eligible to participate in Title IV Programs. Currently, each of our schools is authorized by the applicable state education agencies in the states in which the school is physically located and in which it recruits students. The annual certificate of approval for our school in Texas will expire on June 21, 2005. The school timely submitted to the Texas Workforce Commission its annual application for the renewal of its certificate of approval and expects the Commission to issue a new certificate of approval reflecting a renewal date of June 22, 2005, but cannot give any assurance as to when and if that will occur.

Our schools are subject to extensive, ongoing regulation by each of these states. State laws typically establish standards for instruction, qualifications of faculty, location and nature of facilities and equipment, administrative procedures, marketing, recruiting, financial operations and other operational matters. State laws and regulations may limit our ability to offer educational programs and to award degrees, diplomas or certificates. Some states prescribe standards of financial responsibility that are different from, and in certain cases more stringent than, those prescribed by the DOE. Some states require schools to post a surety bond. Currently, we have posted surety bonds on behalf of our schools and education representatives with multiple states in a total amount of approximately \$8.6 million. These bonds are backed by \$2.4 million of letters of credit.

If any of our schools fail to comply with state licensing requirements, they are subject to the loss of state licensure or accreditation. If any one of our schools lost its authorization from the education agency of the state in which the school is located, that school and its related main campus and/or

additional locations would lose its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, be unable to offer its programs and we could be forced to close that school. If one of our schools lost its state authorization from a state other than the state in which the school is located, the school would not be able to recruit students in that state. We believe that each of our schools is in substantial compliance with the applicable education agency requirements in each state in which it is physically located.

Due to state budget constraints in other states in which we operate, it is possible that those states may reduce the number of employees in, or curtail the operations of, the state education agencies that authorize our schools. A delay or refusal by any state education agency in approving any changes in our operations that require state approval could prevent us from making such changes or could delay our ability to make such changes.

Accreditation

Accreditation is a non-governmental process through which a school submits to ongoing qualitative review by an organization of peer institutions. Accrediting commissions primarily examine the academic quality of the school's instructional programs, and a grant of accreditation is generally viewed as confirmation that the school's programs meet generally accepted academic standards. Accrediting commissions also review the administrative and financial operations of the schools they accredit to ensure that each school has the resources necessary to perform its educational mission.

Accreditation by an accrediting commission recognized by the DOE is required for an institution to be certified to participate in Title IV Programs. In order to be recognized by the DOE, accrediting commissions must adopt specific standards for their review of educational institutions. Fourteen of our campuses are accredited by the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges of Technology and 18 of our campuses are accredited by the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools. Both of these accrediting commissions are recognized by the DOE. The following is a list of the dates in which each campus was accredited by its accrediting commission and the date by which its accreditation must be renewed.

Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges of Technology Reaccreditation Dates

| School | Last Accreditation Letter | Next Accreditation |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Philadelphia, PA | December 4, 2003 | May 1, 2008 |
| Union, NJ | February 1, 2004 | February 1, 2009 |
| Mahwah, NJ* | August 1, 1999 | August 1, 2009 |
| Melrose Park, IL | November 1, 2005 | November 1, 2009 |
| Denver, CO | March 2, 2001 | February 1, 2006 |
| Columbia, MD | March 6, 2002 | February 1, 2007 |
| Grand Prairie, TX | September 7, 2001 | September 7, 2006 |
| Allentown, PA | December 9, 2002 | January 1, 2007 |
| Nashville, TN | May 1, 2002 | May 1, 2007 |
| Indianapolis, IN | December 9, 2002 | November 1, 2007 |
| New Britain, CT | January 1, 2003 | January 1, 2008 |
| Shelton, CT** | September 1, 2003 | September 1, 2005 |
| Cromwell, CT** | November 22, 2004 | November 22, 2006 |
| Hamden, CT** | July 1, 2002 | July 1, 2007 |

* Branch campus of main campus in Union, NJ

** Branch campus of main campus in New Britain, CT

Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools Reaccreditation Dates

| School | Last Accreditation Letter | Next Accreditation |
|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Brockton, MA**** | April 14, 2005 | December 31, 2008 |
| Henderson, NV**** | April 14, 2005 | December 31, 2008 |
| Lincoln, RI | April 14, 2005 | December 31, 2008 |
| Lowell, MA** | December 7, 2004 | December 31, 2008 |
| Somerville, MA | December 7, 2004 | December 31, 2008 |
| Center City, PA* | April 30, 2003 | December 31, 2006 |
| Edison, NJ | April 30, 2003 | December 31, 2006 |
| Marietta, GA**** | April 14, 2005 | December 31, 2008 |
| Mt. Laurel, NJ* | April 30, 2003 | December 31, 2006 |
| Norcross, GA**** | April 14, 2005 | December 31, 2008 |
| Paramus, NJ* | April 30, 2003 | December 31, 2006 |
| Philadelphia, PA* | April 30, 2003 | December 31, 2006 |
| Plymouth Meeting, PA* | April 30, 2003 | December 31, 2006 |
| Dayton, OH | August 23, 2004(1) | December 31, 2005 |
| Vine Street, OH*** | August 23, 2004(1) | December 31, 2005 |
| Northland Blvd., OH*** | August 23, 2004(1) | December 31, 2005 |
| Franklin, OH*** | August 23, 2004(1) | December 31, 2005 |
| Florence, KY*** | August 23, 2004(1) | December 31, 2005 |

- * Branch campus of main campus in Edison, NJ
- ** Branch campus of main campus in Somerville, MA
- *** Branch campus of main campus in Dayton, OH
- **** Branch campus of main campus in Lincoln, NJ

(1) Date of letter reconfirming accreditation upon acquisition. The last accreditation letter was dated May 1, 2002.

If one of our schools fails to comply with accrediting commission requirements, the institution and its main and/or branch campuses are subject to the loss of accreditation. If any one of our schools lost its accreditation, students attending that school would no longer be eligible to receive Title IV Program funding, and we could be forced to close that school. Our Edison school (including its branch campuses in Mt. Laurel, Paramus, Philadelphia, Northeast Philadelphia and Plymouth Meeting) and our Somerville school (including its branch campus in Lowell) are presently under financial review with the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools. Under financial review, the schools are required to submit to the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools financial reports on a quarterly basis. While under financial review, an institution must obtain approval from the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools before applying to add a new non-main location and may be required to request permission to submit a new program application. We believe that each of our schools is in substantial compliance with its respective accrediting commission's standards.

Nature of Federal and State Support for Post-Secondary Education

The federal government provides a substantial part of its support for post-secondary education through Title IV Programs, in the form of grants and loans to students who can use those funds at any institution that has been certified as eligible by the DOE. Most aid under Title IV Programs is awarded on the basis of financial need, generally defined as the difference between the cost of attending the

institution and the expected amount a student and his or her family can reasonably contribute to that cost. All recipients of Title IV Program funds must maintain a satisfactory grade point average and progress in a timely manner toward completion of their program of study. In addition, each school must ensure that Title IV Program funds are properly accounted for and disbursed in the correct amounts to eligible students.

Students at our schools receive grants and loans to fund their education under the following Title IV Programs: (1) the Federal Family Education Loan program, (2) the Federal Pell Grant, or Pell, program, (3) the Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant program, and (4) the Federal Perkins Loan, or Perkins, program.

Federal Family Education Loan. Under the Federal Family Education Loan program, banks and other lending institutions make loans to students or their parents. If a student or parent defaults on a loan, payment is guaranteed by a federally recognized guaranty agency, which is then reimbursed by the DOE. Students with financial need qualify for interest subsidies while in school and during grace periods. For the year ended December 31, 2004, we derived approximately 60% respectively of our Title IV revenues (calculated on the cash basis of accounting) from the Federal Family Education Loan program.

Pell. Under the Pell program, the DOE makes grants to students who demonstrate the greatest financial need. For the year ended December 31, 2004, we derived less than 20% of our revenues (calculated on the cash basis of accounting) from the Pell program.

Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant. The Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant program grants are designed to supplement Pell grants for students with the greatest financial needs. An institution is required to make a 25% matching contribution for all funds received from the DOE under this program. For the year ended December 31, 2004, we received less than 1% of our revenues (calculated on the cash basis of accounting) from the Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant program.

Perkins. Perkins loans are made from a revolving institutional account, 75% of which is capitalized by the DOE and the remainder by the institution. Each institution is responsible for collecting payments on Perkins loans from its former students and lending those funds to currently enrolled students. Defaults by students on their Perkins loans reduce the amount of funds available in the applicable school's revolving account to make loans to additional students, but the school does not have any obligation to guarantee the loans or repay the defaulted amounts. For the year ended December 31, 2004, we derived less than 1% of our revenues (calculated on the cash basis of accounting) from the Perkins program.

Other Financial Assistance Programs

Some of our students receive financial aid from federal sources other than Title IV Programs, such as the programs administered by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and under the Workforce Investment Act. In addition, many states also provide financial aid to our students in the form of grants, loans or scholarships. The eligibility requirements for state financial aid and these other federal aid programs vary among the funding agencies and by program. Several states that provide financial aid to our students are facing significant budgetary constraints. We believe that the overall level of state financial aid for our students is likely to decrease in the near term, but we cannot predict how significant any such reductions will be or how long they will last.

In addition to Title IV and other government-administered programs, all of our schools are eligible to participate in alternative loan programs for their students. Alternative loans fill the gap between what the student receives from all financial aid sources and what the student may need to cover the full

cost of their education. Students or their parents can apply to a number of different lenders for this funding at current market interest rates.

Reorganization

We were founded in 1946 as Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc. In February 2003, we reorganized our corporate structure to create a holding company, Lincoln Educational Services Corporation. The ownership of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation was identical to that of Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc. immediately prior to this reorganization. We subsequently began operating our entire organization under the Lincoln Educational Services Corporation name; however, before this reorganization, all of our interaction with the DOE, state and federal regulators and accrediting agencies was conducted by Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc.

Regulation of Federal Student Financial Aid Programs

To participate in Title IV Programs, an institution must be authorized to offer its programs by the relevant state education agencies, be accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the DOE and be certified as eligible by the DOE. The DOE will certify an institution to participate in Title IV Programs only after the institution has demonstrated compliance with the Higher Education Act and the DOE's extensive regulations regarding institutional eligibility. The DOE defines an institution to consist of both a main campus and its additional locations, if any. Under this definition, for DOE purposes, we have the following 14 institutions, collectively consisting of 14 main campuses and 18 additional locations:

| Brand | Main Campus(es) | Additional Location(s) |
|---|---|--|
| Lincoln Technical Institute | Indianapolis, IN Philadelphia, PA Columbia, MD Grand Prairie, TX Melrose Park, IL Union, NJ Allentown, PA | Mahwah, NJ (additional location of Union) |
| Denver Automobile & Diesel College | Denver, CO | — |
| Nashville Auto Diesel College | Nashville, TN | — |
| Cittone Institute | Edison, NJ | Mount Laurel, NJ Paramus, NJ Philadelphia, PA Plymouth Meeting, PA Northeast Philadelphia, PA |
| Career Education Institute | Lincoln, RI Somerville, MA | Brockton, MA (Lincoln) Norcross, GA (Lincoln) Marietta, GA (Lincoln) Henderson, NV (Lincoln) Lowell, MA (Somerville) |
| Southwestern College | Dayton, OH | Cincinnati, OH Franklin, OH Tri-County, Cincinnati, OH Florence, KY |
| New England Technical Institute | New Britain, CT | Shelton, CT Cromwell, CT Hamden, CT |

All of our main campuses, including their additional locations, are currently certified by the DOE to participate in Title IV Programs. New England Technical Institute received an executed temporary provisional program participation agreement from the DOE after its change in ownership. Southwestern College has received an executed provisional program participation agreement from the DOE. The DOE agreed that the addition of the holding company to our ownership structure in 2003 would not constitute a change in ownership of our institutions resulting in a change of control provided that certain conditions were met, including that the holding company execute the program participation agreement for each institution. See "Regulatory Environment—Reorganization" for a description of our reorganization in 2003. The holding company has executed a program participation agreement for each of our institutions except for New England Technical Institute which has executed a temporary provisional program participation agreement. In addition, the DOE requested that the institutions submit audited financial statements of both the holding company and the subsidiary companies as consolidating statements. We expect to submit to the DOE consolidating information for the 2004 fiscal year for Lincoln Technical Institute and Southwestern College.

The DOE, accrediting commissions and state education agencies have responsibilities for overseeing compliance of schools with Title IV Program requirements. As a result, each of our schools is subject to detailed oversight and review, and must comply with a complex framework of laws and regulations. Because the DOE periodically revises its regulations and changes its interpretation of existing laws and regulations, we cannot predict with certainty how the Title IV Program requirements will be applied in all circumstances.

Significant factors relating to Title IV Programs that could adversely affect us include the following:

Congressional Action. Political and budgetary concerns significantly affect Title IV Programs. Congress must reauthorize the Higher Education Act approximately every five years. The last reauthorization took place in 1998. Consequently, Congress recently began the process of reviewing and reauthorizing the Higher Education Act again, a process that is expected to be concluded in 2005. We believe that this reauthorization will likely result in numerous changes to the Higher Education Act. At this time, we cannot predict with certainty what changes Congress will make.

In addition, Congress reviews and determines federal appropriations for Title IV Programs on an annual basis. Congress can also make changes in the laws affecting Title IV Programs in the annual appropriations bills and in other laws it enacts between the Higher Education Act reauthorizations. Because a significant percentage of our revenues are derived from Title IV Programs, any action by Congress that significantly reduces Title IV Program funding or the ability of our schools or students to participate in Title IV Programs could reduce our student enrollment and our revenues. Congressional action may also increase our administrative costs and require us to modify our practices in order for our schools to comply fully with Title IV Program requirements.

The "90/10 Rule." A proprietary institution, such as each of our institutions, loses its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs if, on a cash accounting basis, it derives more than 90% of its revenues for any fiscal year from Title IV Programs. Any institution that violates this rule becomes ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs as of the first day of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which it exceeds 90%, and is unable to apply to regain its eligibility until the next fiscal year. If one of our institutions violated the 90/10 Rule and became ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs but continued to disburse Title IV Program funds, the DOE would require the institution to repay all Title IV Program funds received by the institution after the effective date of the loss of eligibility.

We have calculated that, for each of our 2002, 2003 and 2004 fiscal years, none of our institutions derived more than 86.6% of its revenues from Title IV Programs. For our 2004 fiscal year, our institutions' 90/10 Rule percentages ranged from 73.3% to 86.6%. We regularly monitor compliance

with this requirement to minimize the risk that any of our institutions would derive more than the maximum percentage of its revenues from Title IV Programs for any fiscal year.

Student Loan Defaults. An institution may lose its eligibility to participate in some or all Title IV Programs if the rates at which the institution's current and former students default on their federal student loans exceed specified percentages. The DOE calculates these rates based on the number of students who have defaulted, not the dollar amount of such defaults. The DOE calculates an institution's cohort default rate on an annual basis as the rate at which borrowers scheduled to begin repayment on their loans in one year default on those loans by the end of the next year. An institution whose Federal Family Education Loan cohort default rate is 25% or greater for three consecutive federal fiscal years (which correspond to our fiscal years) loses eligibility to participate in the Federal Family Education Loan and Pell programs for the remainder of the federal fiscal year in which the DOE determines that such institution has lost its eligibility and for the two subsequent federal fiscal years. An institution whose Federal Family Education Loan cohort default rate for any single federal fiscal year exceeds 40% may have its eligibility to participate in all Title IV Programs limited, suspended or terminated by the DOE.

None of our institutions has had a Federal Family Education Loan cohort default rate of 25% or greater for any of the federal fiscal years 2000, 2001 and 2002, the three most recent years for which the DOE has published such rates. Nine of our 14 institutions (which include 23 of 32 campuses) had default rates less than 10% for these years. The following table sets forth the Federal Family Education Loan cohort default rates for each of our 14 DOE numbered institutions for those fiscal years.

| Institution | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Union, NJ | 4.4% | 4.2% | 5.9% |
| Indianapolis, IN | 9.1% | 5.8% | 8.41% |
| Philadelphia, PA | 9.5% | 15.2% | 13.7% |
| Columbia, MD | 4.4% | 5.7% | 7.1% |
| Allentown, PA | 4.1% | 2.7% | 7.1% |
| Melrose Park, IL | 5.9% | 5.6% | 11.9% |
| Grand Prairie, TX | 11.7% | 10.7% | 14.3% |
| Edison, NJ | 4.4% | 2.9% | 4.1% |
| Denver, CO | 9.0% | 10.4% | 8.4% |
| Nashville, TN | 7.4% | 5.5% | 5.0% |
| Lincoln, RI | 3.7% | 5.1% | 6.2% |
| Somerville, MA | 1.2% | 2.5% | 6.2% |
| Dayton, OH | 16.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| New Britain, CT | 2.8% | 2.8% | 3.9% |

An institution whose cohort default rate under the Federal Family Education Loan program is 25% or greater for any one of the three most recent federal fiscal years, or whose cohort default rate under the Perkins program exceeds 15% for any federal award year (the twelve-month period from July 1 through June 30), may be placed on provisional certification status by the DOE. None of our institutions have a Federal Family Education Loan cohort default rate above 25% for any of the three most recent fiscal years for which the DOE has published rates.

An institution whose Perkins cohort default rate is 50% or greater for three consecutive federal award years loses eligibility to participate in the Perkins program for the remainder of the federal award year in which DOE determines that the institution has lost its eligibility and for the two

subsequent federal award years. None of our institutions has had a Perkins cohort default rate of 50% or greater for any of the last three federal award years. The DOE also will not provide any additional federal funds to an institution for Perkins loans in any federal award year in which the institution's Perkins cohort default rate is 25% or greater. Denver Automotive & Diesel College and New England Technical Institute are our only institutions participating in the Perkins program. Denver Automotive & Diesel College's cohort default rate was 22.86% for students scheduled to begin repayment in the 2002-2003 federal award year. The DOE has not provided any federal funds for Perkins loans to Denver Automotive & Diesel College. Denver Automotive & Diesel College continues to make loans out of its existing Perkins loan fund. New England Technical Institute is provisionally certified by the DOE based on its change in ownership and on a finding by the DOE prior to the change in ownership that New England Technical Institute had not transmitted certain data related to the Perkins program to the National Student Loan Data System during periods prior to the acquisition. New England Technical Institute's cohort default rate was 4.35% for students scheduled to begin repayment in the 2002-2003 federal award year.

Financial Responsibility Standards. All institutions participating in Title IV Programs must satisfy specific standards of financial responsibility. The DOE evaluates institutions for compliance with these standards each year, based on the institution's annual audited financial statements, as well as following a change in ownership resulting in a change of control of the institution.

The most significant financial responsibility measurement is the institution's composite score, which is calculated by the DOE based on three ratios:

- the equity ratio, which measures the institution's capital resources, ability to borrow and financial viability;
- the primary reserve ratio, which measures the institution's ability to support current operations from expendable resources; and
- the net income ratio, which measures the institution's ability to operate at a profit.

The DOE assigns a strength factor to the results of each of these ratios on a scale from negative 1.0 to positive 3.0, with negative 1.0 reflecting financial weakness and positive 3.0 reflecting financial strength. The DOE then assigns a weighting percentage to each ratio and adds the weighted scores for the three ratios together to produce a composite score for the institution. The composite score must be at least 1.5 for the institution to be deemed financially responsible without the need for further oversight. If an institution's composite score is below 1.5, but is at least 1.0, it is in a category denominated by the DOE as "the zone." Under the DOE regulations, institutions that are in the zone are deemed to be financially responsible for a period of up to three years but are required to accept payment of Title IV Program funds under the cash monitoring or reimbursement method of payment, to be provisionally certified and to provide to the DOE timely information regarding various oversight and financial events.

If an institution's composite score is below 1.0, the institution is considered by the DOE to lack financial responsibility. If the DOE determines that an institution does not satisfy the DOE's financial responsibility standards, depending on its composite score and other factors, that institution may establish its financial responsibility on an alternative basis by, among other things:

- posting a letter of credit in an amount equal to at least 50% of the total Title IV Program funds received by the institution during the institution's most recently completed fiscal year;
- posting a letter of credit in an amount equal to at least 10% of such prior year's Title IV Program funds, accepting provisional certification, complying with additional DOE monitoring requirements and agreeing to receive Title IV Program funds under an arrangement other than the DOE's standard advance funding arrangement; and/or

- complying with additional DOE monitoring requirements and agreeing to receive Title IV Program funds under an arrangement other than the DOE's standard advance funding arrangement.

The DOE has evaluated the financial condition of our institutions on a consolidated basis. DOE regulations permit the DOE to examine our financial statements, including the financial statements of each institution and the financial statements of any related party. Based on our calculations, our audited financial statements for the 2003 and 2004 fiscal years reflect a composite score of 2.1 and 1.8, respectively. However, as a result of the corrections of certain errors in our prior financial statements, including accounting for advertising costs, a sale leaseback transaction, rent and other individually insignificant adjustments, the DOE recomputed our previously submitted consolidated composite scores for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002 and concluded that the recomputed consolidated composite scores for those two years were below 1.0. In addition, we identified certain additional errors in our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003 relating to our accounting for stock-based compensation and accrued bonuses that did not result in a recomputation of our 2003 composite score. The DOE has informed us that as a result, for a period of three years effective December 30, 2004, all of our current and future schools have been placed on "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status" and are required to timely notify the DOE with respect to certain enumerated oversight and financial events. The DOE also informed us that these corrections will be taken into consideration when each of our institutions applies for recertification of its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs. When each of our institutions is next required to apply for recertification to participate in Title IV Programs, we expect that the DOE will also consider our audited financial statements and composite scores for our most recent fiscal year as well as for other fiscal years after 2001 and 2002. Additionally, since the DOE concluded that the previously computed composite scores for 2001 and 2002 were overstated, we have agreed to pay \$165,000 to the DOE, pursuant to a settlement agreement, with respect to compliance issues related to this matter. We paid this amount on March 3, 2005. Although no assurance can be given, we do not believe that actions of the DOE specified above will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Return of Title IV Funds. An institution participating in Title IV Programs must calculate the amount of unearned Title IV Program funds that have been disbursed to students who withdraw from their educational programs before completing them, and must return those unearned funds to the DOE or the applicable lending institution in a timely manner, which is generally within 30 days from the date the institution determines that the student has withdrawn.

If an institution is cited in an audit or program review for returning Title IV Program funds late for 5% or more of the students in the audit or program review sample, the institution must post a letter of credit in favor of the DOE in an amount equal to 25% of the total amount of Title IV Program funds that should have been returned for students who withdrew in the institution's previous fiscal year. Southwestern College made late returns of Title IV Program funds in excess of the DOE's prescribed threshold, most of which predated our acquisition of Southwestern College. As a result, in accordance with DOE regulations, we have submitted a letter of credit to the DOE in the amount of \$28,400. Nashville Auto-Diesel College made late returns of Title IV Program funds in excess of the DOE's prescribed threshold during periods that predated our acquisition of Nashville Auto-Diesel College. As a result, in accordance with DOE regulations, we submitted a letter of credit to the DOE in the amount of \$327,000. Based on the absence of late returns of Title IV Program funds in excess of the DOE's prescribed thresholds in Nashville Auto-Diesel College's compliance audits for the 2002 fiscal year after our acquisition and for the 2003 fiscal year, we were allowed to have this letter of credit expire in accordance with its terms in March 2004. Our other institutions were not required to submit a letter of credit based on the results of their 2002 and 2003 compliance audits.

School Acquisitions. When a company acquires a school that is eligible to participate in Title IV Programs, that school undergoes a change of ownership resulting in a change of control as defined by

the DOE. Upon such a change of control, a school's eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs is generally suspended until it has applied for recertification by the DOE as an eligible school under its new ownership, which requires that the school also re-establish its state authorization and accreditation. The DOE may temporarily and provisionally certify an institution seeking approval of a change of control under certain circumstances while the DOE reviews the institution's application. The time required for the DOE to act on such an application may vary substantially. DOE recertification of an institution following a change of control will be on a provisional basis. Our expansion plans are based, in part, on our ability to acquire additional schools and have them certified by the DOE to participate in Title IV Programs. Our expansion plans take into account the approval requirements of the DOE and the relevant state education agencies and accrediting commissions. Southwestern College received an executed provisional program participation agreement from the DOE. In connection with our acquisition of New England Technical Institute, we have received an executed temporary provisional program participation agreement from the DOE and are in the process of obtaining a final approval of the New England Technical Institute acquisition from the DOE. We expect the DOE approval issued to New England Technical Institute at the conclusion of the pending change in ownership approval process to be provisional although we can provide no assurance as to the timing and content of the DOE's response to the pending change in ownership application.

Change of Control. In addition to school acquisitions, other types of transactions can also cause a change of control. DOE, most state education agencies and our accrediting commissions have standards pertaining to the change of control of schools, but these standards are not uniform. DOE regulations describe some transactions that constitute a change of control, including the transfer of a controlling interest in the voting stock of an institution or the institution's parent corporation. For a company that is privately held, but not closely held, which is the status of our company prior to the offering, DOE regulations provide that a change of ownership resulting in a change of control occurs if any person either acquires or ceases to hold at least 25% of the company's total outstanding voting stock and that person gains or loses actual control of the corporation. With respect to a publicly traded corporation, which will be the status of our company after the offering, DOE regulations provide that a change of control occurs in one of two ways: (a) if there is an event that would obligate the corporation to file a Current Report on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission disclosing a change of control or (b) if the corporation has a shareholder that owns at least 25% of the total outstanding voting stock of the corporation and is the largest shareholder of the corporation, and that shareholder ceases to own at least 25% of such stock or ceases to be the largest shareholder. These standards are subject to interpretation by the DOE.

A significant purchase or disposition of our common stock could be determined by the DOE to be a change of control under this standard. Most of the states and our accrediting commissions include the sale of a controlling interest of common stock in the definition of a change of control. A change of control under the definition of one of these agencies would require the affected school to reaffirm its state authorization or accreditation. The requirements to obtain such reaffirmation from the states and our accrediting commissions vary widely.

The DOE and both of our accrediting agencies have confirmed that this offering will not be a change of control under their respective standards. Each school has received confirmation from the educational agency that authorizes the school to operate in the state in which it is physically located that the offering will not be a change of control with the exception of our five schools in Pennsylvania and our school in Kentucky. Our Pennsylvania schools will be subject to review by the Pennsylvania Department of Education to reaffirm their approvals as a result of this offering. Although we expect the Pennsylvania Department of Education to reaffirm the approvals of our Pennsylvania schools after this offering, there can be no assurance that the agency will reaffirm those approvals. We have been informed that the Kentucky State Board for Proprietary Education is scheduled to determine whether the offering constitutes a change of control on June 23, 2005. If the agency determines that the offering

is a change of control, our Kentucky school would be required to submit a change in ownership application to the Kentucky State Board for Proprietary Education.

A change of control could occur as a result of future transactions in which our company or schools are involved. Some corporate reorganizations and some changes in the board of directors are examples of such transactions. Moreover, once we become a publicly traded company, the potential adverse effects of a change of control could influence future decisions by us and our stockholders regarding the sale, purchase, transfer, issuance or redemption of our stock. In addition, the adverse regulatory effect of a change of control also could discourage bids for your shares of common stock and could have an adverse effect on the market price of your shares.

Opening Additional Schools and Adding Educational Programs. For-profit educational institutions must be authorized by their state education agencies and fully operational for two years before applying to the DOE to participate in Title IV Programs. However, an institution that is certified to participate in Title IV Programs may establish an additional location and apply to participate in Title IV Programs at that location without reference to the two-year requirement, if such additional location satisfies all other applicable DOE eligibility requirements. Our expansion plans are based, in part, on our ability to open new schools as additional locations of our existing institutions and take into account the DOE's approval requirements.

A student may use Title IV Program funds only to pay the costs associated with enrollment in an eligible educational program offered by an institution participating in Title IV Programs. Generally, an institution that is eligible to participate in Title IV Programs may add a new educational program without DOE approval if that new program leads to an associate level or higher degree and the institution already offers programs at that level, or if that program prepares students for gainful employment in the same or a related occupation as an educational program that has previously been designated as an eligible program at that institution and meets minimum length requirements. If an institution erroneously determines that an educational program is eligible for purposes of Title IV Programs, the institution would likely be liable for repayment of Title IV Program funds provided to students in that educational program. Our expansion plans are based, in part, on our ability to add new educational programs at our existing schools. We do not believe that current DOE regulations will create significant obstacles to our plans to add new programs.

Some of the state education agencies and our accrediting commission also have requirements that may affect our schools' ability to open a new campus, establish an additional location of an existing institution or begin offering a new educational program. Our Edison school (including its branch campuses in Mt. Laurel, Paramus, Philadelphia, Center City and Plymouth Meeting) and our Somerville school (including its Lowell branch campus) are presently under financial review with the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools. Under financial review, the school is required to submit financial reports to the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools on a quarterly basis. While on financial review, an institution must obtain approval from the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools before applying to add a new non-main location and may be required to request permission to submit a new program application. We do not believe that these standards will create significant obstacles to our expansion plans.

Administrative Capability. The DOE assesses the administrative capability of each institution that participates in Title IV Programs under a series of separate standards. Failure to satisfy any of the standards may lead the DOE to find the institution ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs or to place the institution on provisional certification as a condition of its participation. These criteria require, among other things, that the institution:

- complies with all applicable federal student financial aid regulations;
- has capable and sufficient personnel to administer the federal student financial aid programs;
- has acceptable methods of defining and measuring the satisfactory academic progress of its students;
- refers to the Office of the Inspector General any credible information indicating that any applicant, student, employee or agent of the school has been engaged in any fraud or other illegal conduct involving Title IV Programs;
- provides financial aid counseling to its students; and
- submits in a timely manner all reports and financial statements required by the regulations.

Failure by an institution to satisfy any of these or other administrative capability criteria could cause the institution to lose its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, which would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Other standards provide that an institution may be found to lack administrative capability and be placed on provisional certification if its student loan default rate under the Federal Family Education Loan program is 25% or greater for any of the three most recent federal fiscal years, or if its Perkins cohort default rate exceeds 15% for any federal award year. None of our institutions have a Federal Family Education Loan cohort default rate above 25% for any of the three most recent fiscal years for which the DOE has published rates. Denver Automotive & Diesel College and New England Technical Institute are our only institutions participating in the Perkins program. Denver Automotive & Diesel College's cohort default rate was 22.86% for students scheduled to begin repayment in the 2002-2003 federal award year. The DOE has not provided any federal funds for Perkins loans to Denver Automotive & Diesel College. Denver Automotive & Diesel College continues to make loans out of its existing Perkins loan fund. As it was prior to when we acquired it, New England Technical Institute is provisionally certified by the DOE based on its change in ownership and on a finding by the DOE prior to the change in ownership that New England Technical Institute had not transmitted certain data related to the Perkins program to the National Student Loan Data System during periods prior to the acquisition. New England Technical Institute's cohort default rate was 4.35% for students scheduled to begin repayment in the 2002-2003 federal award year.

Ability to Benefit Regulations. Under certain circumstances, an institution may elect to admit non-high school graduates, or "ability to benefit," students, into certain of its programs of study. In order for ability to benefit students to be eligible for Title IV Program participation, the institution must comply with the ability to benefit requirements set forth in the Title IV Program requirements. The basic evaluation method to determine that a student has the ability to benefit from the program is the student's achievement of a minimum score on a test approved by the DOE and independently administered in accordance with DOE regulations. In addition to the testing requirements, the DOE regulations also prohibit ability to benefit student enrollments from constituting 50% or more of the total enrollment of the institution. We changed our policy and stopped admitting ability to benefit students in 2003. We subsequently acquired Southwestern College in 2004 and New England Technical Institute in 2005, both of which currently enroll ability to benefit students. With the exception of Southwestern College and New England Technical Institute, we expect to continue this policy and our other schools do not expect to admit ability to benefit students in the future.

On or about May 10, 2002, the DOE Office of Inspector General issued a final audit report to the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Lincoln Technical Institute school concerning an Office of Inspector General audit of the Philadelphia Lincoln Technical Institute school's administration of ability to benefit testing. The report was issued under OIG audit control number A03-B0013 and covered the administration of ATB testing requirements at the Philadelphia Lincoln Technical Institute school from July 1, 1997 through November 7, 2000. The findings presented in the Office of Inspector General report cited alleged deficiencies with respect to aspects of ability to benefit test administration. We disagreed with the Office of Inspector General findings and recommendations and submitted detailed comments to the DOE's Philadelphia Case Management Team explaining our position. On October 31, 2003, we entered into a settlement agreement with the DOE constituting a complete accord and satisfaction and final resolution of the findings in the Office of Inspector General final audit report.

Restrictions on Payment of Commissions, Bonuses and Other Incentive Payments. An institution participating in Title IV Programs may not provide any commission, bonus or other incentive payment based directly or indirectly on success in securing enrollments or financial aid to any person or entity engaged in any student recruiting or admission activities or in making decisions regarding the awarding of Title IV Program funds. In November 2002, the DOE published new regulations which attempt to clarify this so-called "incentive compensation rule." Failure to comply with the incentive compensation rule could result in loss of ability to participate in Title IV Programs or in fines or liabilities. We believe that our current compensation plans are in compliance with the Higher Education Act and the DOE's new regulations, although we cannot assure you that the DOE will not find deficiencies in our compensation plans.

Eligibility and Certification Procedures. Each institution must periodically apply to the DOE for continued certification to participate in Title IV Programs. The institution must also apply for recertification when it undergoes a change in ownership resulting in a change of control. The institution also may come under DOE review when it undergoes a substantive change that requires the submission of an application, such as opening an additional location or raising the highest academic credential it offers. The DOE agreed that the addition of the holding company to our ownership structure in 2003 would not constitute a change in ownership of our schools resulting in a change of control provided that certain conditions were met, including that the holding company execute the program participation agreement for each institution. See "Regulatory Environment—Reorganization" for a description of our reorganization in 2003. The holding company has executed a program participation agreement for each of our institutions, except for New England Technical Institute, which has executed a temporary provisional program participation agreement. In addition, the DOE requested that the institutions submit audited financial statements of both the holding company and the subsidiary companies as consolidating statements. We expect to submit consolidating information for the 2004 fiscal year for Lincoln Technical Institute and Southwestern College.

The DOE may place an institution on provisional certification status if it determines that the institution does not fully satisfy certain administrative and financial standards or if the institution undergoes a change in ownership resulting in a change of control. The DOE may withdraw an institution's provisional certification with the institution having fewer due process protections than if it were fully certified. In addition, the DOE may more closely review an institution that is provisionally certified if it applies for approval to open a new location, add an educational program, acquire another school or make any other significant change. Provisional certification does not otherwise limit an institution's access to Title IV Program funds. Southwestern College received an executed provisional program participation agreement from the DOE. In connection with our acquisition of New England Technical Institute, we have received an executed temporary provisional program participation agreement from the DOE and are in the process of obtaining final approval of the New England Technical Institute acquisition from the DOE. We expect the DOE approval issued to New England Technical Institute at the conclusion of the pending change in ownership approval process to be

provisional although we can provide no assurance as to the timing and content of the DOE's response to the pending change in ownership application.

All institutions are recertified on various dates for various amounts of time. The following table sets forth the expiration dates for each of our institutions' current program participation agreement:

| Institution | Expiration Date of Current Program Participation Agreement |
|-------------------|--|
| Allentown, PA | September 30, 2007 |
| Columbia, MD | September 30, 2007 |
| Philadelphia, PA | September 30, 2007 |
| Denver, CO | December 31, 2009 |
| Lincoln, RI | March 31, 2008 |
| Nashville, TN | June 30, 2008 |
| Somerville, MA | March 31, 2008 |
| Edison, NJ | September 30, 2007 |
| Union, NJ | September 30, 2007 |
| Grand Prairie, TX | March 31, 2009 |
| Indianapolis, IN | March 31, 2009 |
| Melrose Park, IL | March 31, 2009 |
| Dayton, OH | March 31, 2008* |
| New Britain, CT | March 31, 2005** |

* Provisionally certified.

** The temporary provisional program participation agreement continues on a month-to-month basis until the DOE makes a determination on the institution's application for approval of the change in ownership. The institution is waiting for final DOE approval of the change in ownership.

Compliance with Regulatory Standards and Effect of Regulatory Violations. Our schools are subject to audits, program reviews, and site visits by various regulatory agencies, including the DOE, the DOE's Office of Inspector General, state education agencies, student loan guaranty agencies, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and our accrediting commissions. In addition, each of our institutions must retain an independent certified public accountant to conduct an annual audit of the institution's administration of Title IV Program funds. The institution must submit the resulting audit report to the DOE for review.

The DOE conducted a program review at Southwestern College and issued an initial program review report in which it identified potential instances of noncompliance with DOE requirements. The report contained nine findings in which the DOE contended that Southwestern College did not identify the specific date each Title IV transaction was posted to a student's account, did not provide adequate financial aid counseling to its eligible students applying for Title IV assistance, did not have certain internal control procedures, did not make timely refunds to certain students, did not have satisfactory academic progress that met regulatory requirements, did not have a system between the financial aid and fiscal offices to regularly reconcile student financial aid awards and disbursements, did not maintain adequate records to support expenditures in the Federal Pell Grant and Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant programs, and awarded Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant program funds in amounts below the minimum award amount for an academic year. The report also included a finding that the overall findings reflected a lack of administrative capability on the part of the institution. That finding also stated that the DOE was aware of the change in ownership of Southwestern College and that DOE reviewers discussed with school personnel the expectation that Southwestern College would correct the deficiencies. Southwestern College has submitted an initial response to the report, has provided additional information requested by the DOE, and is waiting for a response or determination from the DOE.

The DOE also conducted a program review at Nashville Auto-Diesel College and issued a final program review determination stating that it had taken the required corrective actions to resolve four of five findings of noncompliance with DOE requirements and requiring that Nashville Auto-Diesel College pay the DOE \$14,499 based on the fifth finding. The first four findings contended that Nashville Auto-Diesel College had failed to properly prorate the Federal Pell Grant award for one student, had underawarded Federal Pell Grants to seven students, had improperly awarded a Federal Pell Grant award to one student, and had made an unequal Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant program award to one student. The fifth finding related to Nashville Auto-Diesel College granting Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant matching funds to ineligible students. Nashville Auto-Diesel College expects to pay the \$14,499 amount to the DOE in the second quarter of 2005.

If one of our schools failed to comply with accrediting or state licensing requirements, such school and its main and/or branch campuses could be subject to the loss of state licensure or accreditation, which in turn could result in a loss of eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs. If the DOE determined that one of our institutions improperly disbursed Title IV Program funds or violated a provision of the Higher Education Act or DOE regulations, the institution could be required to repay such funds and related costs to the DOE and lenders, and could be assessed an administrative fine. The DOE could also place the institution on provisional certification and/or transfer the institution to the reimbursement or cash monitoring system of receiving Title IV Program funds, under which an institution must disburse its own funds to students and document the students' eligibility for Title IV Program funds before receiving such funds from the DOE. The DOE has informed us that as a result of our recomputed composite scores for the 2001 and 2002 fiscal years, all of our current and future institutions have been placed on "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status" for a period of three years effective December 30, 2004 and are required to timely notify the DOE with respect to certain enumerated oversight and financial events. The DOE has also informed us that these accounting charges will be taken into consideration when each of our institutions applies for recertification of its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs.

An institution that is operating under "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status," is required to credit student accounts before drawing down funds under Title IV Programs and to draw down funds in an amount no greater than the previous disbursement to students and parents. Additionally, the institution's compliance audit will be required to contain verification that this did occur throughout the year. In addition to the above, the DOE has required us to comply with certain requirements prescribed for institutions operating in "the zone," which is indicative of a composite score between 1.0 and 1.4. Those requirements include providing timely information regarding any of the following oversight and financial events:

- any adverse action, including a probation or similar action, taken against the institution by its accrediting agency;
- any event that causes the institution, or related entity to realize any liability that was noted as a contingent liability in the institution's or related entity's most recent audit financial statement;
- any violation by the institution of any loan agreement;
- any failure of the institution to make a payment in accordance with its debt obligations that results in a creditor filing suit to recover funds under those obligations;
- any withdrawal of owner's equity from institution by any means, including declaring a dividend; or
- any extraordinary losses, as defined in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30.

Operating under the zone requirements may also require the institution to submit its financial statement and compliance audits earlier than the date previously required and require the institution to provide information about its current operations and future plans. An institution that continues to fail to meet the financial responsibility standards set by the DOE or does not comply with the zone requirements may lose its eligibility to continue to participate in Title IV funding or it may be required to post irrevocable letters of credit, for an amount determined by the DOE that is not less than 50% of the Title IV Program funds received by the institution during its most recently completed fiscal year.

Significant violations of Title IV Program requirements by us or any of our institutions could be the basis for a proceeding by the DOE to limit, suspend or terminate the participation of the affected institution in Title IV Programs or to civil or criminal penalties. Generally, such a termination extends for 18 months before the institution may apply for reinstatement of its participation. There is no DOE proceeding pending to fine any of our institutions or to limit, suspend or terminate any of our institutions' participation in Title IV Programs.

We and our schools are also subject to complaints and lawsuits relating to regulatory compliance brought not only by our regulatory agencies, but also by third parties, such as present or former students or employees and other members of the public. If we are unable to successfully resolve or defend against any such complaint or lawsuit, we may be required to pay money damages or be subject to fines, limitations, loss of federal funding, injunctions or other penalties. Moreover, even if we successfully resolve or defend against any such complaint or lawsuit, we may have to devote significant financial and management resources in order to reach such a result.

Lenders and Guaranty Agencies. In 2004, seven lenders provided funding to more than 91% of the students at the schools we owned during that year: Bank One, N.A., SLMA Wilmington Trust, Citibank Student Loan Corporation, SunTrust Bank, JPMorgan Chase Bank, Educaid (Wachovia), and ASAP Union Bank & Trust. While we believe that other lenders would be willing to make federally guaranteed student loans to our students if loans were no longer available from our current lenders, there can be no assurances in this regard. In addition, the Higher Education Act requires the establishment of lenders of last resort in every state to ensure that loans are available to students at any school that cannot otherwise identify lenders willing to make federally guaranteed loans to its students.

Our primary guarantors for Title IV loans are USA Group, a subsidiary of Sallie Mae, and New Jersey Higher Education Assistance Authority, an independent agency of the State of New Jersey. These two agencies currently guarantee 91% of all federally guaranteed student loans made to students enrolled at our schools. There are six other guaranty agencies that guarantee student loans made to students enrolled at our schools. We believe that other guaranty agencies would be willing to guarantee loans to our students if any of the guaranty agencies ceased guaranteeing those loans or reduced the volume of loans they guarantee, although there can be no assurances in this regard.

MANAGEMENT

Directors and Executive Officers

We expect that the following persons will be our executive officers and directors at the time of this offering:

| Name | Age as of May 1, 2005 | Position Held |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| David F. Carney(1) | 65 | Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| Lawrence E. Brown | 57 | President, Chief Operating Officer |
| Scott M. Shaw | 42 | Senior Vice President, Strategic Planning & Development |
| Cesar Ribeiro | 41 | Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer |
| Alexandra M. Luster | 40 | Vice President and General Counsel |
| Thomas McHugh | 57 | Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer |
| Stephen M. Buchenot | 49 | Group Vice President of Operations |
| Deborah Ramentol | 52 | Group Vice President of Operations |
| Edward B. Abrams | 54 | Group Vice President of Operations |
| Ronald L. Beall | 61 | Group Vice President of Operations |
| Anthony A. Stanziani | 47 | Group Vice President of Operations |
| Alexis P. Michas(1)(3)(4) | 47 | Director |
| James J. Burke, Jr.(1)(3)(4) | 53 | Director |
| Steven W. Hart(3) | 48 | Director |
| Jerry G. Rubenstein(2)(5) | 75 | Director |
| John Petillo(2)(5) | 58 | Director |
| Paul Glaske(3)(4)(5) | 71 | Director |
| Peter S. Burgess(2)(5) | 62 | Director |

- (1) Member of the executive committee.
- (2) Member of the audit committee.
- (3) Member of the compensation committee.
- (4) Member of the governance committee.
- (5) Independent director.

David F. Carney joined us in 1999 as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, prior to which he served as a consultant following the sale of his two school companies to Computer Learning Centers, Inc. Previously, Mr. Carney spent 20 years in various capacities with British Oxygen Group Limited, including CFO and Vice President of Development of the Education Services Division which operated 25 technical schools. From 1990 to 1992, Mr. Carney was President of the Massachusetts Association of Private Career Schools. Mr. Carney received a B.S. from Seton Hall University. Mr. Carney has over 27 years of experience in the career education industry.

Lawrence E. Brown joined us in 1973 and currently serves as our President and Chief Operating Officer. Prior to taking this position, Mr. Brown held various positions with us including Assistant Director, Executive Director, Regional Vice President, Vice President of Operations and Executive Vice President. Mr. Brown oversees the operations of each of our 32 campuses. He has also served as President of the Private Career Schools of New Jersey and State Captain of the Skills 2000 lobbying effort for the reauthorization of the Higher Education Act of 1992. Mr. Brown received a B.A. from

Northern Illinois University. Mr. Brown has over 32 years of experience in the career education industry.

Scott M. Shaw joined us in 2001 and currently serves as our Senior Vice President, Strategic Planning & Development, prior to which he was a partner at Stonington where he had been since 1994. As a partner at Stonington, Mr. Shaw was responsible for identifying, evaluating and acquiring companies and then assisting in the oversight of these companies through participation on the board of directors. In addition, Mr. Shaw worked closely with senior management to develop long-term strategic plans, to evaluate acquisition and new investment opportunities, to assist with refinancings, and to execute on the final sale of the company either to the public or to another company. Mr. Shaw also served as a consultant to Merrill Lynch Capital Partners Inc., a private investment firm associated with Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. from 1994 through 2000. Mr. Shaw holds an M.B.A. from the Wharton School of Business and a B.A. from Duke University.

Cesar Ribeiro joined us in 2004 as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. From September 2002 through June 2004, Mr. Ribeiro was self-employed providing both consulting services and private money management services. Prior to that, he was an audit partner with Arthur Andersen LLP, where he had been since 1987. Mr. Ribeiro holds a B.S. from Rutgers University.

Alexandra M. Luster joined us in 1995 and currently serves as our Vice President and General Counsel, prior to which she practiced law in the private arena for four years. Mrs. Luster received a B.A. from Fordham University and her Juris Doctor from Seton Hall University School of Law.

Thomas McHugh joined us in 2000 and currently serves as our Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer. Mr. McHugh is responsible for all financial aid issues with a focus on insuring that our policies and actions with regard to financial aid are in compliance with applicable federal regulations. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. McHugh was the Director of Review and Analysis for Computer Learning Centers, Inc. Mr. McHugh is a graduate of Fordham University and holds a Master's Degree from Rutgers University. Mr. McHugh has over 25 years of experience in the career education industry.

Stephen M. Buchenot joined us in 1996 and has held various management positions with us, prior to which he worked for National Education Corporation and Allied Education Corporation. Currently he oversees the operations of five of our schools. Mr. Buchenot received a B.A. from Loyola University, Chicago, Illinois. Mr. Buchenot has 22 years of experience in the career education industry.

Deborah Ramentol has held various management positions with us since joining us in 1974. Currently, she oversees the operation of eight schools. She has served on the Administrative Board of the Private Career School Association of New Jersey for 11 years. She was also on the Skills 2000 Team supporting state-level lobbying efforts during the 1998 Reauthorization of the Higher Education Act. Mrs. Ramentol has 30 years of experience in the career education industry.

Edward B. Abrams joined us in 2001, prior to which he worked for Computer Learning Centers, Inc. from 1996 to 2001 where he was responsible for opening the Atlanta, Georgia region as area manager with full operation responsibilities for both the Marietta and Norcross campuses. Prior to working for Computer Learning Centers, Inc., Mr. Abrams worked for Wilfred American Education Corporation where he had responsibility for starting up several schools including operations in Philadelphia, Boston and New York City along with acquisition responsibility in Washington D.C. and Paramus, New Jersey and served as a district vice president of operations with responsibility for over \$25 million in revenue. Mr. Abrams oversees the operation of eight schools, five of which are the newly acquired Southwestern College schools. He received a B.S. in Business from Nathaniel Hawthorne College, Antrim, New Hampshire. Mr. Abrams has more than 31 years experience in the career education industry.

Ronald L. Beall joined us in 2000, prior to which he worked for Career Blazers, an owner and operator of IT schools, as Chief Operating Officer from 1999 to 2000. From 1997 to 1999 he was a

regional school director for Ultrasound Diagnostic School, a Whitman Education School. Mr. Beall has more than 30 years experience in the career education industry.

Anthony A. Stanziani joined us in 2004 after seven years with The Chubb Institute as Regional Vice President of its Northeast Region. He was President and owner of The Teff School in White Plains, NY from 1987 to 1997, following a progressive nine-year career with The Westchester Business Institute, a degree granting institution of higher learning, in which his last position was Senior Vice President. Additionally, Mr. Stanziani serves as the Chairman of the New York State Advisory Council for Licensed Trade and Registered Business Schools. Formerly, he served as the Vice Chairman of the Commission of the Accrediting Council for Continuing Education and Training (ACCET); member of the NYS Advisory Council for Higher Education; President of NYS Registered Business School Association; Vice President of the Coalition of NYS Career Schools; Vice President of the Dystrophic Epidermolysis Bullosa Researchers of America; and Founder and President of the April Ann Stumpf Memorial Fund. He possesses a B.S. in Business Administration and Accounting and a M.S. in Organizational Leadership from Mercy College, Dobbs Ferry, New York.

Alexis P. Michas has served on our board of directors since 1999. He has been the Managing Partner and a director of Stonington since 1994. Mr. Michas also served as a consultant to Merrill Lynch Capital Partners, Inc., a private investment firm associated with Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., from 1994 through 2000. Mr. Michas received a B.A. from Harvard University and an M.B.A. from Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration. Mr. Michas also is a director of BorgWarner Inc. and Perkin Elmer, Inc.

James J. Burke, Jr. has served on our board of directors since 1999. He has been a partner and director of Stonington since 1994. Mr. Burke also served as a consultant to Merrill Lynch Capital Partners, Inc., a private investment firm associated with Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., from 1994 through 2000. Mr. Burke was formerly Chairman of Eerie World Entertainment L.L.C., a restaurant company that was reorganized under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in 2001. He received a B.A. from Brown University and an M.B.A. from Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration. Mr. Burke also serves on the board of directors of Ann Taylor Stores Corporation.

Steven W. Hart has served on our board of directors since 1999. Mr. Hart is the owner and President of Hart Capital LLC, a private investment fund that invests primarily in the education sector, which is the managing member of Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC. Mr. Hart has more than 20 years of experience as a principal investing in and managing companies in a wide range of industries, and has actively served in several not-for-profit capacities. From 1995 to 2003, he served as Chairman of the Investment Advisory Council which advises the State Treasurer regarding the management of the State of Connecticut Retirement Plans & Trust Funds. From 1996 to 2003, he served as Trustee and then Chairman of the Stanford University Business School Endowment Trust. Mr. Hart is on the Board of Trustees of the South Street Seaport Museum and of Emagination Foundation, Inc. Mr. Hart received a B.A. in Mathematics and Economics from Wesleyan University and an M.B.A. from Stanford University Graduate School of Business.

Jerry G. Rubenstein has served on our board of directors since 1999. Mr. Rubenstein has organized and managed several entrepreneurial ventures, including OMNI Management Associates, where he has served as President since 1979. Mr. Rubenstein currently serves on the boards of directors of The Philadelphia Chamber Music Society (as Chairman), Marlboro Music School, Inc., The Curtis Institute of Music and Foreign Policy Research Institute. Mr. Rubenstein received his bachelor of business administration from the City College of New York.

John Petillo has served on our board of directors since 2004. Dr. Petillo is currently the President of the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey. During his career in the education industry, Dr. Petillo served as Chancellor of Administration for the Archdiocese of Newark where he was responsible for over 240 parishes and 200 schools. In addition, he served as Chancellor of Seton Hall University for 13 years as well as President of Blue Cross Blue Shield of New Jersey. Dr. Petillo served as Chairman,

President and Chief Executive Officer of Cane Advantage and as regional Vice President of First Union Insurance. Dr. Petillo currently serves as Vice-Chairman of the board of directors of the Newark Alliance. Dr. Petillo received his Bachelor of Arts and Master of Arts from Seton Hall University, a master of divinity from Darlington School of Theology and a doctorate from Fordham University.

Paul Glaske has served on our board of directors since 2004. Mr. Glaske was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from April 1992 until his retirement in 1999 of Blue Bird Corporation, a leading manufacturer of school buses, motorhomes and a variety of other vehicles. He currently serves on the board of directors of BorgWarner Inc., Camcraft, Inc., Energy Transfer Partners, the Texas Association of Business, and is currently the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of LeTourneau University. Mr. Glaske earned his B.S. in Business Administration from Bob Jones University and his M.B.A. from Pepperdine University.

Peter S. Burgess, CPA was elected to our board of directors on July 8, 2004. In 1999, Mr. Burgess retired from Arthur Andersen LLP where he was an accounting and business advisory partner serving numerous manufacturing, insurance and financial services enterprises. Following his retirement, he has provided consulting services specializing in litigation support, mergers and acquisitions and audit committee responsibilities under securities exchange requirements and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Mr. Burgess is also Chairman of the Audit Committee of PMA Capital Corporation and serves as a director of Duncaster Inc., a not-for-profit continuing care retirement community. Mr. Burgess earned a B.S. in Business Administration from Lehigh University.

Our Board of Directors

Upon completion of this offering, our board will consist of eight persons. We are currently a controlled corporation under the rules of The Nasdaq National Market as Stonington holds, and will continue to hold after the offering, over 50% of the voting power of our company. As required by the rules of The Nasdaq National Market, our independent directors will hold regularly scheduled meetings at which only independent directors are present.

Our executive officers are appointed by the board on an annual basis and serve until their successors have been duly elected. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Committees of the Board

At the time of this offering, we expect that our board will have an executive committee, an audit committee, a compensation committee and a governance committee. The composition, duties and responsibilities of these committees are set forth below. Committee members will hold office for a term of one year. After this offering, our board may designate new committees, as it deems appropriate, to assist with its responsibilities.

The Executive Committee

We expect that our board will designate an executive committee consisting of at least three directors. It is currently anticipated that Messrs. Carney (Chairman), Burke and Michas will serve on the executive committee. When the board of directors is not in session and between board meetings, or when it would not be practical for the full board to meet to review or act upon any matter, the executive committee will have and may exercise all the powers and authority of the board of directors in the management of our business and affairs, except as provided (1) in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, (2) in our bylaws, or (3) by any action of the board of directors.

Audit Committee

We expect that our board will designate an audit committee consisting of at least three directors, at least one of whom is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in the rules of the SEC. It is

currently anticipated that Mr. Burgess (Chairman), Dr. Petillo and Mr. Rubenstein will serve on the audit committee. Mr. Burgess and Mr. Rubenstein are "audit committee financial experts." Each member of the audit committee will be able to read and understand financial statements at the time such member is appointed. The composition of the audit committee will satisfy the independence requirements of The Nasdaq National Market and the SEC.

The audit committee will have at least four regular meetings each year. The result of each meeting is to be reported at the next regular meeting of our board.

The audit committee will have the responsibility for overseeing:

- our accounting and financial reporting processes;
- the quality and integrity of our financial statements;
- the quality and integrity of our system of internal controls;
- our compliance with laws and regulations;
- our independent auditor's qualifications and independence; and
- the audit of our financial statements by a qualified independent auditor.

To fulfill these responsibilities, the audit committee will:

- be aware of the current areas of greatest financial risk to us and understand management's assessment and management of the risks;
- consider the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures to promote timely, accurate, compliant and meaningful disclosure in our periodic reports filed with the SEC;
- periodically review with the independent auditors their assessment as to the adequacy of our structure of internal controls over financial accounting and reporting, and their qualitative judgments as to the accounting principles employed and related disclosures by us and the conclusions expressed in our financial reports;
- review with management and the independent auditors our accounting policies and practices to ensure they meet the requirements with respect to the FASB, the SEC and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants;
- select, evaluate and, if necessary, replace our independent auditors;
- actively engage in dialogue with the independent auditors with respect to any disclosed relationships or services that may impact the objectivity or independence of the independent auditors;
- engage advisors, as the committee determines is necessary, to carry out its duties;
- meet with the independent auditors, the internal auditors and senior management to review the scope and methodology of the proposed audit;
- discuss with management policies and practices regarding earnings press releases, as well as financial information and earnings guidelines provided to analysts and rating agencies;
- set clear hiring policies with respect to any current or former employees of our independent auditors; and
- establish procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints we receive regarding our internal accounting controls or auditing matters and for the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of their concerns regarding our internal accounting controls and auditing matters.

Compensation Committee

We expect that our board will designate a compensation committee that will consist of at least three directors. It is currently anticipated that Messrs. Burke (Chairman), Glaske, Hart and Michas will serve on the compensation committee. The composition of the compensation committee will not satisfy the independence requirements of The Nasdaq National Market because we are a controlled company. The compensation committee will meet at least twice during each fiscal year. The primary responsibility of the compensation committee will be to develop and oversee the implementation of our philosophy with respect to the compensation of our officers. In that regard, the compensation committee will:

- develop and maintain a compensation policy and strategy that creates a direct relationship between pay levels and corporate performance and returns to stockholders;
- recommend compensation and benefit plans to our board for approval;
- review and approve annual corporate and personal goals and objectives to serve as the basis for the chief executive officer's compensation, evaluate the chief executive officer's performance in light of the goals and, based on such evaluation, determine the chief executive officer's compensation;
- determine the annual total compensation for our named executive officers;
- with respect to our equity-based compensation plans, approve the grants of stock options and other equity-based incentives as permitted under our compensation plans;
- review and recommend compensation for non-employee directors to our board; and
- review and recommend employment agreements, severance arrangements and change of control plans that provide for benefits upon a change in control, or other provisions for our executive officers and directors, to our board. It is intended that arrangements for change of control benefits for our executive officers will be considered by the compensation committee after this offering.

Governance Committee

We expect that our board will designate a governance committee that will consist of at least three directors. It is currently anticipated that Messrs. Michas (Chairman), Burke and Glaske will serve on the governance committee. The composition of the governance committee will not satisfy the independence requirements of The Nasdaq National Market because we are a controlled company. The governance committee will:

- identify individuals qualified to serve as our directors;
- recommend qualified individuals for election to our board of directors at annual meetings of stockholders; and
- monitor compliance with and recommend changes to corporate governance guidelines to our board.

To fulfill these responsibilities, the governance committee will:

- review periodically the composition of our board;
- identify and recommend director candidates for our board;
- recommend to our board nominees for election as directors;
- recommend to our board the composition of certain committees of the board;
- review periodically our corporate governance guidelines and recommend governance issues that should be considered by our board;

- review periodically our committees' charters, duties and powers and operations and the working relationship between each committee and the board;
- review periodically our code of conduct and obtain confirmation from management that the policies included in the code of conduct are understood and implemented; and
- consider, discuss and recommend ways to improve our board's effectiveness.

Director Compensation

After this offering, each non-employee director will receive a \$25,000 annual retainer, \$60,000 in restricted stock awards, an annual restricted stock award of \$30,000 payable at the annual meeting or restricted stock unit award to receive shares of our common stock under our 2004 Non-Employee Directors Restricted Stock Plan (described in more detail below) and \$1,000 per board meeting attended in person or by telephone. The chairman of each committee of the board will receive an additional \$500 per board meeting attended.

Non-employee directors on committees of the board will each receive an additional payment of \$1,000 for each committee meeting attended on a day other than the day of a board meeting for which that director has been compensated. The audit committee chairman will receive an additional \$10,000 annual retainer.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Upon completion of this offering, none of our executive officers will serve on the compensation committee or board of directors of any other company of which any of the members of our compensation committee or any of our directors is an executive officer. While serving as one of our officers, Mr. Carney will not serve as a member of our compensation committee.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws limit the liability of directors to the maximum extent permitted by New Jersey law. Specifically, a director will not be personally liable for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except liability for:

- any breach of their duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders;
- acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve a knowing violation of the law; or
- any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

The limitation of liability does not apply to liabilities arising under the federal securities laws and does not affect the availability of equitable remedies such as injunctive relief or rescission.

Our bylaws provide that we will indemnify our directors and officers and may indemnify our employees and other agents to the fullest extent permitted by law. We believe that indemnification under our bylaws covers at least negligence and gross negligence on the part of indemnified parties. Our bylaws also provide that we shall advance expenses incurred by a director or officer in advance of the final disposition of any action or proceeding and we may advance expenses incurred by our employees or other agents in advance of the final disposition of any action or proceeding. Our bylaws also permit us to secure insurance on behalf of any officer, director, employee or other agent for any liability arising out of his or her actions in his or her capacity as an officer, director, employee or other agent. We believe that these bylaw provisions and indemnification agreements are necessary to attract and retain the services of highly qualified persons as directors and officers.

The limited liability and indemnification provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may discourage stockholders from bringing a lawsuit against our directors for breach of their fiduciary duty and may reduce the likelihood of derivative litigation against our directors and officers, even though a derivative action, if successful, might otherwise benefit us and our stockholders. A stockholder's investment in us may be adversely affected to the extent we pay the costs of settlement or damage awards against our directors and officers under these indemnification provisions.

At present, there is no pending litigation or proceeding involving any director, officer or employee in which indemnification is sought, nor are we aware of any threatened litigation that may result in claims for indemnification.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted for directors, officers and controlling persons of us pursuant to the foregoing provisions or otherwise, we have been informed that, in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and is, therefore, unenforceable.

Executive Compensation

The table below sets forth summary information concerning the compensation awarded to our chief executive officer and our four most highly compensated executive officers other than our chief executive officer during 2004. The individuals listed below are referred to in this prospectus as our "named executive officers."

Summary Compensation Table

| Name & Principal Position | Year | Annual Compensation | | Other Annual Compensation(1) | Long-Term Compensation Awards | | All Other Compensation (2) |
|--|------|---------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Salary | Bonus | | Restricted Stock Award(s) | Securities Underlying Options SARs | |
| David F. Carney <i>Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer</i> | 2004 | \$ 360,000 | \$ 460,000 | — | — | — | \$ 3,900 |
| Lawrence E. Brown <i>President and Chief Operating Officer</i> | 2004 | 300,000 | 213,792 | — | — | — | 3,900 |
| Scott M. Shaw <i>Senior Vice President, Strategic Planning and Business Development</i> | 2004 | 270,000 | 192,595 | — | — | — | 3,900 |
| Stephen M. Buchenot <i>Group Vice President</i> | 2004 | 175,000 | 69,360 | — | — | — | 3,900 |
| Deborah Ramentol <i>Group Vice President</i> | 2004 | 175,000 | 73,533 | — | — | — | 3,900 |

(1) In accordance with the rules of the SEC, other annual compensation in the form of perquisites and other personal benefits has been omitted because such perquisites and other personal benefits constitute less than the lesser of \$50,000 or ten percent of the total salary and bonus reported for the named executive officers during fiscal 2004.

(2) The compensation disclosed in this column represents matching contributions made by us under our 401(k) Plan to each of our named executive officers during fiscal 2004.

Option Grants During 2004 Fiscal Year

We did not grant any stock options to our named executive officers during fiscal 2004.

Aggregated Option Exercises in 2004 Fiscal Year and 2004 Fiscal Year-End Option Values

The table below sets forth information related to stock options exercised by the named executive officers during the 2004 fiscal year and the number and value of stock options held as of December 31, 2004.

| Name | Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options as of December 31, 2004 | | Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at FY-End (1) | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | | Exercisable | Unexercisable | Exercisable | Unexercisable |
| David F. Carney | 0 | 0 | 238,682 | 223,768 | \$ 3,844,785 | \$ 3,316,620 |
| Lawrence E. Brown | 0 | 0 | 147,052 | 148,848 | 2,326,518 | 2,074,692 |
| Scott M. Shaw | 0 | 0 | 142,638 | 142,862 | 2,267,661 | 2,012,289 |
| Stephen M. Buchenot | 0 | 0 | 18,226 | 23,899 | 277,216 | 280,734 |
| Deborah Ramentol | 0 | 0 | 18,226 | 23,899 | 277,216 | 280,734 |

(1) There was no public market for our common stock on December 31, 2004. Accordingly these values have been calculated in accordance with the rules of the SEC, on the basis of an initial public offering price per share of \$20.00 (the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus), less the applicable per share exercise price.

Pension Plan

We maintain a qualified defined benefit pension plan (the "Pension Plan"), which is currently frozen. Benefit accruals under the Pension Plan were frozen for non-union employees as of December 31, 1994. As of such date, the annual pension benefits that would be payable at age 65 under the Pension Plan to our eligible named executive officers, calculated on the basis of a single-life annuity, are as follows: Mr. Brown: \$25,913.88; Mr. Buchenot: \$2,672.28; and Ms. Ramentol: \$10,204.08. Messrs. Carney and Shaw are not eligible for pension benefits under the Pension Plan. The pension benefits available under the Pension Plan are not subject to any reduction for Social Security or other offset amounts.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

During fiscal year 2004, none of our executive officers, directors or greater than 10% stockholders were required to comply with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, with respect to our common stock because our company had not yet become public, and therefore, such persons were not subject to the reporting requirements under Section 16.

Employment-Related Arrangements

The employment agreements for each of David F. Carney, Lawrence E. Brown, Scott M. Shaw, and Cesar Ribeiro were amended on March 1, 2005. The descriptions of the employment agreements below reflect these amendments.

Employment Agreement with David F. Carney

Employment Period. We have entered into an employment agreement, dated January 1, 2005, as amended on March 1, 2005, with David F. Carney, which agreement supersedes the employment agreement, dated January 1, 2003, between us and Mr. Carney. The agreement provides that Mr. Carney will serve as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The initial period of his employment term will terminate on January 1, 2007.

Compensation and Benefits. We have agreed that we will compensate Mr. Carney with a minimum annual base salary of \$375,000. Mr. Carney will also be eligible to earn an annual bonus for each calendar year during the term of his employment, pursuant to the terms of our key management team incentive compensation plan in effect for such calendar year. The amount of such bonus will be based upon our achievement of revenue, EBIT margin and net income targets and Mr. Carney's achievement of key non-financial performance objectives, in each case established each year by our board of directors or our compensation committee. Mr. Carney will also be included, to the extent eligible, in all of our employee benefit plans, programs and arrangements (including, without limitation, any plans, programs or arrangements providing for retirement benefits, profit sharing, disability benefits, health and life insurance or vacation and paid holidays) that are established for, or made available to, our senior executives. We currently provide Mr. Carney with an automobile for business and personal use and pay for associated costs, including automobile insurance, parking and fuel, in accordance with our practices as consistently applied to other key employees. In addition, we will furnish Mr. Carney with coverage by our customary director and officer indemnification arrangements, subject to applicable law.

Involuntary Termination. In the event that during Mr. Carney's employment term, there is an "Involuntary Termination" (as defined hereinafter) of Mr. Carney's employment, we will pay him: (1) two times the amount of his base salary, as is then in effect; (2) two times the average of his annual bonus; (3) all outstanding reasonable travel and other business expenses incurred as of the date of his termination; and (4) the employer portion of the premiums necessary to continue his health care coverage for the earlier of (A) one year and (B) the date on which he is covered under another group health plan. Mr. Carney will also be entitled to (1) the continued use of an automobile and payment of associated costs by us for the greater of (A) one year and (B) the remainder of his employment term and (2) receive any other accrued compensation and benefits otherwise payable to him as of the date of his termination. All the aforementioned payments would be paid by us in a lump-sum amount no later than 30 days after the date of his termination. This lump sum payment may be deferred for six months, if necessary, to comply with the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. For purposes of Mr. Carney's employment agreement, "Involuntary Termination" means the termination of his employment (1) by us (or any successor thereto) without "Cause" (as defined in his employment agreement) or (2) by Mr. Carney for "Good Reason" (as defined in his employment agreement).

Termination for Cause, Death or Disability; Resignation Other than for Good Reason. In the event that during Mr. Carney's employment term, Mr. Carney's employment is terminated by us for Cause, or Mr. Carney resigns from his employment other than for Good Reason, we will pay him (or his estate, if applicable) his accrued but unpaid base salary earned through the date of termination, unreimbursed expenses, plus any other accrued but unpaid employee benefits earned through the date of his termination, including, without limitation, any annual bonus due but not yet paid for a completed calendar year.

Change in Control. Upon a Change in Control (as defined in his employment agreement), of which an initial public offering does not constitute a change in control, we (or our successor) will continue the employment of Mr. Carney, and Mr. Carney will continue performing services for us for a period of two years commencing on the date of the Change in Control and ending on the second anniversary thereof. Upon a Change in Control, all outstanding stock options granted by us or any of our affiliates to Mr. Carney will become fully vested and immediately exercisable on the date of the Change in Control.

During a 30-day period commencing on the first anniversary of the date of the Change in Control, Mr. Carney will have the right to resign from his employment with us (or our successor) for any reason and receive an amount equal to (i) one times the amount of his base salary, as is then in effect, and (ii) one times the average of his annual bonus paid to him for the two years immediately prior to the year in which such resignation occurs. If, however, such resignation constitutes an Involuntary Termination (as defined above), he will receive payments in accordance with an Involuntary

Termination. All of the aforementioned payments would be paid by us in a lump-sum amount no later than 30 days after the date of his termination.

Reduction in Payments. The employment agreement contains an Internal Revenue Code, as amended (referred to as the "Code") Section 280G "cusp" provision. In the event that any payment or distribution by us to or for the benefit of Mr. Carney pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement or otherwise would be considered a "parachute payment" and the amount of the parachute payment, after deduction of all relevant taxes, including excise taxes imposed by Code Section 4999, is less than the amount Mr. Carney would receive if he was paid three times his average "base amount" less \$1.00, then the aggregate amounts constituting the parachute payment will be reduced (or returned by Mr. Carney if already paid to him) to an amount that will equal three times his average "base amount" less \$1.00.

Noncompetition. Mr. Carney is subject to a noncompetition restrictive covenant during the term of his employment and for one year thereafter, although the covenant will not apply if his employment is terminated due to an Involuntary Termination or he resigns during the 30-day period commencing on the first anniversary of a Change in Control.

Nonsolicitation. Mr. Carney is subject to a nonsolicitation restrictive covenant of clients, employees and key consultants during the term of this employment and for one year thereafter.

Confidentiality. Mr. Carney is subject to a confidentiality restrictive covenant of unlimited duration.

Arbitration. Any dispute or controversy arising under or in connection with Mr. Carney's employment agreement that cannot be mutually resolved by him and us will be settled exclusively by arbitration in West Orange, New Jersey. The cost of the arbitration will be borne by the parties in the manner determined by the arbitrators.

Waiver and Release. Our obligations under Mr. Carney's employment agreement are subject to Mr. Carney executing and delivering a waiver and release (relating to his release of claims against us) in a form reasonably and mutually agreed upon.

Employment Agreement with Lawrence E. Brown

We have entered into an employment agreement, dated January 3, 2005, as amended on March 1, 2005, with Lawrence E. Brown, which agreement supersedes the employment agreement, dated January 1, 2003, between us and Mr. Brown. The terms of this employment agreement are identical to those set forth in Mr. Carney's employment agreement described above, except that, pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Brown will serve as President and Chief Operating Officer, and he will receive a minimum annual base salary of \$330,000. In addition, in the event of an Involuntary Termination of Mr. Brown's employment term, he shall only be entitled to receive a payment of one and one half times his base salary and annual bonus.

Employment Agreement with Scott M. Shaw

We have entered into an employment agreement, dated January 3, 2005, with Scott M. Shaw, as amended on March 1, 2005, which agreement supersedes the employment agreement, dated January 1, 2003, between us and Mr. Shaw. The terms of this employment agreement are identical to those set forth in Mr. Carney's employment agreement described above, except that, pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Shaw will serve as Senior Vice President, Strategic Planning and Business Development, and he will receive a minimum annual base salary of \$280,000. In addition, in the event of an Involuntary Termination of Mr. Shaw's employment term, he shall only be entitled to receive a payment of one times his base salary and annual bonus.

Employment Agreement with Cesar Ribeiro

We have entered into an employment agreement, dated January 3, 2005, as amended on March 1, 2005, with Cesar Ribeiro. The terms of this employment agreement are identical to those set forth in Mr. Carney's employment agreement described above, except that, pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Ribeiro will serve as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and he will receive a minimum annual base salary of \$250,000. In addition, in the event of an Involuntary Termination of Mr. Ribeiro's employment term, he shall only be entitled to receive a payment of one times his base salary and annual bonus.

Existing Employee Benefit Plans

Management Stock Option Plan

We have granted awards under our Management Stock Option Plan since the plan became effective on January 1, 2002. Upon completion of this offering, all awards outstanding under our Management Stock Option Plan will continue to be subject to the terms and conditions of the plan; however, no further awards will be granted under the plan.

Awards. Our Management Stock Option Plan permits the grant of nonqualified stock options and incentive stock options to purchase shares of our common stock (we collectively refer to nonqualified stock options and incentive stock options as "options"). The terms and conditions of an option grant are set forth in a related option agreement.

Shares Subject to Plan. We have reserved up to 2,087,835 shares of our common stock underlying options pursuant to the plan. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be issued in connection with incentive stock options is 1,043,917. The plan contains standard anti-dilution provisions.

Administration. The plan is administered by our board of directors or by our compensation committee of our board. Our board and our compensation committee have the discretion to select those to whom options will be granted (from among those eligible) and to determine the exercise price, the duration and other terms and conditions of the options, subject to the terms of the plan. Our board may authorize our compensation committee to exercise any and all of the powers and functions of our board pursuant to the plan. Our board or our compensation committee will have the authority to interpret and construe the plan, and any interpretation or construction of the provisions of the plan or of any options granted under the plan by our board or our compensation committee will be final and conclusive. No member of our compensation committee or of our board will be liable for any action or determination made in good faith with respect to the plan or any options granted under it.

Eligibility. Options may be granted to our key employees, consultants and non-employee directors or to key employees, consultants and non-employee directors of our subsidiaries who are selected by our board or our compensation committee.

Exercise Price. Our board or our compensation committee determines the exercise price of the options, although the price for an incentive stock option must not be less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the date of grant, as determined in good faith by our compensation committee in accordance with Section 422 of the Code.

Vesting of Options. Our board or our compensation committee may designate options as service options or performance options and provide vesting schedules for such grants. Generally, one-half of the options granted in any one option grant vest automatically over a five-year period (20% of the options vesting each year), so long as the participant remains employed by us or any of our subsidiaries or the director remains a member of our board or the board of any of our subsidiaries (we refer to these options as the "service options"). The other one-half generally vest at a rate of up to 20% per year subject to our achievement of certain predetermined financial performance goals (we refer to these options as the "performance options"). Performance options will vest and become exercisable on the April 15th following

the year in which the target performance goals are achieved, so long as the participant remains employed by us or any of our subsidiaries or is no longer employed due to an involuntary termination (as defined in the management stockholders agreement). If the goals for a fiscal year are not achieved, none of the performance options subject to vesting for such fiscal year will become exercisable and such options will instead remain eligible to vest and become exercisable if certain cumulative goals are achieved. However, each performance option will become fully vested and immediately exercisable on the seventh anniversary of the date on which the performance option was granted.

Change in Control. In the event of a change in control (as defined in the plan), of which an initial public offering does not constitute a change in control, all outstanding unvested service options and all outstanding performance options will become fully vested and immediately exercisable on the effective date of such change in control. In the event of an initial public offering, performance options not yet vested will no longer be exercisable by their terms and will vest and become exercisable as if they were service options.

Duration of Options. Generally, options will terminate immediately upon an employee ceasing to be an employee, a consultant ceasing to be a consultant and a director ceasing to be a member of our board or the board of one of our subsidiaries. Options granted under the plan will terminate upon the earliest to occur of (i) the tenth anniversary of the date of the option agreement and (ii) the following dates: (a) the six-month anniversary of the date of death of the participant; (b) unless otherwise provided in an agreement between the participant and us, the 30-day anniversary of the date of the participant's retirement or disability (as defined in the management stockholders agreement) if the participant retires or is disabled while an employee, consultant or director, or the 30-day anniversary of the date of involuntary termination of the participant; and (c) immediately upon a participant's termination of employment, consultancy or directorship for cause or upon a participant's voluntary resignation; provided, however, in the event of (b) above, performance options will terminate on the 30-day anniversary of the later of (A) such termination of employment and (B) April 15th of the year in which such termination of employment occurred.

Cash-Out. Our board or our compensation committee may also, in its sole discretion, cancel the vested portion of options held by a participant whose employment, consultancy or directorship has terminated in exchange for a cash payment equal to the excess of the fair value price of the option (as defined in the plan) over the exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares of common stock subject to such cancelled options; provided, however, that our board or our compensation committee not exercise this right in contemplation of a change in control or an initial public offering. In addition, our board or our compensation committee may cancel any outstanding options in exchange for a cash payment to a participant equal to the excess of the fair market value (as determined in good faith by our board) of the consideration received per Stonington Share by Stonington (as defined in the management stockholders agreement) in any sale to a person which is not an affiliate (as defined in the management stockholders agreement) of us or Stonington of all the then issued and outstanding Stonington Shares over the exercise price of the option, multiplied by the number of shares of common stock subject to such cancelled options, effective upon consummation of such event.

Termination; Amendment. The plan will terminate upon, and no options will be granted after, the close of business on January 1, 2012, unless it is terminated sooner by all 2,087,035 option shares being granted and either fully exercised or cancelled as provided for in the plan. Our board may amend, suspend or discontinue this plan at any time, subject to applicable law, although our board may not alter or amend or discontinue or revoke or otherwise impair any outstanding options that have been granted and remain unexercised in a manner adverse to the holders, subject to anti-dilution provisions.

401(k) Plan

We maintain a plan qualified under Section 401(k) of the Code. Under our 401(k) plan, a participant may contribute a maximum of 25% of his or her pre-tax salary, commissions and bonuses

through payroll deductions, up to the statutorily prescribed annual limit (\$13,000 in calendar year 2004). The percentage elected by more highly compensated participants may be required to be lower. In addition, at the discretion of our board of directors, we may make discretionary matching and/or profit-sharing contributions into our 401(k) plan for eligible employees, which may be subject to vesting requirements.

With respect to each of the named executive officers, the following matching contributions were made on their behalf under our 401(k) plan for 2004: \$3,900 for Mr. Carney; \$3,900 for Mr. Brown; \$3,900 for Mr. Shaw; \$3,900 for Mr. Buchenot; and \$3,900 for Ms. Ramentol.

Retirement Plan

We maintain a pension plan for certain employees, which is a defined benefit pension plan intended to qualify under Section 401(a) and Section 501(a) of the Code. This plan was frozen at December 31, 1994 for non-union employees. Our benefits are funded through employer contributions. Our employees are eligible to participate in the pension plan when they have satisfied the years of service and age requirements. The pension plan provides a benefit upon normal retirement (which is age 65) equal to: 1.5% of "average monthly earnings" (as defined in the pension plan) multiplied by the number of the participant's years of service up to a maximum of 35 years plus any past service accrued benefit. Average monthly earnings are generally the participants' average of monthly earnings, which includes items includible as compensation as described in the applicable Code regulations.

Participants generally become 100% vested in their benefits under the pension plan when they complete five years of service unless the plan is denied as a "top heavy plan" (as defined in the pension plan).

Benefits under the pension plan are normally payable in the form of a single-life annuity in the case of unmarried participants, and in the form of a joint and survivor annuity in the case of married participants. The pension plan has been frozen for non-union employees since December 31, 1994.

With respect to each of the named executive officers, the estimated credited full years of service under the pension plan as of the date of this prospectus are as follows: Mr. Carney, 0 years; Mr. Brown, 24 years; Mr. Shaw, 0 years; Mr. Buchenot, 12 years; and Ms. Ramentol, 29 years.

New Employee Benefit Plans

We also intend to adopt a number of new employee benefit plans prior to the completion of this offering. We expect these plans to be substantially as follows:

2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan

Upon completion of the offering, a new incentive compensation plan will go into effect, which we refer to in this prospectus as the incentive plan.

Eligibility. Any employee, officer or consultant of our company or any of our subsidiaries (as defined in the incentive plan) may be selected by our compensation committee to participate in the incentive plan.

Administration. The incentive plan will be administered by our compensation committee. As administrator of the incentive plan, our compensation committee has the authority, among other things, to determine eligibility to receive awards, the type of awards to be granted, the number of shares of stock subject to, or cash amount payable in connection with, the awards and the terms and conditions of each award (including vesting, forfeiture, payment, exercisability and performance periods and targets).

Our compensation committee will have the authority to interpret and construe the incentive plan, and all determinations made by our compensation committee in administering and interpreting the incentive plan will be final and conclusive for all purposes. Our compensation committee may delegate

its authority under the incentive plan to one or more designees, or our board of directors may take administrative action with respect to the plan. No member of our board, our compensation committee or its designees will be liable for any action or determination made in good faith with respect to the incentive plan. The company will indemnify each such person against any such liability arising under the incentive plan, absent a showing of such person's fraud, willful misconduct or failure to act in good faith.

Awards. One or more of the following awards may be granted to participants under the incentive plan:

- stock options;
- restricted share units;
- performance share units;
- stock appreciation rights; and
- other equity awards.

All awards under the incentive plan are made in the discretion of our compensation committee. For this reason, it is not possible to determine the benefits or amounts of the awards that will be received by any particular employee or consultant of our company or any of our subsidiaries in the future under the incentive plan. No awards will be granted under the incentive plan until after the completion of this offering.

Stock Options. Stock options may be granted under the incentive plan, including incentive stock options (referred to as "ISOs"), which will comply in all respects with the provisions of Section 422 of the Code and nonqualified stock options (referred to as "NSOs"). The option exercise price (or the method for determining the exercise price) of all stock options granted under the incentive plan will be determined by our compensation committee at the time of grant, except that any ISO will not be granted at a price that is less than 100% of the fair market value of the stock on the date the exercise price is fixed. Stock options may be exercised as determined by our compensation committee, but in no event after the tenth anniversary of the date of grant. Our compensation committee will determine the form of payment of the exercise price of an option at the time of grant.

Restricted Share Units. A restricted share unit entitles a participant to receive, upon the lapse of certain restrictions, one or more shares of common stock in consideration for his or her employment or service with our company or our subsidiaries. Our compensation committee may, in its sole discretion, pay cash in lieu of shares or in combination with shares upon the lapse of restrictions applicable to a restricted share unit.

Performance Share Units. A performance share unit entitles a participant to receive, upon the satisfaction of certain conditions and/or lapse of restrictions, a target number of shares of common stock based upon the achievement of specified performance targets over a specified performance period. Performance share units will be settled, in the sole discretion of our compensation committee, through the delivery of shares of common stock, payment in cash or a combination of both.

Stock Appreciation Rights ("SAR"). A SAR entitles a participant to receive, upon satisfaction of certain conditions, an amount equal in value to the difference between the fair market value of a share of stock on the date of exercise of the SAR over the exercise price of the SAR. The exercise price (or method for determining the exercise price) of a SAR will be fixed by our compensation committee at the time of grant. SARs will be settled, in the sole discretion of our compensation committee, through the delivery of shares of common stock, payment in cash or a combination of both. A SAR may be granted alone or in tandem with a stock option.

Other Awards. Our compensation committee will have the authority to specify the terms and provisions of other forms of equity related awards not described above.

Performance Based Awards. Our compensation committee may determine whether any award granted under the incentive plan is intended to be "performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) of the Code and may act to preserve the deductibility of these awards for federal income tax purposes. Any awards designated as performance-based compensation will be conditioned on the achievement of one or more performance targets, to the extent required by Section 162(m) of the Code. The performance targets that may be used by our compensation committee for such awards will be based on measurable and attainable financial goals for our company, one or more of our subsidiaries or any combination of such entities, including net income, revenues, cash flow, operating margin, operating revenues, pre-tax operating income, operating income growth, return on assets, total shareholder return, share price, return on equity, diluted earnings per share or earnings per share growth, or any combination of the above as selected by our compensation committee, and quantifiable non-financial goals.

Each participant granted a performance-based award is assigned a target number payable if performance targets are achieved. If a participant's performance exceeds his or her performance targets, awards may be greater than 100% but no more than 200% of the target number. Our compensation committee retains the right to reduce any award if it believes that individual performance does not warrant the award calculated. In the event that all members of our compensation committee are not "outside directors" (as defined in Section 162(m) of the Code), the grant and terms of awards intended to qualify as performance-based compensation will be made by a subcommittee of our compensation committee consisting of two or more outside directors.

Shares Reserved for Issuance. Subject to certain adjustments, the maximum number of shares of our common stock that may be issued for all purposes under the incentive plan will be an aggregate of 1.0 million shares plus any shares of common stock remaining available for issuance under the prior plan. Any shares of our common stock that (i) correspond to awards under the incentive plan or the management stock option plan that are forfeited or expire for any reason without having been exercised or settled or (ii) are tendered or withheld to pay the exercise price of an award or to satisfy a participant's tax withholding obligations will be added back to the maximum number of shares available for issuance under the incentive plan. Shares of our common stock issued pursuant to awards under the incentive plan may be either treasury shares or authorized and unissued shares.

The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be subject to stock options granted to any participant during any calendar year is 150,000 shares. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be subject to awards (other than stock options) granted to any participant during any calendar year is 150,000 shares. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be subject to incentive stock options is 500,000 shares. The incentive plan contains standard anti-dilution provisions.

Termination of Employment; Change in Control and Acceleration Generally. Our compensation committee has the authority to determine the effect, if any, on the vesting, exercisability, payment or lapse of restrictions applicable to an award of a participant upon his or her termination of employment with our company and any of our subsidiaries or upon a change in control (as defined in the incentive plan) of our company, which effect may be specified at the time of grant or at a subsequent time. In connection with a participant's termination of employment, however, if such termination is for cause (as defined in the participant's award document under the incentive plan) or if our compensation committee fails to take any action to the contrary, any unexercised stock options (whether vested or not) and any unvested restricted share units, performance share units, stock appreciation rights or other awards granted to such participant will lapse and become void as of the date of such termination.

In all other circumstances, our compensation committee may, in its sole discretion, accelerate the vesting or payment of any award, the lapse of restrictions on any award or the date on which any award first becomes exercisable.

Amendment and Termination. Our board may, at any time, terminate, amend, modify or suspend the incentive plan, except that no amendment may be made that (i) increases the maximum number of shares available for issuance under the incentive plan without shareholder approval or (ii) adversely impairs or alters the rights of a participant with respect to any award outstanding without such participant's consent, unless necessary to comply with applicable laws or to ensure that an award is not subject to interest and penalties under Section 409A of the Code. Unless terminated earlier, the incentive plan will terminate on the tenth anniversary of the date on which it is approved by stockholders, except with respect to awards that are then outstanding.

No Repricing. The incentive plan explicitly prohibits our compensation committee from taking any action that has the effect of repricing a stock option or stock appreciation rights, unless our compensation committee is making adjustments pursuant to the incentive plan's standard anti-dilution provision.

Certain Federal Income Tax Consequences of Options. Certain of the federal income tax consequences to participants granted options under the incentive plan and our company relating to such options are set forth below in the following general summary.

A participant who is an employee and who is granted an ISO will not recognize income at the time of grant or exercise of such ISO. No federal income tax deduction will be allowable to our company upon the grant or exercise of such ISO. However, upon the exercise of an ISO, any excess in the fair market price of the shares of common stock over the exercise price constitutes a tax preference item that may have alternative minimum tax consequences for the participant. When the participant sells such shares more than one year after the date of transfer of such shares and more than two years after the date of grant of such ISO, the participant will normally recognize a long-term capital gain or loss equal to the difference, if any, between the sale prices of such shares and the exercise price, and our company will not be entitled to a federal income tax deduction with respect to such capital gain or loss. If the employee does not hold such shares for the required holding period, when the participant sells such shares, the employee will recognize ordinary compensation income and possibly capital gain or loss in such amounts as are prescribed by the Code and the regulations thereunder and the company will generally be entitled to a federal income tax deduction in the amount of such ordinary compensation income.

A participant who is granted a NSO will not recognize income at the time of grant of such stock option. When the participant exercises such NSO, the participant will recognize ordinary compensation income equal to the difference, if any, between the exercise price paid and the fair market value, as of the date of exercise, of the shares the participant receives. The tax basis of such shares to the participant will be equal to the exercise price paid plus the amount includible in the employee's gross income. If the participant disposes of any shares of common stock received upon the exercise of his or her NSO, the participant will recognize capital gain or loss equal to the difference between his or her tax basis in such shares and the amount of sale proceeds that the participant realizes on the disposition of such shares. The gain or loss will be either short term or long term, depending on the holding period, which will commence on the date on which the participant recognized taxable income in respect of such shares. Subject to the applicable provisions of the Code and the regulations thereunder (including Section 162(m)), when the participant exercises such NSO, our company will generally be entitled to a federal income tax deduction in respect of the NSO in an amount equal to the ordinary compensation income recognized by the participant.

2005 Non-Employee Directors Restricted Stock Plan

Upon completion of the offering, a new restricted stock plan for non-employee directors will go into effect, which we refer to in this prospectus as the directors' plan.

Eligibility. Only directors who are not employees of our company or any of our subsidiaries may participate in the directors' plan.

Administration. The directors' plan will be administered by our compensation committee or such other committee appointed by our board. Our compensation committee will have the authority to interpret and construe the provisions of the directors' plan and to make all administrative rules, procedures and determinations with respect to the directors' plan in accordance with the terms of such plan. Our compensation committee may designate one or more of our employees to carry out the day-to-day aspects of our compensation committee's responsibilities under the directors' plan.

Shares Reserved for Issuance. Subject to certain adjustments, the maximum number of shares of our common stock that may be issued for all purposes under the directors' plan will be an aggregate of 100,000 shares. Shares of our common stock issued under the directors' plan may be either treasury shares or authorized and unissued shares. The directors' plan contains a standard anti-dilution provision.

Awards. An award of restricted stock or restricted stock units may be made to a non-employee director under the directors' plan. Awards made under this plan are granted for no consideration other than the provision of services or for such other consideration as our compensation committee may determine or as may be required by applicable law.

Initial awards under the directors' plan are subject to the discretion of our compensation committee. For this reason, it is not possible to determine the benefits or amounts of the initial awards that will be received by non-employee directors under the director's plan. No awards will be granted under the directors' plan until after the completion of this offering. As of the date of this prospectus, we have seven non-employee directors.

Restricted Stock Awards. Subject to a deferral election, on the first day of the month following the later of the month in which (i) a non-employee director becomes a non-employee director and (ii) the date of completion of this offering, a non-employee director will receive a one-time award of restricted shares of our common stock equal to \$60,000 (based on the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the date of grant) or such other amount as our compensation committee may determine from time to time.

Subject to a deferral election, as of the date of each annual meeting of our stockholders commencing in 2006, each non-employee director will automatically receive an award of restricted shares of our common stock equal to \$30,000 (based on the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant). Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, if a non-employee director (i) will not continue to serve as a director on our board immediately after the relevant annual meeting or (ii) became a director on our board within 60 days or less of the relevant annual meeting, then such non-employee director will not receive any annual award of restricted stock for such year.

An award of restricted stock vests at a rate of 33 ¹/₃% on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to our compensation committee's authority to accelerate the vesting of the award upon a change in control (as defined in the incentive plan). Restricted stock will not be transferable until the later of the date on which it becomes vested (other than by the laws of descent and distribution) and six months following the date of grant. A non-employee director will have the right to vote and receive dividends upon receiving an award of restricted stock.

Deferral Election; Restricted Stock Unit Awards. Each non-employee director will be given the opportunity to make an irrevocable election to defer under our deferral plan (described in detail below) receipt of all or any portion of an award of restricted stock otherwise receivable by him or her. Any such election to defer must be made by the non-employee director within the time specified by our

compensation committee no later than December 31 of the taxable year prior to the year in which the applicable award of restricted stock would otherwise be made to such director.

Where a non-employee director makes such a deferral election, he will receive a number of restricted stock units in lieu of, and equal to, the number of shares of restricted stock that is subject to the deferral election. Whereas a restricted stock award results in the immediate distribution of shares of our common stock, which remain subject to applicable vesting and transfer restrictions, a restricted stock unit award is a contractual right to receive shares of our common stock at a later date upon the satisfaction of certain vesting and settlement conditions.

The non-employee director will receive an award of these restricted stock units on the same date that the award of restricted stock subject to the deferral election would have been granted. An award of restricted stock units will vest at a rate of 33 ¹/₃% on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to our compensation committee's authority to accelerate the vesting of the award upon a change in control. Upon an award of restricted stock units, or portion thereof, becoming vested, no shares of common stock will be issued to the non-employee director. Instead, the restricted stock units will be credited to the non-employee director's account under our deferral plan on the applicable vesting date. Any restricted stock units credited to the deferral plan will be held as restricted stock units until such time as they are settled through the delivery of shares of common stock in accordance with the terms and conditions of our deferral plan. In addition, upon an award of restricted stock units, or portion thereof, becoming vested, the non-employee director will be entitled to have any dividend equivalents earned as of the applicable vesting date that correspond to such restricted stock units distributed in whole shares of our common stock.

Restricted stock units will not be transferable (other than by the laws of descent and distribution). A non-employee director will have no rights as a stockholder in our company with respect to restricted stock units held by him until shares of our common stock underlying such units are distributed.

Termination of Service. In the event that a non-employee director's service on our board terminates, our compensation committee has the authority to accelerate the vesting of an award, which action may be taken at the time of grant or at a subsequent time. In the absence of any action by our compensation committee to the contrary, upon such termination of service, such non-employee director's award will, to the extent unvested, be immediately forfeited as of such date of termination.

Amendment and Termination. Our board may, at any time, terminate, amend, modify or suspend the directors' plan, except that no amendment may be made (i) where required by applicable law or exchange rules, unless stockholder approval is obtained, or (ii) that adversely alters or affects the rights of a non-employee director with respect to any award outstanding without such director's consent. Unless terminated earlier, the directors' plan will terminate on the tenth anniversary of the date on which it is approved by stockholders, except with respect to awards that are then outstanding.

2005 Deferred Compensation Plan

Upon completion of the offering, a new deferred compensation plan will go into effect, which we refer to in this prospectus as the deferral plan. The deferral plan is intended to be an unfunded plan.

Administration. Our compensation committee (or its designee) will administer the plan. All determinations, interpretations and action taken by our compensation committee with respect to the deferral plan will be final and binding on all persons. No member of our compensation committee will be liable to any person for any action taken or omitted in good faith in connection with the administration or interpretation of the deferral plan. We will indemnify and hold any of our employees or directors, including any member of our compensation committee, harmless against all expenses and liabilities arising out of any action taken or omitted in good faith in administering the deferral plan.

General Terms. A select group of management and highly compensated employees whose annualized base salary for the preceding calendar year is not less than dollar amount specified by our compensation committee (such limit will initially be \$170,000) may participate in the deferral plan. An employee who satisfies this eligibility criteria may elect to defer on an annual basis up to a maximum of 10% of the total cash compensation due to him or her from our company or our subsidiaries (before deductions to any savings plans). The types of compensation subject to and excluded from the deferral election are illustrated in the deferral plan.

The deferral plan permits our non-employee directors to defer the total cash payments due to them in connection with their service on our board or any committee of our board, including annual retainer fees and committee fees. Non-employee directors are also permitted to defer all or a portion of the restricted stock receivable by them under the directors' plan (described above) in the form of restricted stock units. Our compensation committee has the discretion to exclude an otherwise eligible employee or non-employee director from participating in the deferral plan if such exclusion is in the best interests of our company or necessary or advisable to comply with the requirements of applicable law.

Participants who make deferral elections under the deferral plan will elect the deferral period and manner of payment for deferred amounts. Deferral elections made under the deferral plan will be irrevocable, unless our compensation committee determines otherwise. The deferral period may generally not be less than three years. Participants will be fully vested in amounts deferred by them under the deferral plan.

Participants may invest cash amounts deferred by them under the deferral plan among various notional investment alternatives designated by our compensation committee. Cash amounts deferred under the deferral plan will be credited (or debited) periodically to reflect the return, if any, on such notional investments.

Restricted stock unit amounts deferred under the deferral plan by a non-employee director will remain in the form of restricted stock units until such units are settled in shares of our common stock at the end of the applicable deferral period. A non-employee director participant who has deferred restricted stock units under the deferral plan will earn dividend equivalents with respect to the shares of our common stock underlying such units. The dividend equivalents will be credited to the non-employee director participant's account under the deferral plan and distributed in whole shares of our common stock at the same time a distribution of shares is made with respect to the corresponding restricted stock units.

Within a reasonable period following the end of the applicable deferral period, the participant (pursuant to his or her payment election) will receive cash amounts deferred (and any related credits earned) under the deferral plan in the form of cash in (i) a single lump-sum payment, (ii) up to 10 annual installments over 10 years or (iii) up to 120 monthly installments over 10 years, unless the committee determines otherwise. Within a reasonable period following the end of the applicable deferral period, non-employee director participants who have elected to defer restricted stock units under the deferral plan will have such units (and any related dividend equivalents earned) distributed in the form of whole shares of our common stock.

Our compensation committee will at all times have the right to accelerate the distribution of any amounts deferred by a participant under the deferral plan to the extent permitted by Section 409A of the Code.

Termination of Employment or Service and Change in Control. In the event of an employee participant's separation from service for any reason other than retirement or a non-employee director participant's service on our board is terminated, all amounts deferred by such participant (including credits and dividend equivalents earned) in the deferral plan will be distributed as soon as practicable

following the date of his or her termination, unless our compensation committee determines otherwise. At least 30 days prior to a change in control (as defined in the incentive plan), or on such date specified by our compensation committee that is less than 30 days prior to the date of the change in control, all amounts deferred by participants (including credits and dividend equivalents earned) under the deferral plan will be distributed to such participants.

Amendment and Termination. Our compensation committee may, at any time, terminate, amend, or modify the deferral plan, except that no amendment or termination may adversely affect the rights of a participant in any deferral account that has been established prior to such amendment or termination without such participant's consent. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, our compensation committee may make any amendment or modification necessary or proper to conform with any law or governmental regulation, to avoid being subject to interest and penalties under Section 409A of the Code or to prevent any tax assessment against amounts deferred under the plan prior to the distribution of such amounts.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Consulting Agreements

We entered into a Management Services Agreement with Stonington dated January 23, 2003 pursuant to which Stonington provides us and our board of directors with management consulting and business advisory services upon our request. Stonington is our largest stockholder and officers of Stonington serve on our board of directors. We pay Stonington an annual fee of \$750,000 and provide reimbursement for reasonable expenses. The agreement will terminate upon the completion of this offering.

We had a consulting agreement with Hart Capital to advise us in identifying acquisition and merger targets and to assist with the due diligence reviews and negotiations with these targets. This agreement terminated by its terms in June 2004. Hart Capital is the managing member of Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC, which is our second largest stockholder and Steven Hart, who is the owner and President of Hart Capital, sits on our board of directors. We paid Hart Capital a monthly retainer, reimbursement of expenses and an advisory fee for its work on successful acquisitions or mergers. In accordance with the agreement, we paid Hart Capital approximately \$0.7 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively. Pursuant to the agreement, we had the obligation to make certain payments to Hart Capital with respect to acquisition opportunities identified for us by Hart Capital prior to the termination of the agreement if we consummated any such acquisition opportunities within the twelve months following the termination of the agreement. We paid Hart Capital \$0.3 million for its services in connection with the New England Technical Institute acquisition, which closed on January 11, 2005.

Stockholders' Agreement

On September 15, 1999, we entered into a Stockholders' Agreement with affiliates of Stonington and Hart Capital. Under this agreement, as amended, Stonington agreed that so long as Hart Capital and Steven Hart owned at least 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, Stonington would vote all of the shares of our common stock that it owns in favor of Steven Hart or his designee in elections of members of our board of directors. Hart Capital also agreed to vote all of the shares of our common stock that it owns in favor of Stonington's designees in elections of members of our board of directors, as well as in the same manner as Stonington in other matters requiring stockholder approval. The Stockholders' Agreement grants Stonington a right of first refusal in the sale of any shares of common stock by Hart Capital, Steven Hart and their transferees so long as Stonington and/or its affiliates own at least 20% of the then outstanding common stock.

The Stockholders' Agreement also sets forth certain "tag along" and "drag along" rights relating to sales of our common stock. The "tag along" rights require Stonington to offer to include certain of the shares of our common stock held by Hart Capital, Steven Hart and their transferees in a sale by Stonington of 45% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock. The "drag along" rights obligate Hart Capital, Steven Hart and their transferees to participate pro rata in certain sales of our common stock by Stonington. We also granted Hart Capital, Steven Hart and their transferees the right, under certain circumstances, to have shares of our common stock owned by them included in a registration statement that we file for the public offering of our common stock. Hart Capital and Steven Hart have exercised this right to sell shares of our common stock in this offering.

We expect that, prior to the consummation of this offering, Steven W. Hart and certain trusts for the benefit of Mr. Hart's children will enter into a stockholder's agreement, on comparable terms to the Stockholders' Agreement, with respect to shares of our common stock acquired pursuant to the exercise of options.

Management Stockholders Agreement

On January 1, 2002, we entered into a Management Stockholders Agreement with an affiliate of Stonington and a number of our management employees who own shares of our common stock or stock options. The agreement significantly restricts the ability of these management stockholders to transfer their shares before we become a public company. The agreement also sets forth certain "tag along" and "drag along" rights relating to sales of our common stock. The agreement gives the management stockholders and us corresponding "put" and "call" rights for a management stockholder's shares in the event of the management stockholder's death, disability, retirement or involuntary termination prior to a public offering of our stock. We also granted the management stockholders the right, under certain circumstances, to have shares of our common stock owned by them included in a registration statement that we file for the public offering of our common stock.

Registration Rights Agreement

Prior to the completion of this offering, we anticipate entering into a registration rights agreement with our principal stockholder, Stonington. Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, Stonington shall have four "demand" registration rights. Pursuant to these demand rights, at any time following the closing of this offering, Stonington may request, subject to the lock-up agreements described under "Underwriting," that we, at our expense, file a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 to cover the restricted shares of our common stock that they own, as long as the aggregate offering price of the proposed transaction to be registered is greater than \$25 million or represents an offering of at least 10% of our outstanding common stock. Upon receipt of such request, we generally will be required to use our reasonable best efforts to effect such registration. We will not be required to effect a requested registration, however, if we have effected one such registration which is still in effect, or if the request is made at any time up to 180 days following the effective date of any registered offering we have made to the general public, other than this offering, in which Stonington shall have been able to effectively register all the restricted stock as to which registration has been requested. We may also delay filing a registration statement or withhold efforts to cause a registration statement to become effective if our board of directors determines in good faith that such registration will materially and adversely interfere with or affect the negotiation or completion of any material transaction we are considering or will involve initial or continuing disclosure obligations that are not in our stockholders' best interests.

The registration rights agreement also provides for "piggyback" rights with respect to the restricted shares of our common stock held by Stonington. Accordingly, if we propose to register, or decide to register following the exercise of a "demand" registration right as described above, any of our common stock for sale to the public following completion of this offering, we are required to give written notice of our intention to do so to Stonington and to use our reasonable best efforts to include in the registration statement the number of restricted shares of our common stock beneficially owned and requested to be registered by Stonington. If Stonington were to include shares in a registration statement where we also include shares, this would not count as a "demand" under this agreement even if Stonington requested the registration statement pursuant to its rights under this agreement before we decided to include any shares in or effectuate any registration statement. To the extent Stonington includes shares of our common stock in any registration statement, such inclusion would trigger incidental registration rights under the Stockholders' Agreement (including incidental registration rights of our second largest stockholder, Hart Capital) and the Management Stockholders Agreement, subject to reduction of such shares under certain circumstances by an underwriter. If a reduction of shares is necessary, stockholders who request to participate in the registration pursuant to this proposed new registration rights agreement or the Stockholders' Agreement or Management Stockholders Agreement will do so pro rata based on the numbers of shares held by such stockholders

on a fully diluted basis, except that we will have first priority to register shares of our common stock if we initiate the registration for our own account.

Management Loans

On January 1, 2002, David F. Carney, our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, Lawrence E. Brown, our President and Chief Operating Officer and Scott M. Shaw, our Senior Vice President, Strategic Planning and Development issued personal recourse secured promissory notes to us in the amount of \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. The notes were issued in connection with a loan to the executives named above in order to purchase shares of our common stock. The loans bore interest at a rate of 5.6% per year, payable annually. Mr. Carney paid all amounts outstanding under his promissory note in the first quarter of 2004. Messrs. Brown and Shaw paid all amounts outstanding under their promissory notes on March 24, 2005.

Initial Public Offering

We are paying the expenses of this offering, including those of the selling stockholders, other than underwriting discounts and commissions attributable to the shares being sold by the selling shareholders. See "Underwriting."

PRINCIPAL AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of May 31, 2005, as adjusted to reflect the sale of shares in the offering by:

- each person known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of the outstanding shares of common stock;
- each of the executive officers identified in the summary compensation table;
- each of our directors;
- all directors and executive officers as a group; and
- each selling stockholder.

Footnote (1) on the following page provides a brief explanation of what is meant by the term "beneficial ownership." For the purpose of calculating the percentage of shares beneficially owned by any stockholder, the number of shares of common stock deemed outstanding "prior to offering" includes shares of common stock subject to options held by beneficial owners that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of May 31, 2005. This table assumes the overallotment option granted to the underwriters is not exercised.

For purposes of the calculation of beneficial ownership percentages, shares of common stock subject to options and warrants are considered outstanding and beneficially owned by the person holding the options or warrants but are not treated as outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any person. As of May 31, 2005, there were 21,710,985 shares of common stock outstanding. Immediately following this offering 24,821,587 shares of common stock will be outstanding which amount includes the exercise of options to purchase 110,602 shares of our common stock by certain selling stockholders immediately prior to the consummation of this offering.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding "After Offering" includes the additional shares of common stock offered by us in the offering. Except as indicated in the footnotes to this table and subject to applicable community property laws, the persons named in this table have the sole voting power with respect to all shares of common stock listed as beneficially owned by them.

| Name of Beneficial Owner(1) | Before Offering | | Number of Shares Offered in this Offering | After Offering | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | Percent of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | Percent of Common Stock Beneficially Owned |
| Selling Stockholders: | | | | | |
| Hart Capital LLC(2) | 3,132,100 | 13.6% | 945,000 | 2,187,100 | 8.4% |
| Steven W. Hart(3) | 3,293,600 | 14.3% | 1,000,000 | 2,293,600 | 8.8% |
| Principal Stockholders: | | | | | |
| Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C.(4) | 18,165,500 | 79.1% | — | 18,165,500 | 69.9% |
| Directors and Executive Officers: | | | | | |
| David F. Carney(5)(6) | 449,855 | 2.0% | — | 449,855 | 1.7% |
| Lawrence E. Brown(5)(7) | 271,937 | 1.2% | — | 271,937 | 1.0% |
| Scott M. Shaw(5)(8) | 265,863 | 1.2% | — | 265,863 | 1.0% |
| Cesar Ribeiro(5)(9) | 8,000 | * | — | 8,000 | * |
| Alexandra M. Luster(5)(10) | 16,125 | * | — | 16,125 | * |
| Thomas McHugh(5)(11) | 18,500 | * | — | 18,500 | * |
| Stephen M. Buchenot(5)(12) | 25,939 | * | — | 25,939 | * |
| Deborah Ramentol(5)(13) | 25,939 | * | — | 25,939 | * |
| Edward B. Abrams(5)(14) | 25,939 | * | — | 25,939 | * |
| Ronald L. Beall(5)(15) | 12,150 | * | — | 12,150 | * |
| Anthony Stanziani(5)(16) | 1,400 | * | — | 1,400 | * |
| Alexis P. Michas(17) | 18,165,500 | 79.1% | — | 18,165,500 | 69.9% |
| James J. Burke, Jr.(18) | 18,165,500 | 79.1% | — | 18,165,500 | 69.9% |
| Steven W. Hart(19) | 3,293,600 | 14.3% | 1,000,000 | 2,293,600 | 8.8% |
| Jerry G. Rubenstein(20) | 34,526 | * | — | 34,526 | * |
| John Petillo(21) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Paul Glaske(22) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Peter Burgess(23) | — | — | — | — | — |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (18 persons) | 1,317,673 | 5.7% | — | 1,262,673 | 4.9% |

* Less than 0.5%.

- (1) "Beneficial ownership" is a term broadly defined by the SEC in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act, and includes more than the typical forms of stock ownership, that is, stock held in the person's name. The term also includes what is referred to as "indirect ownership," meaning ownership of shares as to which a person has or shares investment or voting power. For purpose of this table, a person or group of persons is deemed to have "beneficial ownership" of any shares as of a given date that such person or group has the right to acquire within 60 days after such date.
- (2) These shares are owned by Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC, of which Hart Capital LLC is the managing member. Steven W. Hart, a member of our board of directors, is the owner and President of Hart Capital LLC. Both Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC and Hart Capital LLC have their business address at 131 Rowayton Avenue, Rowayton, Connecticut 06853.
- (3) Mr. Hart beneficially owns options to purchase 161,500 shares of our common stock, which includes options held by trusts for the benefit of Mr. Hart's children. Mr. Hart intends to exercise options he holds directly to sell 50,000 shares in this offering. Steven W. Hart 2003 Grantor Retained Annuity Trust, or the Trust, one of the trusts for the benefit of Mr. Hart's children, intends to exercise options it holds to sell 5,000 shares in this offering. Mr. Hart has also informed us that he and the Trust intend to exercise options for an additional 55,602 shares of our common stock prior to the consummation of this offering. The amount listed in the table includes shares of common stock held by Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC of which Mr. Hart may be deemed to be the beneficial owner by virtue of his ownership of membership interests in, and/or position as President of, Hart Capital LLC. Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC is selling 945,000 shares in this offering. Mr. Hart disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares of common stock. Mr. Hart's business address is 131 Rowayton Avenue, Rowayton, Connecticut 06853.
- (4) Stonington Partners, Inc. II controls Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C. Stonington is a private equity investment firm that manages a fund of institutional capital on behalf of public and corporate pension funds, private endowments and other financial institutions. Alexis P. Michas is the Managing Partner of Stonington and James J. Burke, Jr. is a Partner of

Stonington. Both are members of our board of directors. Both Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C. and Stonington have their business address at 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153.

- (5) Unless otherwise noted, the business address for each of the executive officers is 200 Executive Drive, Suite 340, West Orange, New Jersey 07052.
- (6) Includes 115,288 shares of common stock currently held of record and options to purchase 334,567 shares of common stock.
- (7) Includes 65,626 shares of common stock currently held of record and options to purchase 206,311 shares of common stock.
- (8) Includes 65,626 shares of common stock currently held of record and options to purchase 200,237 shares of common stock.
- (9) Includes options to purchase 8,000 shares of common stock.
- (10) Includes options to purchase 16,125 shares of common stock.
- (11) Includes options to purchase 18,500 shares of common stock.
- (12) Includes options to purchase 25,939 shares of common stock.
- (13) Includes options to purchase 25,939 shares of common stock.
- (14) Includes options to purchase 25,939 shares of common stock.
- (15) Includes options to purchase 12,150 shares of common stock.
- (16) Includes options to purchase 1,400 shares of common stock.
- (17) Alexis P. Michas serves on our board of directors and is the Managing Partner of Stonington, our largest stockholder, which owns, through its controlled subsidiary Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., 79.1% of our common stock. Mr. Michas disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares of common stock. Mr. Michas' business address is 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153.
- (18) James J. Burke, Jr. serves on our board of directors and is a Partner of Stonington, our largest stockholder, which owns, through its controlled subsidiary Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., 79.1% of our common stock. Mr. Burke disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares of common stock. Mr. Burke's business address is 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153.
- (19) Mr. Hart serves on our board of directors and is the owner and President of Hart Capital LLC, the Managing Member of Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC, our second largest stockholder, which owns 13.6% of our common stock as described in (3) above. Mr. Hart beneficially owns options to purchase 161,500 shares of our common stock, which includes options held by trusts for the benefit of Mr. Hart's children. The amount listed in the table includes shares of common stock held by Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC of which Mr. Hart may be deemed to be the beneficial owner by virtue of his ownership of membership interests in, and/or position as President of, Hart Capital LLC. Mr. Hart disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares of common stock. Mr. Hart's business address is 131 Rowayton Avenue, Rowayton, Connecticut 06853.
- (20) Jerry Rubenstein serves on our board of directors and is the beneficial owner of 34,256 shares of our common stock. Includes options to purchase 26,456 shares of common stock. Mr. Rubenstein's business address is Omni Management Associates, Two Bala Plaza, Suite 300, Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004.
- (21) John Petillo serves on our board of directors. Dr. Petillo's business address is 65 Bergen Street, Room 1535, University Heights, Newark, New Jersey 07101.
- (22) Paul Glaske serves on our board of directors. Mr. Glaske's business address is 18136 South Shore Drive, Flint, Texas 75762.
- (23) Peter Burgess serves on our board of directors. Mr. Burgess' business address is 88 Sherwood Drive, Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

General

The following is a description of the material terms of our capital stock we expect to be included in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws and is only a summary. You should refer to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws as in effect upon the closing of this offering, which are included as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

We are currently authorized to issue 50,000,000 shares of common stock, with no par value per share. As of the consummation of this offering, our authorized capital stock is expected to consist of 100,000,000 shares of common stock, with no par value and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock.

Common Stock

As of May 31, 2005, there were 21,710,985 shares of common stock outstanding, which were held of record by 16 stockholders.

Voting rights. The holders of our common stock will be entitled to one vote per share for each share held of record on any matter to be voted upon by stockholders. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will not provide for cumulative voting in connection with the election of directors and, accordingly, holders of more than 50% of the shares voting will be able to elect all of the directors standing for election.

Dividend rights. All shares of our common stock will be entitled to share equally in any dividends our board of directors may declare from legally available sources. Our new credit agreement currently imposes restrictions on our ability to declare dividends with respect to our common stock.

Liquidation rights. Upon liquidation or dissolution of our company, whether voluntary or involuntary, all shares of our common stock will be entitled to share equally in the assets available for distribution to stockholders after payment of all of our prior obligations, including obligations on our preferred stock.

Other matters. The holders of our common stock have no preemptive or conversion rights and our common stock is not subject to further calls or assessments by us. There are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the common stock. The rights, preferences and privileges of holders of common stock are subject to the rights of holders of shares of any series or preferred stock that may be issued in the future. All outstanding shares of our common stock, including the common stock offered in this offering, are fully paid and non-assessable.

Preferred Stock

We expect our amended and restated certificate of incorporation to provide for the authorization of 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The shares of preferred stock may be issued from time to time at the discretion of the board of directors without stockholder approval. The board of directors will be authorized to issue these shares in different classes and series and, with respect to each class or series, to determine the dividend rate, the redemption provisions, conversion provisions, liquidation preference and other rights and privileges not in conflict with our amended and restated certificate of incorporation. The issuance of preferred stock could adversely affect the voting power of holders of common stock and the likelihood that such holders will receive dividend payments and payments upon liquidation. In addition, the issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control in Lincoln Educational Services Corporation. No shares of our preferred stock will be outstanding immediately following completion of this offering, and we have no immediate plans to issue any preferred stock. The issuance of any of our preferred stock could provide needed

flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes; however, the issuance could also make it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock or discourage an attempt to gain control of us. In addition, the board of directors, without stockholder approval, will be able to issue shares of preferred stock with voting and conversion rights that could adversely affect the voting power and other rights of the holders of common stock. The rules of The Nasdaq National Market, which will apply so long as our common stock is listed on The Nasdaq National Market, require stockholder approval of certain issuances equal to or exceeding 20% of the then outstanding voting power of the then outstanding number of shares of common stock. These additional shares may be used for a variety of corporate purposes, including future public offerings, to raise additional capital or to facilitate acquisitions.

Directors' Exculpation and Indemnification

We expect that our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that none of our directors shall be liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages for any breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except to the extent otherwise required by the New Jersey Business Corporation Act, or the NJBCA. The effect of this provision is to eliminate our rights, and our stockholders' rights, to recover monetary damages against a director for breach of a fiduciary duty of care as a director, except to the extent otherwise required by the NJBCA. This provision does not limit or eliminate our right, or the right of any stockholder, to seek non-monetary relief, such as an injunction or rescission in the event of a breach of a director's duty of care. In addition, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that, if the NJBCA is amended to authorize the further elimination or limitation of the liability of a director, then the liability of the directors shall be eliminated or limited to the fullest extent permitted by the NJBCA, as so amended. These provisions will not alter the liability of directors under federal or state securities laws.

Anti-Takeover Effects of the New Jersey Shareholders Protection Act

We are subject to the provisions of Section 14A-10A of the New Jersey Business Corporation Act, which is known as the "New Jersey Shareholders Protection Act." Under the New Jersey Shareholders Protection Act, we are prohibited from engaging in any "business combination" with any "interested shareholder" for a period of five years following the time at which that shareholder becomes an "interested shareholder" unless the business combination is approved by our board of directors before that shareholder became an "interested shareholder." After this five-year period has expired, any business combination with an "interested shareholder" must be approved by holders of 66²/₃% of the voting shares not held by the "interested shareholder" or meet certain prescribed value requirements. Covered business combinations include certain mergers, dispositions of assets or shares and recapitalizations.

An "interested shareholder" is (i) any person that directly or indirectly beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our outstanding voting stock; or (ii) any of our affiliates or associates (as those terms are defined in the New Jersey Shareholders Protection Act) that directly or indirectly beneficially owned 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding stock at any time within a five-year period immediately prior to the date in question.

Certain Provisions of Our Amended Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws

Board of Directors. Our board of directors will consist of eight directors, four of whom will be independent directors. Any vacancy on our board of directors, including a vacancy resulting from an enlargement of our board of directors, may be filled only by the affirmative vote of a majority of the directors then in office, though less than a quorum. Any such director so elected shall hold office for the remainder of the full term of the director for which the vacancy was created or occurred and until his or her successor shall have been elected and qualified. The limitation on filling vacancies could

make it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or discourage a third party from attempting to acquire, control of our company.

Board meetings. Our amended and restated bylaws will provide that special meetings of the board of directors may be called by the chairman of our board of directors, our chief executive officer or by any two directors in office.

Stockholder meetings. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that any action required or permitted to be taken by our stockholders at an annual meeting or special meeting of stockholders may only be taken if it is properly brought before such meeting and may not be taken by non-unanimous written action in lieu of a meeting. Our bylaws will further provide that special meetings of the stockholders may only be called by our president, by a committee that is duly designated by the board of directors, by resolution adopted by the affirmative vote of the majority of the board of directors or pursuant to an order of the New Jersey Superior Court in accordance with NJBCA.

Requirements for advance notification of stockholder nominations and proposals. Our amended and restated bylaws will establish advance notice procedures with respect to stockholder proposals and the nomination of candidates for election as directors, other than nominations made by or at the direction of our board of directors or a committee of the board of directors. In order for any matter to be considered "properly brought" before a meeting, a stockholder must comply with requirements regarding advance notice and provide certain information to us. These provisions could have the effect of delaying until the next stockholders meeting stockholder actions that are favored by the holders of a majority of our outstanding voting securities. These provisions could also discourage a third party from making a tender offer for our common stock, because even if it acquired a majority of our outstanding voting securities, it would be able to take action as a stockholder (such as electing new directors or approving a merger) only at a duly called stockholders meeting and not by non-unanimous written consent.

Stockholder action by written consent. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws will prohibit stockholder action by non-unanimous written consent and require all such actions to be taken at a meeting of stockholders of our common stock.

Cumulative voting. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that our stockholders shall have no cumulative voting rights.

Amendment of certificate of incorporation and bylaws. The amendment of the provisions described above in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation generally will require the affirmative vote of a majority of our directors, as well as the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 66²/₃% of our then-outstanding voting stock. Our amended and restated bylaws may be amended (i) by the affirmative vote of the majority of our board of directors or (ii) by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of our then outstanding voting stock.

Nasdaq National Market Trading

We intend to apply to have our common stock approved for quotation on The Nasdaq National Market under the symbol "LINC."

Transfer Agent and Registrar

We have appointed Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company as the transfer agent and registrar for our common stock.

DESCRIPTION OF CREDIT AGREEMENT

We and our subsidiaries are parties to a new credit agreement with Harris Trust and Savings Bank, as administrative agent, and a syndicate of banks that currently allows for revolving credit borrowings of up to \$100 million. The new credit agreement permits the issuance of letters of credit up to an aggregate amount of \$20.0 million, the amount of which reduces the availability of permitted borrowings under the new credit agreement. The new credit agreement expires on February 15, 2010 and bears interest at the rate of adjusted LIBOR plus a margin which ranges from 1.0% to 1.75% or a base rate (as defined in the new credit agreement) plus a margin which ranges from 0.0% to 0.25%. In addition to paying interest on the outstanding principal under the new credit agreement, we are required to pay a commitment fee with respect to the unused amounts available under the new credit agreement at a rate that ranges from 0.25% to 0.40% per year. As of April 30, 2005 there were borrowings of approximately \$31.0 million outstanding and \$4.1 million of letters of credit outstanding under the new credit agreement.

Our and our subsidiaries' obligations under the new credit agreement are secured by a first priority lien upon substantially all of the assets that we and our subsidiaries own and any assets that we and our subsidiaries may acquire in the future, including a pledge of substantially all of our subsidiaries' common stock.

The new credit agreement contains a number of financial and other covenants that, among other things, restrict our and our subsidiaries' abilities to incur additional indebtedness, grant liens or other security interests, make certain investments, become liable for contingent liabilities, make specified restricted payments including dividends, dispose of assets or stock, including the stock of its subsidiaries, or make capital expenditures above specified limits. The capital expenditure covenant limits permitted capital expenditure payments to \$35 million for each fiscal quarter in 2005. Furthermore, none of our institutions can have a cohort default rate greater than 20% at any time. We must also maintain a minimum net worth (as defined in the new credit agreement), maximum fixed coverage charge ratios and maximum debt leverage ratios and cohort default. We also must maintain, as of the last day of each fiscal year, a financial responsibility composite score of at least 1.0. As of April 30, 2005, we and our subsidiaries were in compliance with these covenants. Some of these covenants remain constant and some vary over time as follows:

- The ratio of our and our subsidiaries' total funded debt (as defined in the new credit agreement) to adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the new credit agreement) may not exceed 2.5:1 until December 31, 2005, 2.25:1 from January 1, 2006 until December 31, 2006 and 2.00:1 thereafter.
- The ratio of our adjusted EBITDAR (as defined in the new credit agreement) for the last four fiscal quarters then ended, less our and our subsidiaries' capital expenditures (as defined in the new credit agreement), less taxes paid by us and our subsidiaries must be greater than a ranging ratio of between 1.00:1 and 1.35:1 until September 30, 2007 and 1.50:1 thereafter.
- We shall at all times maintain net worth of at least \$43.5 million plus 50% of our net income (as defined in the new credit agreement) (but without deducting for losses) for each fiscal quarter.

The new credit agreement contains customary events of default as well as an event of default in the event of the suspension or termination of Title IV Program funding for our and our subsidiaries' schools aggregating 10% or more of our EBITDA or our and our subsidiaries' consolidated total assets and such suspension or termination is not cured within a specified period.

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

Prior to this offering, there has not been a public market for our common stock. Future sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, or the possibility of these sales, could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock and could impair our future ability to raise capital through the sale of our equity at a time and price we deem appropriate.

Upon completion of this offering, assuming the underwriters' overallotment option is not exercised, we will have outstanding 24,821,587 shares of common stock. Of these shares, the 4,000,000 shares sold in this offering will be freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act, except for any shares purchased by our "affiliates," as defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act, which would be subject to the limitations and restrictions described below.

Assuming the underwriters' overallotment option is not exercised, the remaining 20,821,587 shares of common stock will be "restricted securities," as defined in Rule 144. Restricted securities may be sold in the public market only if registered or if they qualify for an exemption from registration under Rules 144 and 144(k) promulgated under the Securities Act, which rules are summarized below. Upon expiration of the lock-up agreements described in "Underwriting," 180 days after the date of this prospectus, all of these shares will be eligible for sale in the public market pursuant to Rule 144 or Rule 144(k).

Subject to the lock-up agreements described in "Underwriting" and the provisions of Rules 144 and 144(k), shares will be available for sale in the public market as follows:

| Number of Shares | Date |
|------------------|--|
| 4,158,775 | After the date of this prospectus. |
| 20,662,812 | After 180 days from the date of this prospectus. |

Stock Options

The numbers of shares of common stock listed above do not include shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options granted under our stock plans that were unexercised as of May 31, 2005. Upon completion of the offering, we intend to file a registration statement on Form S-8 with the SEC to register shares of our common stock reserved for issuance or sale under our incentive stock plan. As of May 31, 2005, there were outstanding options to purchase a total of 1,985,495 shares of common stock, 1,314,658 of which were vested. Shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of options granted or to be granted under our stock option plan will be freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act, unless such shares are held by an affiliate of ours.

Rule 144

In general, under Rule 144 as currently in effect, a person (or persons whose shares are required to be aggregated), including an affiliate, who has beneficially owned shares of our common stock for at least one year is entitled to sell in any three-month period a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of

- 1% of outstanding shares of common stock after consummation of the offering, or 248,216 shares; and
- the average weekly trading volume in the common stock on The Nasdaq National Market during the four calendar weeks preceding the date on which a notice of sale is filed, subject to restrictions.

Sales under Rule 144 are also subject to manner of sale provisions and notice requirements and to the availability of current public information about us.

Rule 144(k)

In addition, a person who is not deemed to have been an affiliate of ours at any time during the 90 days preceding a sale and who has beneficially owned the shares proposed to be sold for at least two years would be entitled to sell those shares under Rule 144(k) without regard to the manner of sale, public information, volume limitation or notice requirements of Rule 144. To the extent that our affiliates sell their shares, other than pursuant to Rule 144 or a registration statement, the purchaser's holding period for the purpose of effecting a sale under Rule 144 commences on the date of transfer from the affiliate.

MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS FOR NON-UNITED STATES HOLDERS

The following discussion is a general summary of the material U.S. federal income and estate tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of our common stock applicable to "Non-U.S. Holders." As used herein, a Non-U.S. Holder means a beneficial owner of our common stock that is *not* a U.S. person or a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and that will hold shares of our common stock as capital assets (*i.e.*, generally, for investment). For U.S. federal income tax purposes, a U.S. person includes:

- an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States;
- a corporation (or other business entity treated as a corporation) created or organized in the United States or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia;
- an estate the income of which is includible in gross income regardless of source; or
- a trust that (A) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and the control of one or more U.S. persons, or (B) otherwise has elected to be treated as a U.S. domestic trust.

If a partnership holds shares of our common stock, the U.S. federal income tax treatment of a partner in the partnership will generally depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership.

This summary does not consider specific facts and circumstances that may be relevant to a particular Non-U.S. Holder's tax position and does not consider U.S. state and local or non-U.S. tax consequences. It also does not consider Non-U.S. Holders subject to special tax treatment under the U.S. federal income tax laws (including partnerships or other pass-through entities, banks and insurance companies, dealers in securities, holders of our common stock held as part of a "straddle," "hedge," "conversion transaction" or other risk-reduction transaction, controlled foreign corporations, passive foreign investment companies, companies that accumulate earnings to avoid U.S. federal income tax, foreign tax-exempt organizations, former U.S. citizens or residents and persons who hold or receive common stock as compensation). This summary is based on provisions of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), applicable Treasury regulations, administrative pronouncements of the U.S. Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and judicial decisions, all as in effect on the date hereof, and all of which are subject to change, possibly on a retroactive basis, and different interpretations.

This summary is included herein as general information only. Accordingly, each prospective Non-U.S. Holder is urged to consult its tax advisor with respect to the U.S. federal, state, local and non-U.S. income, estate and other tax consequences of holding and disposing of our common stock.

U.S. Trade or Business Income

For purposes of this discussion, dividend income, and gain on the sale or other taxable disposition of our common stock, will be considered to be "U.S. trade or business income" if such dividend income or gain is (i) effectively connected with the conduct by a Non-U.S. Holder of a trade or business within the United States and (ii) in the case of a Non-U.S. Holder that is eligible for the benefits of an income tax treaty with the United States, attributable to a permanent establishment (or, for an individual, a fixed base) maintained by the Non-U.S. Holder in the United States. Generally, U.S. trade or business income is not subject to U.S. federal withholding tax (provided the Non-U.S. Holder complies with applicable certification and disclosure requirements); instead, U.S. trade or business income is subject to U.S. federal income tax on a net income basis at regular U.S. federal income tax

rates in the same manner as a U.S. person. Any U.S. trade or business income received by a Non-U.S. Holder that is a corporation also may be subject to a "branch profits tax" at a 30% rate, or at a lower rate prescribed by an applicable income tax treaty, under specific circumstances.

Dividends

Distributions of cash or property that we pay on our common stock will be taxable as dividends for U.S. federal income tax purposes to the extent paid from our current or accumulated earnings and profits (as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles). A Non-U.S. Holder generally will be subject to U.S. federal withholding tax at a 30% rate, or at a reduced rate prescribed by an applicable income tax treaty, on any dividends received in respect of our common stock. If the amount of a distribution exceeds our current and accumulated earnings and profits, such excess first will be treated as a tax-free return of capital to the extent of the Non-U.S. Holder's tax basis in our common stock, and thereafter will be treated as capital gain. In order to obtain a reduced rate of U.S. federal withholding tax under an applicable income tax treaty, a Non-U.S. Holder will be required to provide a properly executed IRS Form W-8BEN (or appropriate substitute or successor form) certifying its entitlement to benefits under the treaty. A Non-U.S. Holder of our common stock that is eligible for a reduced rate of U.S. federal withholding tax under an income tax treaty may obtain a refund or credit of any excess amounts withheld by filing an appropriate claim for a refund with the IRS. A Non-U.S. Holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding its possible entitlement to benefits under an income tax treaty.

The U.S. federal withholding tax does not apply to dividends that are U.S. trade or business income, as described above, of a Non-U.S. Holder who provides a properly executed IRS Form W-8ECI (or appropriate substitute or successor form), certifying that the dividends are effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Holder's conduct of a trade or business within the United States.

Dispositions of Our Common Stock

A Non-U.S. Holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax in respect of any gain on a sale or other disposition of our common stock unless:

- (1) the gain is U.S. trade or business income, as described above;
- (2) the Non-U.S. Holder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 or more days in the taxable year of the disposition and meets other conditions; or
- (3) we are or have been a "U.S. real property holding corporation" (a "USRPHC") under section 897 of the Code at any time during the shorter of the five-year period ending on the date of disposition and the Non-U.S. Holder's holding period for our common stock.

In general, a corporation is a USRPHC if the fair market value of its "U.S. real property interests" equals or exceeds 50% of the sum of the fair market value of its worldwide (domestic and foreign) real property interests and its other assets used or held for use in a trade or business. For this purpose, real property interests include land, improvements, and associated personal property. We have not made a determination as to whether or not we are or have been a USRPHC. If we are found to be a USRPHC, a Non-U.S. Holder, nevertheless, will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax in respect of any gain on a sale or other disposition of our common stock so long as our common stock is "regularly traded on an established securities market" as defined under applicable Treasury regulations and a Non-U.S. Holder owns, actually and constructively, 5% or less of our common stock during the shorter of the five-year period ending on the date of disposition and such Non-U.S. Holder's holding period for our common stock. Prospective investors should be aware that no assurance can be given that our common stock will be so regularly traded when a Non-U.S. Holder sells its shares of our common stock.

U.S. Federal Estate Taxes

Shares of our common stock owned or treated as owned by an individual who is a Non-U.S. Holder at the time of death will be included in the individual's gross estate for U.S. federal estate tax purposes, and may be subject to U.S. federal estate tax, unless an applicable estate tax treaty provides otherwise.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding Requirements

We must annually report to the IRS and to each Non-U.S. Holder any dividend income that is subject to U.S. federal withholding tax, or that is exempt from such withholding tax pursuant to an income tax treaty. Copies of these information returns also may be made available under the provisions of a specific treaty or agreement to the tax authorities of the country in which the Non-U.S. Holder resides. Under certain circumstances, the Code imposes a backup withholding obligation (currently at a rate of 28%) on certain reportable payments. Dividends paid to a Non-U.S. Holder of our common stock generally will be exempt from backup withholding if the Non-U.S. Holder provides a properly executed IRS Form W-8BEN (or appropriate substitute or successor form) or otherwise establishes an exemption.

The payment of the proceeds from the disposition of common stock to or through the U.S. office of any broker, U.S. or foreign, will be subject to information reporting and possible backup withholding unless the owner certifies as to its non-U.S. status under penalties of perjury or otherwise establishes an exemption, provided that the broker does not have actual knowledge or reason to know that the holder is a U.S. person or that the conditions of any other exemption are not, in fact, satisfied. The payment of the proceeds from the disposition of common stock to or through a non-U.S. office of a non-U.S. broker will not be subject to information reporting or backup withholding unless the non-U.S. broker has certain types of relationships with the United States (a "U.S. related person"). In the case of the payment of the proceeds from the disposition of our common stock to or through a non-U.S. office of a broker that is either a U.S. person or a U.S. related person, the Treasury regulations require information reporting (but not the backup withholding) on the payment unless the broker has documentary evidence in its files that the owner is a Non-U.S. Holder and the broker has no knowledge to the contrary. Non-U.S. Holders should consult their own tax advisors on the application of information reporting and backup withholding to them in their particular circumstances (including upon their disposition of our common stock).

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules from a payment to a Non-U.S. Holder will be refunded or credited against the Non-U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability, if any, if the Non-U.S. Holder provides the required information to the IRS.

UNDERWRITING

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Banc of America Securities LLC, Lehman Brothers Inc., Harris Nesbitt Corp., Jefferies & Company, Inc. and Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated are acting as representatives of each of the underwriters named below. Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in a purchase agreement among us, the selling stockholders and the underwriters, we and the selling stockholders have agreed to sell to the underwriters, and each of the underwriters has agreed, severally and not jointly, to purchase from us and the selling stockholders, the number of shares of common stock set forth opposite its name below.

| <u>Underwriter</u> | <u>Number of Shares</u> |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated | |
| Banc of America Securities LLC | |
| Lehman Brothers Inc. | |
| Harris Nesbitt Corp. | |
| Jefferies & Company, Inc. | |
| Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated | |
| Total | 4,000,000 |

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the purchase agreement, the underwriters have agreed, severally and not jointly, to purchase all of the shares sold under the purchase agreement if any of these shares are purchased. If an underwriter defaults, the purchase agreement provides that the purchase commitments of the nondefaulting underwriters may be increased or the purchase agreement may be terminated.

We and the selling stockholders have agreed to indemnify the underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act, or to contribute to payments the underwriters may be required to make in respect of those liabilities.

The underwriters are offering the shares, subject to prior sale, when, as and if issued to and accepted by them, subject to approval of legal matters by their counsel, including the validity of the shares, and other conditions contained in the purchase agreement, such as the receipt by the underwriters of officer's certificates and legal opinions. The underwriters reserve the right to withdraw, cancel or modify offers to the public and to reject orders in whole or in part.

Commissions and Discounts

The representatives have advised us and the selling stockholders that they propose initially to offer the shares to the public at the initial public offering price set forth on the cover page of this prospectus and to dealers at that price less a concession not in excess of \$ _____ per share. The underwriters may allow, and the dealers may reallow, a discount not in excess of \$ _____ per share to other dealers. After the initial public offering, the public offering price, concession and discount may be changed.

The following table shows the public offering price, underwriting discount and proceeds before expenses to us and the selling stockholders. The information assumes either no exercise or full exercise by the underwriters of their overallotment options.

| | Per share | | Total | |
|---|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| | Without Option | With Option | Without Option | With Option |
| Public offering price | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Underwriting discounts and commissions paid by us | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Proceeds, before expenses, to us | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Underwriting discounts and commissions paid by the selling stockholders | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Proceeds, before expenses, to the selling stockholders | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

The expenses of the offering, not including the underwriting discount, are estimated at approximately \$2,400,000 and are payable by us.

Overallotment Option

We have granted an option to the underwriters to purchase up to 600,000 additional shares at the public offering price less the underwriting discount. The underwriters may exercise this option for 30 days from the date of this prospectus solely to cover any overallotments. If the underwriters exercise this option, each will be obligated, subject to conditions contained in the purchase agreement, to purchase a number of additional shares proportionate to that underwriter's initial amount reflected in the above table.

Reserved Shares

At our request, the underwriters have reserved for sale, at the initial public offering price, up to 5% of the shares offered by this prospectus for sale to certain of our business associates, employees and other persons. If these persons purchase reserved shares, this will reduce the number of shares available for sale to the general public. Any reserved shares that are not orally confirmed for purchase within one day of the pricing of this offering will be offered by the underwriters to the general public on the same terms as the other shares offered by this prospectus.

No Sales of Similar Securities

We, the selling stockholders, our executive officers and certain directors who hold shares of our common stock or options to acquire such shares and certain other existing stockholders and holders of our options have agreed, subject to limited exceptions, not to sell or transfer any common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for or repayable with common stock, for 180 days after the date of this prospectus without first obtaining the written consent of Merrill Lynch. Specifically, we and these other persons have agreed not to directly or indirectly:

- offer, pledge, sell or contract to sell any common stock,
- sell any option or contract to purchase any common stock,
- purchase any option or contract to sell any common stock,
- grant any option, right or warrant to purchase any common stock,
- otherwise dispose of or transfer any common stock, or
- enter into any swap or other agreement that transfers, in whole or in part, the economic consequence of ownership of any common stock whether any such swap or transaction is to be settled by delivery of shares of common stock or other securities, in cash or otherwise.

The 180-day restricted period described above is subject to extension such that, in the event that either (a) during the last 17 days of the 180-day restricted period, we issue an earnings release or material news or a material event relating to us occurs or (b) prior to the expiration of the 180-day restricted period, we announce that we will release earnings results or we become aware that material news or a material event will occur during the 16-day period beginning on the last day of the 180-day period, the "lock-up" restrictions described above will continue to apply until the expiration of the 18-day period beginning on the date of the earnings release or the occurrence of the material news or material event, unless Merrill Lynch waives such extension in writing.

Merrill Lynch may, in its sole discretion and at any time without notice, release all or any portion of the securities subject to these lock-up agreements. Merrill Lynch has advised us that it has no present intent or arrangement to release any shares subject to a lock-up, and will consider the release of any lock-up on a case-by-case basis. Upon a request to release any shares subject to a lock-up, Merrill Lynch would consider the particular circumstances surrounding the request, including, but not limited to, the length of time before the lock-up expires, the number of shares requested to be released, reasons for the request, the possible impact on the market or our common stock and whether the holder of our shares requesting the release is an officer, director or other affiliate of ours. As a result of these lock-up agreements, notwithstanding earlier eligibility for sale under the provisions of Rule 144, none of these shares may be sold until 180 days after the date of this prospectus, subject to the extension described above. A total of 20,662,812 shares of common stock are subject to the lock-up agreements.

This lock-up provision applies to common stock and to securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for or repayable with common stock.

Quotation on The Nasdaq National Market

We expect the shares to be approved for quotation on The Nasdaq National Market, subject to notice of issuance, under the symbol "LINC."

Before this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. The initial public offering price will be determined through negotiations among us, the selling stockholders and the representatives. In addition to prevailing market conditions, the factors to be considered in determining the initial public offering price are:

- the valuation multiples of publicly traded companies that the representatives believe to be comparable to us,
- our financial information,
- the history of, and the prospects for our company and the industry in which we compete,
- an assessment of our management, its past and present operations, and the prospects for and timing of, our future revenues,
- the present state of our development, and
- the above factors in relation to market values and various valuation measures of other companies engaged in activities similar to ours.

An active trading market for the shares may not develop. It is also possible that after the offering the shares will not trade in the public market at or above the initial public offering price. The underwriters do not expect to sell more than five percent in the aggregate of the shares being offered in this offering to accounts over which they exercise discretionary authority.

Price Stabilization, Short Positions and Penalty Bids

Until the distribution of the shares is completed, SEC rules may limit underwriters and selling group members from bidding for and purchasing our common stock. However, the underwriters may

engage in transactions that stabilize the price of the common stock, such as bids or purchases to peg, fix or maintain that price.

If the underwriters create a short position in the common stock in connection with the offering, *i.e.*, if they sell more shares than are listed on the cover of this prospectus, the underwriters may reduce that short position by purchasing shares in the open market. The underwriters may also elect to reduce any short position by exercising all or part of the overallotment options described above. Purchases of the common stock to stabilize its price or to reduce a short position may cause the price of the common stock to be higher than it might be in the absence of such purchases.

The underwriters may also impose a penalty bid on underwriters and selling group members. This means that if the underwriters purchase shares in the open market to reduce the underwriter's short position or to stabilize the price of such shares, they may reclaim the amount of the selling concession from the underwriters and selling group members who sold those shares. The imposition of a penalty bid may also affect the price of the shares in that it discourages resales of those shares.

Neither we nor any of the underwriters makes any representation or prediction as to the direction or magnitude of any effect that the transactions described above may have on the price of the common stock. In addition, neither we nor any of the underwriters makes any representation that the underwriters will engage in these transactions or that these transactions, once commenced, will not be discontinued without notice.

Electronic Distribution

Merrill Lynch and Harris Nesbitt will be facilitating Internet distribution for this offering to certain of their respective Internet subscription customers. Merrill Lynch and Harris Nesbitt intend to allocate a limited number of shares for sale to online brokerage customers. An electronic prospectus will be made available on the Internet Web site maintained by each of Merrill Lynch and Harris Nesbitt. Banc of America Securities LLC will make an electronic prospectus available on a third-party Internet Web site. Other than the prospectus in electronic format, the information on the Web sites is not part of this prospectus.

Other Relationships

An affiliate of Harris Nesbitt Corp. is the administrative agent and a lender under our new credit agreement and Fleet National Bank, an affiliate of Banc of America Securities LLC, is also a lender under our new credit agreement, and will receive more than ten percent of the net proceeds of this offering when we repay the amounts outstanding under the new credit agreement. Because more than ten percent of the net proceeds of the offering may be paid to members or affiliates of members of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. participating in the offering, the offering will be conducted in accordance with NASD Conduct Rule 2710(h), as amended. This rule requires that the public offering price of an equity security be no higher than the price recommended by a qualified independent underwriter which has participated in the preparation of the registration statement and performed its usual standard of due diligence with respect to that registration statement. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated has agreed to act as qualified independent underwriter for the offering. The price of the shares will be no higher than that recommended by Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. We have agreed to indemnify Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated against liabilities incurred in connection with acting as a qualified independent underwriter, including liabilities under the Securities Act.

Merrill Lynch owns 3.9% of Stonington Capital Appreciation Fund 1994, which is the sole shareholder of Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., our controlling stockholder.

LEGAL MATTERS

Shearman & Sterling LLP, New York, New York, will pass upon certain legal matters for us. The validity of the shares of common stock offered by this prospectus will be passed upon for us by Lindabury, McCormick & Estabrook, P.A., Westfield, New Jersey. The underwriters are represented by O'Melveny & Myers LLP, New York, New York.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements relating to Lincoln Educational Services Corporation as of December 31, 2003 and 2004 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004 included in this prospectus and the related financial statement schedule included elsewhere in the registration statement have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing herein and elsewhere in the registration statement (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph referring to the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "*Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*"), and has been so included in reliance upon the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act relating to the common stock we are offering. This prospectus, which constitutes a part of the registration statement, does not contain all the information that is in the registration statement and its exhibits and schedules. Certain portions of the registration statement have been omitted as allowed by the rules and regulations of the SEC. Statements in this prospectus which summarize documents are not necessarily complete, and in each case you should refer to the copy of the document filed as an exhibit to the registration statement. You may read and copy the registration statement, including exhibits and schedules filed with it, and reports or other information we may file with the SEC at the public reference facilities of the SEC at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Room 1024, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the public reference rooms. In addition, the registration statement and other public filings can be obtained from the SEC's internet site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Upon completion of this offering, we will become subject to information and periodic reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, and we will file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. We intend to furnish our stockholders written annual reports containing financial statements audited by our independent auditors, and make available to our stockholders quarterly reports for the first three quarters of each year containing unaudited interim financial statements.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited) | |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005 (unaudited) | F-2 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited) | F-3 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2005 (unaudited) | F-4 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited) | F-5 |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | F-6 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation for the Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-12 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2003 and 2004 | F-13 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004 | F-14 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004 | F-15 |
| Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004 | F-16 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-17 |
| Schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | F-40 |

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND MARCH 31, 2005

(In thousands, except share amounts)

(Unaudited)

| | December 31, 2004 | March 31, 2005 |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 41,445 | \$ 10,049 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$7,023 and \$7,490 for 2004 and 2005, respectively | 12,820 | 12,838 |
| Inventories | 1,664 | 1,574 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,893 | 2,512 |
| Prepaid income taxes | — | 2,317 |
| Other accounts receivable | — | 1,375 |
| Assets held for sale | 893 | 893 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4,509 | 4,588 |
| | 64,224 | 36,146 |
| PROPERTY, EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES—At cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization | 55,149 | 56,515 |
| OTHER ASSETS | | |
| Deferred finance charges | 943 | 1,355 |
| Prepaid pension cost | 4,820 | 4,820 |
| Other assets | 2,625 | 4,355 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,166 | 2,198 |
| Goodwill | 32,802 | 50,709 |
| | 43,356 | 63,437 |
| TOTAL | \$ 162,729 | \$ 156,098 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt and lease obligations | 5,311 | 311 |
| Unearned tuition | 31,185 | 28,434 |
| Accounts payable | 10,664 | 11,994 |
| Accrued expenses | 12,147 | 9,654 |
| Advance payments of federal funds | 330 | 535 |
| Income taxes payable | 17 | — |
| | 59,654 | 50,928 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES | | |
| NONCURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Other long-term liabilities | 3,471 | 3,974 |
| Long-term debt and lease obligations, net of current portion | 41,518 | 41,695 |
| | 104,643 | 96,597 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, no par value—authorized 50,000,000 shares at December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005 issued and outstanding 21,698,785 shares at December 31, 2004 and 21,704,785 shares at March 31, 2005 | 62,385 | 62,385 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 3,359 | 3,821 |
| Accumulated deficit | (7,477) | (6,705) |

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Less loan receivable from stockholders | (181) | — |
| Total stockholders' equity | 58,086 | 59,501 |
| TOTAL | \$ 162,729 | \$ 156,098 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2005

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2004 | 2005 |
| REVENUES | \$ 59,574 | \$ 70,869 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | |
| Educational services and facilities | 24,163 | 29,084 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 31,778 | 39,284 |
| Total costs and expenses | 55,941 | 68,368 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 3,633 | 2,501 |
| INTEREST INCOME | 13 | 8 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | (842) | (1,194) |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 2,804 | 1,315 |
| PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES | 1,186 | 543 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 1,618 | \$ 772 |
| Earnings per share—basic: Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.04 |
| Earnings per share—diluted: Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.03 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 21,668 | 21,699 |
| Diluted | 23,038 | 22,965 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Loan Receivable from Stockholders | Accumulated Deficit | Total |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------|
| BALANCE—December 31, 2004 | \$ 62,385 | \$ 3,359 | \$ (181) | \$ (7,477) | \$ 58,086 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 772 | 772 |
| Stock-based compensation | — | 399 | — | — | 399 |
| Stockholder loan repayment | — | — | 181 | — | 181 |
| Tax benefit of options exercised | — | 39 | — | — | 39 |
| Exercise of stock options | — | 24 | — | — | 24 |
| BALANCE—March 31, 2005 | \$ 62,385 | \$ 3,821 | \$ — | \$ (6,705) | \$ 59,501 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2005

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

| | March 31, 2004 | March 31, 2005 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income | \$ 1,618 | \$ 772 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,598 | 3,082 |
| Amortization of deferred finance charges | 96 | 56 |
| Write-off of deferred finance costs | — | 365 |
| Deferred income taxes | 53 | (111) |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 1,842 | 2,285 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 716 | 399 |
| Tax benefit associated with option exercises | — | 39 |
| (Increase) decrease in assets, net of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (1,574) | (1,561) |
| Inventories | 22 | 90 |
| Prepaid expenses and current assets | 650 | 335 |
| Other assets and charges | 177 | 277 |
| Increase (decrease) in liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts payable | 4,744 | 1,159 |
| Other liabilities | (434) | 708 |
| Income taxes—payable/prepaid | (4,529) | (2,334) |
| Accrued expenses | (2,739) | (3,021) |
| Unearned tuition | (2,283) | (5,599) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total adjustments | (661) | (3,831) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 957 | (3,059) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (6,276) | (3,195) |
| Acquisition of a business, net of cash acquired | (14,341) | (19,691) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (20,617) | (22,886) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 312 | 31,000 |
| Payments on borrowings | (3,000) | (35,750) |
| Payment of deferred finance fees | — | (833) |
| Proceeds from shareholders loan | — | 181 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 8 | 24 |
| Principal payments under capital lease obligations | (328) | (73) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (3,008) | (5,451) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (22,668) | (31,396) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—Beginning of period | 48,965 | 41,445 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—End of period | \$ 26,297 | \$ 10,049 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 787 | \$ 687 |

| | | | | |
|--------------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Income taxes | \$ | 5,662 | \$ | 2,946 |
|--------------|----|-------|----|-------|

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING
ACTIVITIES:

Cash paid during the period for:

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----|----------|----|----------|
| Fair value of assets acquired | \$ | 14,436 | \$ | 23,238 |
| Net cash paid for the acquisitions | | (14,341) | | (19,691) |

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----|----|----|-------|
| Liabilities assumed | \$ | 95 | \$ | 3,547 |
|---------------------|----|----|----|-------|

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2005

(In thousands, except share amounts)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business Activities—Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Subsidiaries (the "Company") operate career schools in various locations, which offer technical programs of study in several different specialties.

In February 2003, Lincoln Educational Services Corporation ("LESC") was formed as a holding company that owns 100% of Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc. ("LTI"). The Company effected the creation of LESC by first creating LESC as a wholly-owned subsidiary of LTI and then creating Lincoln Acquisition Corporation ("LAC") as a wholly-owned subsidiary of LESC. The Company then merged LTI into LAC with LTI becoming the surviving entity and a wholly-owned subsidiary of LESC. All of the stockholders of LTI then exchanged their shares for shares in LESC. The effect of this transaction did not result in any change in ownership or control, as a result the assets and liabilities of LESC are reflected at the carryover basis of LTI. The formation of LESC, which was not material, has been retroactively reflected.

Basis of Presentation—The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements have been omitted or condensed pursuant to such regulations. The Company believes the disclosures included in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, when read in conjunction with the December 31, 2004 consolidated financial statements of the Company, included in this registration statement and the notes thereto, reflect all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to summarize fairly the consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for such periods. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005.

The consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2004 and the unaudited consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2005 and for three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates the estimates and assumptions, including those related to revenue recognition, bad debts, fixed assets, income taxes, benefit plans and certain accruals. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123R, *Share Based Payment*, which eliminates the alternative to measure stock-based compensation awards using the intrinsic value approach permitted

by Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* and by SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. The Company has not yet determined what impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have on the consolidated financial statements; however, as discussed in Note 3 in the December 31, 2004 consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation provisions of SFAS No. 123 and the retroactive transitional provisions of SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure*. As a result, the Company has been recording stock-based compensation expense for all employee stock awards that were granted or modified.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an Amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*. SFAS No. 153 addresses the measurement of exchanges of nonmonetary assets and requires that such exchanges be measured at fair value, with limited exceptions. SFAS No. 153 amends APB Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions* by eliminating the exception that required nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets be recorded on a carryover basis. The provisions of SFAS No. 153 are effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of the provisions of SFAS No. 153 is not expected to have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

3. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for the fair value of its grants under its stock-based compensation plan in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. The compensation cost that has been charged against income under this plan was approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

4. WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES

The weighted average number of common shares used to compute basic and diluted income per share for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 are as follows:

| | March 31, | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| | 2004 | 2005 |
| Basic shares outstanding | 21,668 | 21,699 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options | 1,370 | 1,266 |
| Diluted shares outstanding | 23,038 | 22,965 |

5. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

On January 23, 2004, a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of LESC, Southwestern Acquisition, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, acquired the Southwestern College of Business, Inc. ("Southwestern") in Dayton, Ohio, Cincinnati—Vine Street, Ohio, Cincinnati—Northland Blvd., Ohio, Franklin, Ohio and Florence, Kentucky for approximately \$14.5 million, net of cash acquired. Included in this purchase price is certain real estate which was subsequently acquired from Southwestern for

\$0.7 million. The post acquisition consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of Southwestern from the acquisition date. The purchase price was allocated to Southwestern's identifiable net assets with the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired recorded as goodwill. Intangible assets acquired, included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, include student contracts of \$0.3 million which are being amortized over a one year period from the date of acquisition and trade name of \$0.3 million which is not subject to amortization. Intangible asset amortization expense for the three months ended March 31, 2004 was \$0.04 million. Goodwill of approximately \$12.8 million, which is deductible for tax purposes, is also not subject to amortization. The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at January 23, 2004, the date of acquisition.

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Property, equipment and facilities | \$ 890 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | 13,436 |
| Current assets, excluding cash acquired | 267 |
| Current liabilities | (95) |
| | <hr/> |
| Cost of acquisition, net of cash acquired | \$ 14,498 |
| | <hr/> |

The following unaudited pro forma results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2004 assumes that the acquisition of Southwestern occurred at the beginning of the year of acquisition. The unaudited pro forma results of operations are based on historical results of operations, include adjustments for depreciation, amortization, interest, and taxes, and do not necessarily reflect the actual results that would have occurred.

| | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | <u>March 31,</u> <u>2004</u> |
| | <hr/> |
| Pro forma revenues | \$ 59,578 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 1,473 |

On January 11, 2005, a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of LESC, New England Acquisition, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, acquired New England Technical Institute, Inc. ("NETI") in New Britain, Connecticut; Hamden, Connecticut; Cromwell, Connecticut and Shelton, Connecticut for approximately \$19.7 million, net of cash acquired. The post acquisition consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of NETI from the acquisition date. The purchase price has been preliminarily allocated to NETI's identifiable net assets with the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired recorded as goodwill, pending managements' valuation of the fair value of the net assets acquired as of the date of acquisition. Therefore, the purchase price allocation may be revised. Estimated intangible assets acquired, included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, include non-compete agreements of \$1.3 million which are being amortized over a three year period from the date of acquisition, student contracts of \$0.4 million which are being amortized over a one year period from the date of acquisition and trade name of \$0.5 million which is not subject to amortization. Intangible asset amortization expense for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was \$0.2 million. Goodwill of approximately \$17.9 million, which is deductible for tax purposes, is also not subject to amortization. The following table summarizes the

estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at January 11, 2005, the date of acquisition.

| | | |
|---|----|---------|
| Property, equipment and facilities | \$ | 1,000 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | | 20,082 |
| Current assets, excluding cash acquired | | 2,157 |
| Current liabilities | | (3,548) |
| | | <hr/> |
| Cost of acquisition, net of cash acquired | \$ | 19,691 |
| | | <hr/> |

The following unaudited pro forma results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 assumes that the acquisition of NETI occurred at the beginning of the year preceding the year of acquisition. The unaudited pro forma results of operations are based on historical results of operations, include adjustments for depreciation, amortization, interest, and taxes, and do not necessarily reflect the actual results that would have occurred.

| | | | |
|----------------------|----|------------------|-------------|
| | | March 31, | |
| | | 2004 | 2005 |
| | | <hr/> | |
| | | (unaudited) | |
| | | <hr/> | |
| Pro forma revenues | \$ | 63,015 | \$ 71,147 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ | 1,931 | \$ 771 |

6. INTANGIBLES

The Company accounts for its intangible assets in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. The Company reviews intangible assets with an indefinite useful life for impairment when indicators of impairment exist, as defined by SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. Annually, or more frequently if necessary, the Company evaluates goodwill for impairment, with any resulting impairment reflected as an operating expense.

Amortization of intangible assets for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 was approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

Intangible assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | At December 31, 2004 | | At March 31, 2005 | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Weighted Average Amortization Period (years) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Student Contracts | \$ 950 | \$ 928 | \$ 1,350 | \$ 1,038 | 1 |
| Trade name | 810 | — | 1,285 | — | Indefinite |
| Non-compete | 1 | 1 | 1,301 | 97 | 3 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total | \$ 1,761 | \$ 929 | \$ 3,936 | \$ 1,135 | N/A |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |

7. OTHER ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Represents a receivable due from the previous owners of New England Technical Institute, Inc. resulting from purchase price adjustments in the closing balance sheet as stipulated in the asset purchase agreement. These amounts are expected to be paid prior to year-end.

8. LONG-TERM DEBT

On February 15, 2005, the Company entered into a new credit agreement with a syndicate of banks led by its existing lender. Under the terms of the agreement, the syndicate provided the Company with a \$100 million credit facility with a term of five years. The credit agreement permits the issuance of letters of credit, up to \$20 million, the amount of which reduces the availability of permitted borrowings under the agreement. As a result of this new credit agreement, the Company wrote off as a component of interest expense approximately \$0.4 million of unamortized deferred finance costs under the old credit agreement in the three months ended March 31, 2005. The Company incurred approximately \$0.8 million of deferred finance costs under the new agreement.

The obligations of the Company and its subsidiaries under the credit agreement are secured by a lien on substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries and any assets that it or its subsidiaries may acquire in the future, including a pledge of substantially all of the subsidiaries' common stock. Outstanding borrowings bear interest at the rate of adjusted LIBOR plus 1.0% to 1.75%, as defined, or a base rate (as defined in the credit agreement). In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the credit agreement, the Company and its subsidiaries are required to pay a commitment fee to the lender with respect to the unused amounts available under the credit agreement at a rate equal to 0.25% to 0.40% per year, as defined.

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company had a consulting agreement with Hart Capital LLC, which terminated by its terms in June, 2004, to advise the Company in identifying acquisition and merger targets and assisting with the due diligence reviews of and negotiations with these targets. Hart Capital LLC is the managing member of Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC, which is the second largest stockholder of the Company. Steven Hart, the owner and President of Hart Capital LLC, sits on the Company's board of directors. The Company paid Hart Capital LLC a monthly retainer, reimbursement of expenses and an advisory fee for its work on successful acquisitions or mergers. In accordance with the agreement, the Company paid Hart Capital LLC approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Pursuant to the agreement, however, the Company may have future obligations to make certain payments to Hart Capital with respect to acquisition opportunities identified for the Company by Hart Capital prior to the termination of the agreement, if the Company consummates any acquisition opportunities previously identified for the Company within the twelve months following the termination of the agreement. Accordingly, in connection with the consummation of the NETI acquisition, which closed on January 11, 2005, the Company paid Hart Capital \$0.3 million for its services.

In 2003, the Company entered into a management service agreement with its majority stockholder, Stonington Partners. In accordance with this agreement the Company pays Stonington Partners a management fee of \$0.75 million per year for management consulting and financial and business

advisory services. Such services include valuing acquisitions and structuring their financing and assisting with new loan agreements. This agreement will terminate by its terms upon the Company's completion of an initial public offering. Accordingly the Company paid Stonington Partners \$0.75 million in January 2004 and 2005. Fees paid to Stonington Partners are amortized over a twelve month period.

As discussed in Note 10, during 2002, the Company advanced certain members of senior management approximately \$0.4 million in connection with their purchase of Company Stock. These notes have been reflected as a reduction in stockholders' equity. During 2004, approximately \$0.2 million of these loans were repaid. In the first quarter of 2005 the remainders of these loans were repaid.

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Litigation and Regulatory Matters—The Company has been named as a defendant in actions resulting from the normal course of operations. Based, in part, on the opinion of counsel, management believes that the resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

* * * * *

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of
Lincoln Educational Services Corporation
West Orange, New Jersey

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2003 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Part II, Item 16(b). These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over the financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal controls over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Lincoln Education Services Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003 and 2004 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 for stock-based compensation in January 2004. The Company retroactively restated the 2002 and 2003 consolidated financial statements for this change.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Parsippany, New Jersey

March 28, 2005

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2004
(In thousands, except share amounts)

| | December 31, | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 |
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 48,965 | \$ 41,445 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$5,469 and \$7,023 for 2003 and 2004, respectively | 10,803 | 12,820 |
| Inventories | 1,087 | 1,664 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,452 | 2,893 |
| Assets held for sale | — | 893 |
| Deferred income taxes | 5,354 | 4,509 |
| | 68,661 | 64,224 |
| PROPERTY, EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES—At cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization | 42,035 | 55,149 |
| OTHER ASSETS: | | |
| Deferred finance charges | 1,318 | 943 |
| Prepaid pension cost | 4,765 | 4,820 |
| Other assets | 1,633 | 2,625 |
| Deferred income taxes | 992 | 2,166 |
| Goodwill | 19,951 | 32,802 |
| | 28,659 | 43,356 |
| TOTAL | \$ 139,355 | \$ 162,729 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt and lease obligations | \$ 3,624 | \$ 5,311 |
| Unearned tuition | 26,249 | 31,185 |
| Accounts payable | 9,022 | 10,664 |
| Accrued expenses | 11,770 | 12,147 |
| Advance payments of federal funds | 738 | 330 |
| Income taxes payable | 3,856 | 17 |
| | 55,259 | 59,654 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES | | |
| NONCURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Other long-term liabilities | 1,736 | 3,471 |
| Long-term debt and lease obligations, net of current portion | 39,436 | 41,518 |
| | 96,431 | 104,643 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Common stock, no par value—authorized 50,000,000 shares at December 31, 2003 and 2004 issued and outstanding, 21,667,610 in 2003 and 21,698,785 shares at 2004 | 62,385 | 62,385 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,426 | 3,359 |
| Accumulated deficit | (20,455) | (7,477) |

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Less loan receivable from stockholders | (432) | (181) |
| | <u>42,924</u> | <u>58,086</u> |
| Total stockholders' equity | | |
| | <u>42,924</u> | <u>58,086</u> |
| TOTAL | \$ 139,355 | \$ 162,729 |
| | <u>\$ 139,355</u> | <u>\$ 162,729</u> |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2003 AND 2004

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| REVENUES | \$ 139,201 | \$ 198,881 | \$ 261,275 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES: | | | |
| Educational services and facilities | 66,580 | 85,201 | 104,843 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 71,753 | 97,714 | 130,941 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of assets | (1,082) | (22) | 368 |
| Total costs and expenses | 137,251 | 182,893 | 236,152 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 1,950 | 15,988 | 25,123 |
| GAIN ON SALE OF SECURITIES | — | 211 | — |
| INTEREST INCOME | 212 | 133 | 104 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | (2,937) | (2,758) | (3,007) |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (775) | 13,574 | 22,220 |
| PROVISION (BENEFIT) FOR INCOME TAXES | (101) | 5,355 | 9,242 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ (674) | \$ 8,219 | \$ 12,978 |
| Earnings (loss) per share—basic: Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.60 |
| Earnings (loss) per share—diluted: Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.56 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 21,662 | 21,667 | 21,676 |
| Diluted | 21,662 | 22,364 | 23,095 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2003 AND 2004
(In thousands)

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Loan Receivable From Stockholders | Accumulated Deficit | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------|
| BALANCE—December 31, 2001 | \$ 61,928 | \$ 168 | \$ — | \$ (28,000) | \$ 34,096 |
| Net loss | — | — | — | (674) | (674) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | — | 458 | — | — | 458 |
| Sale of common stock, net of expenses | 457 | — | (432) | — | 25 |
| BALANCE—December 31, 2002 | 62,385 | 626 | (432) | (28,674) | 33,905 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 8,219 | 8,219 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | — | 800 | — | — | 800 |
| BALANCE—December 31, 2003 | 62,385 | 1,426 | (432) | (20,455) | 42,924 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 12,978 | 12,978 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | — | 1,793 | — | — | 1,793 |
| Stockholder loan repayment | — | — | 251 | — | 251 |
| Tax benefit of options exercised | — | 43 | — | — | 43 |
| Exercise of stock options | — | 97 | — | — | 97 |
| BALANCE—December 31, 2004 | \$ 62,385 | \$ 3,359 | \$ (181) | \$ (7,477) | \$ 58,086 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2003 AND 2004

(In thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (674) | \$ 8,219 | \$ 12,978 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,201 | 9,879 | 10,749 |
| Amortization of deferred finance charges | 626 | 689 | 375 |
| Deferred income taxes | (531) | 1,285 | (329) |
| (Gain) loss on sale of assets | (1,082) | (22) | 368 |
| Gain on sale of marketable securities | — | (211) | — |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 5,696 | 7,415 | 9,247 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 458 | 800 | 1,793 |
| Tax benefit associated with exercise of stock options | — | — | 43 |
| (Increase) decrease in assets, net of acquisitions: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (5,792) | (10,131) | (10,998) |
| Inventories | (132) | 124 | (577) |
| Prepaid expenses and current assets | 84 | (547) | (493) |
| Other assets and charges | (3,545) | (259) | (830) |
| Increase (decrease) in liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | | |
| Accounts payable | 1,716 | (447) | 1,547 |
| Other liabilities | (657) | 326 | 1,327 |
| Income taxes payable | 391 | 3,465 | (3,839) |
| Accrued expenses | 1,580 | 4,473 | 377 |
| Unearned tuition | 4,384 | 2,108 | 4,936 |
| Total adjustments | 10,397 | 18,947 | 13,696 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 9,723 | 27,166 | 26,674 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (3,598) | (13,154) | (23,813) |
| Proceeds from sale of facilities | 1,443 | 29 | — |
| Restricted cash | — | 9,000 | — |
| Sale of marketable securities | — | 3,028 | — |
| Acquisition of a business, net of cash acquired | — | (7,583) | (14,498) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (2,155) | (8,680) | (38,311) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Proceeds from borrowings | — | 51,256 | 25,290 |
| Payments on borrowings | (2,000) | (29,716) | (21,000) |
| Net proceeds from finance obligation | — | — | 169 |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 25 | — | — |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | — | — | 97 |
| Principal payments under capital lease obligations | (1,914) | (2,140) | (690) |
| Proceeds from shareholder loans | — | — | 251 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (3,889) | 19,400 | 4,117 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 3,679 | 37,886 | (7,520) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—Beginning of year | 7,400 | 11,079 | 48,965 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—End of year | \$ 11,079 | \$ 48,965 | \$ 41,445 |

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

| Cash paid during the year for: | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Interest | \$ 2,692 | \$ 2,732 | \$ 2,780 |
| Income taxes | \$ 115 | \$ 619 | \$ 13,382 |

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
|------------------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| Fair value of assets acquired | \$ — | \$ 16,044 | \$ 14,593 |
| Net cash paid for the acquisitions | — | (7,583) | (14,498) |
| Liabilities assumed | \$ — | \$ 8,461 | \$ 95 |

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NONCASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

In 2002, the Company issued common stock in exchange for loans receivable of approximately \$0.4 million.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2003 AND 2004

(In thousands, except share amounts)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business Activities—Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Subsidiaries (the "Company") operate trade schools in various locations, which offer technical programs of study in several different specialties.

In February 2003, Lincoln Educational Services Corporation ("LESC"), which is a holding company that owns 100% of Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc. ("LTI"), was formed. The Company effected the creation of LESC by first creating LESC as a wholly-owned subsidiary of LTI and then creating Lincoln Acquisition Corporation ("LAC") as a wholly-owned subsidiary of LESC. The Company then merged LTI into LAC with LTI becoming the surviving entity and a wholly-owned subsidiary of LESC. All of the stockholders of LTI then exchanged their shares for shares in LESC. The effect of this transaction did not result in any change in ownership or control, as such the assets and liabilities of LESC are reflected at the carryover basis of LTI. The formation of LESC, which was not material, has been retroactively reflected.

Principles of Consolidation—The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Revenue Recognition—Revenue is derived primarily from programs taught at the schools. Tuition revenue and one-time fees, such as nonrefundable application fees, and course material fees are recognized on a straight-line basis over the length of the applicable program. If a student withdraws from a program prior to a specified date, any paid but unearned tuition is refunded. Other revenues, such as textbook sales, tool sales and contract training revenues, are recognized as services are performed or goods are delivered. On an individual student basis, tuition earned in excess of cash received is recorded as accounts receivable, and cash received in excess of tuition earned is recorded as unearned tuition. Refunds are calculated and paid in accordance with federal, state and accrediting agency standards.

Cash and Cash Equivalents—Cash and cash equivalents include all cash balances and highly liquid short-term investments, which mature within three months of purchase.

Accounts Receivable—The Company reports accounts receivable at net realizable value, which is equal to the gross receivable less an estimated allowance for uncollectible accounts.

Inventories—Inventories consist mainly of textbooks, tools and supplies. Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market on a first-in, first-out basis.

Property, Equipment and Facilities—Depreciation and Amortization—Property, equipment and facilities are stated at cost. Major renewals and improvements are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance are expensed when incurred. Upon the retirement, sale or other disposition of assets, costs and related accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the accounts and any gain or loss is reflected in operating income. For financial statement purposes, depreciation of property and equipment is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, and amortization of leasehold improvements is computed over the lesser of the term of the lease or its estimated useful life.

Deferred Rent Expense—Rent expense related to operating leases where scheduled rent increases exist is determined by expensing the total amount of rent due over the life of the operating lease on a straight-line basis. The difference between the rent paid under the terms of the lease and the rent expensed on a straight-line basis is included in accrued expenses and other long-term liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Deferred Finance Charges—These charges in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet consist of \$0.8 million and \$0.5 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2004, respectively, related to the long-term debt and \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2004, respectively, related to the finance obligation. These amounts are being amortized as an increase in interest expense over the respective life of the debt or finance obligation.

Advertising Costs—Costs related to advertising are expensed as incurred and totaled approximately \$14.3 million, \$17.5 million and \$22.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets—In connection with the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, the Company is required to assess for impairment annually, or more frequently, if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred.

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, the Company tests goodwill for impairment annually using a two-step process that begins with an estimation of the fair value of a reporting unit. As prescribed by SFAS No. 142, the Company has defined a reporting unit as an operating segment for which discreet financial information is available and which is used by management to run the operations of the Company. Accordingly, the Company has determined that its reporting units are its schools. Goodwill has been allocated to each reporting unit based upon the excess of each reporting unit's purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired, as determined based upon an independent valuation. An impairment analysis was performed as of December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004. As the carrying amount of each reporting unit did not exceed its fair value, there was no need to record an impairment loss.

Impairment of Long-Lives Assets—Long-lived assets held and used by the Company, including property, plant and equipment and amortizable intangible assets, are reviewed by us in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 144, "*Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*." In accordance with SFAS No. 144, the Company assesses the potential impairment of property equipment and amortizable intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. For purposes of evaluating the recoverability of long-lived assets to be held and used, a recoverability test is performed using projected undiscounted net cash flows applicable to the long-lived assets. If impairment exists, the amount of such impairment is calculated based on the estimated fair value of the asset. Impairments of long-lived assets to be disposed of are recorded based upon the fair value of the applicable assets.

Concentration of Credit Risk—Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of temporary cash investments and trade receivables.

The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with high credit quality financial institutions and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution. Cash balances are insured by the FDIC up to \$100,000 per depositor. The Company's cash balances with financial institutions typically exceed FDIC insured limits. The Company's cash balances on deposit at December 31, 2004, exceeded the balance insured by the FDIC by approximately \$39.8 million.

The Company extends credit for tuition and fees to the majority of the students. The credit risk with respect to these accounts receivable is mitigated through the students' participation in federally funded financial aid programs unless students withdraw prior to the receipt of federal funds for those students. In addition, the remaining tuition receivables are primarily comprised of smaller individual amounts due from students.

As of December 31, 2003 and 2004, the Company had no significant concentrations of credit risk.

Allowance for uncollectible accounts. Based upon experience and judgment, the Company establishes an allowance for uncollectible accounts with respect to tuition receivables. The Company uses an internal group of collectors, augmented by third-party collectors as deemed appropriate, in its collection efforts. In establishing the allowance for uncollectible accounts, the Company considers, among other things, a student's status (in-school or out-of-school), whether or not additional financial aid funding will be collected from Title IV Programs or other sources, whether or not a student is currently making payments, and overall collection history. Changes in trends in any of these areas may impact the allowance for uncollectible accounts. The receivables balances of withdrawn students with delinquent obligations are reserved for based on collection history. Although the Company believes that reserves are adequate, if the financial condition of the students deteriorates, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, or if the Company underestimates the allowances required, additional allowances may be necessary, which will result in increased selling, general and administrative expenses in the period such determination is made.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates the estimates and assumptions, including those related to revenue recognition, bad debts, fixed assets, income taxes, benefit plans and certain accruals. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements—In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. ("FIN") 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*. FIN 45 elaborates on the existing disclosure requirements for most guarantees, including loan guarantees such as standby letters of credit. It also clarifies that at the time a Company issues a guarantee, the Company must recognize an initial liability for the fair value of the obligations the Company assumes under the guarantee and must disclose that information in the financial statements. The provisions related to recognizing a liability at inception of the guarantee for the fair value of the guarantor's obligations do not apply to product warranties or to guarantees

accounted for as derivatives. The initial recognition and initial measurement provisions apply on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Company has no guarantees which require disclosure or accounting treatment under FIN 45 as of December 31, 2004.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, as amended in December 2003 by FIN 46 (Revised) ("FIN 46-R"), which deferred the effective date until the first interim or annual reporting period ending after March 15, 2004. FIN 46-R requires the consolidation of an entity by an enterprise known as a "primary beneficiary," (i) if that enterprise has a variable interest that will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses, if they occur, receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns, if they occur, or both and (ii) if the entity is a variable interest entity ("VIE"), as defined. An entity qualifies as a variable interest entity if (i) the total equity investment at risk in the entity is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties or (ii) the equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest in the entity. The initial determination of whether an entity is a variable interest entity shall be made as of the date at which an enterprise becomes involved with the entity and re-evaluated as of the date of triggering events, as defined. The adoption of FIN 46-R did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In November 2002, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") reached a consensus on Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables* ("EITF 00-21"). Under EITF 00-21, in order to separate the accounting for multiple deliverables (products or services) provided to a customer by a vendor, the deliverables must have separable value to the customer. EITF 00-21 is effective for the Company for revenue arrangements entered into after January 1, 2004. The adoption of EITF 00-21 did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Liabilities, Equity, or Both*, which establishes standards for classifying and measuring as liabilities certain financial instruments that embody obligations of the issuer and have characteristics of both liabilities and equity. On November 7, 2003, the FASB deferred, indefinitely, the application of paragraphs 9 and 10 of SFAS No. 150 as it relates to mandatory redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated subsidiaries in order to address a number of interpretation and implementation issues. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, "*Share Based Payment*," which eliminates the alternative to measure stock-based compensation awards using the intrinsic value approach permitted by Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "*Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*" and by SFAS No. 123 *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. The Company has not yet determined what impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have on the consolidated financial statements; however, as discussed in Note 2, the Company adopted the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation provisions of SFAS No. 123 and the retroactive transitional provisions of SFAS No. 148, "*Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure*." As a result, the Company has been recording stock-based compensation expense for all employee stock awards that were granted or modified.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an Amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*. SFAS No. 153 addresses the measurement of exchanges of nonmonetary assets and requires that such exchanges be measured at fair value, with limited exceptions. SFAS No. 153 amends APB Opinion No. 29 *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions* by eliminating the exception that required nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets be recorded on a carryover basis. The provisions of SFAS No. 153 are effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company will adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 153, as required.

Stock Option Plan—The Company has a stock-based compensation plan as discussed further in Note 10. The Company has adopted the method of accounting for the fair value of its grants under this plan in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as discussed in Note 2. The compensation cost that has been charged against income under this plan was approximately \$0.5 million, \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

Income Taxes—The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between financial statement carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of changes in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

2. ACCOUNTING CHANGE

In light of evolving accounting guidance and business considerations, the Company determined that it was appropriate to adopt a more preferable accounting policy with respect to stock-based compensation. The Company had historically accounted for stock-based compensation in accordance with APB No. 25. The Company believes that the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 are preferable to the method of recognizing compensation expense under APB Opinion No. 25, which is based on the difference, if any, on the date of grant, between the fair value of the common stock and the exercise price. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123 on January 1, 2004 under the provisions of SFAS No. 148 permitting retroactive restatement. The Company has retroactively restated stock-based compensation expense and related accounts for all years presented.

3. FINANCIAL AID AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Financial Aid

The schools and students participate in a variety of government-sponsored financial aid programs that assist students in paying the cost of their education. The largest source of such support is the federal programs of student financial assistance under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, commonly referred to as the Title IV Programs, which are administered by the U.S. Department of Education (or "DOE"). During the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004

approximately 74%, 79% and 81%, respectively, of the net revenues were indirectly derived from funds distributed under Title IV Programs.

To participate in Title IV Programs, a school must be authorized to offer its programs of instruction by relevant state education agencies, be accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the DOE and be certified as an eligible institution by the DOE. For this reason, the schools are subject to extensive regulatory requirements imposed by all of these entities. After the schools receive the required certifications by the appropriate entities, the schools must demonstrate their compliance with the DOE regulations of the Title IV Programs on an ongoing basis. Included in these regulations is the requirement that the Company must satisfy specific standards of financial responsibility. The DOE evaluates institutions for compliance with these standards each year, based upon the institutions' annual audited financial statements, as well as following a change in ownership of the institution. Under regulations which took effect July 1, 1998, the DOE calculates the institution's composite score for financial responsibility based on its (i) equity ratio, which measures the institution's capital resources, ability to borrow and financial viability; (ii) primary reserve ratio, which measures the institution's ability to support current operations from expendable resources; and (iii) net income ratio, which measures the institution's ability to operate at a profit.

Regulatory Compliance

An institution that does not meet the DOE's minimum composite score requirements of 1.5 may establish its financial responsibility by posting a letter of credit or complying with additional monitoring procedures as defined by the DOE. We have submitted to the DOE our audited financial statements for the 2003 fiscal year reflecting a composite score of 2.1 based upon our calculations, and that our schools meet the DOE standards of financial responsibility. For 2004 fiscal year we have calculated our composite score to be 1.8.

Based on the Company's calculations, the 2003 and 2004 financial statements reflect a composite score of 2.1 and 1.8, respectively. However, as a result of corrections of certain errors, including accounting for advertising costs, a sale leaseback transaction, rent and certain other individually insignificant adjustments, in our prior financial statements, the DOE recomputed the Company's consolidated composite scores for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002 and concluded that the recomputed consolidated composite scores for those two years were below 1.0. In addition, we identified certain additional errors in our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003 relating to our accounting for stock-based compensation and accrued bonuses that did not result in a recomputation of our 2003 composite score. The DOE has informed the Company that as a result, for a period of three years effective December 30, 2004, all of the Company's current and future institutions have been placed on "Heightened Cash Monitoring, Type 1 status," and are required to timely notify the DOE with respect to certain enumerated oversight and financial events. The DOE also informed the Company that its circumstances will be taken into consideration when each of our institutions applies for recertification of the Company's eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs. When each of our institutions is next required to apply for recertification to participate in Title IV Programs, we expect that the DOE will also consider our audited financial statements and composite scores for our most recent fiscal year as well as for other fiscal years after 2001 and 2002. Additionally,

since the DOE concluded that the previously computed composite scores for 2001 and 2002 were overstated, the Company has agreed to pay \$165,000 to the DOE, pursuant to a settlement agreement, to resolve compliance issues related to this matter. The Company paid this amount on March 3, 2005. Although no assurance can be given, the Company's management does not believe that the actions of the DOE specified above will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

The Company was in compliance with the standards established by the DOE requiring that no individual DOE reporting entity can receive more than 90% of its revenue, determined on a cash basis, from Title IV, HEA Program Funds and at least 10% of such revenues need to be derived from sources other than Title IV, HEA Program Funds.

4. WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES

The weighted average numbers of common shares used to compute basic and diluted income per share for the three years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, are as follows:

| | December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Basic shares outstanding | 21,662 | 21,667 | 21,676 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options | — | 697 | 1,419 |
| Diluted shares outstanding | 21,662 | 22,364 | 23,095 |

5. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

On March 16, 2001, the Company purchased the rights, title, and interest in the assets utilized in the conduct and operation of Computer-Ed, Inc. for \$2.5 million including costs related to the acquisition. Goodwill of \$2.1 million was recorded in connection with this acquisition.

On April 6, 2001, the Company purchased from the Trustee in Bankruptcy of Computer Learning Centers, Inc., the assets of Computer Learning Centers, Inc. in Marietta, Georgia, Northeast Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania, Paramus, New Jersey, Somerville, Massachusetts, and Lowell, Massachusetts for \$17.1 million including costs related to the acquisition. On March 9, 2001, the Company purchased from the Trustee in Bankruptcy of Computer Learning Centers, Inc., the assets of Computer Learning Centers, Inc. in Norcross, Georgia and Las Vegas, Nevada for \$3.6 million including costs related to acquisition. These acquisitions were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Goodwill of \$10.7 million was recorded in connection with these acquisitions.

On February 14, 2003, a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of LTI, Nashville Acquisition, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, acquired Nashville Auto-Diesel College for approximately \$7.6 million including costs related to the acquisition, net of \$4.1 million of cash acquired. The post acquisition consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of Nashville Auto-Diesel College from the acquisition date. The purchase price was allocated to the fair value of the acquired tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities. Intangible assets acquired, included in other

assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, include student contracts of \$0.7 million which are being amortized over a one year period from the date of acquisition and trade name of \$0.5 million which is not subject to amortization. Intangible asset amortization expense was \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million for 2003 and 2004, respectively. Goodwill of approximately \$3.8 million, which is deductible for tax purposes, is also not subject to amortization. Included in tangible assets acquired were marketable securities of \$2.8 million which were sold during the year, resulting in a gain of \$0.2 million. The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at February 14, 2003, the date of acquisition.

| | |
|---|----------|
| Property, equipment and facilities | \$ 7,512 |
| Goodwill, intangible and other assets | 5,197 |
| Current assets, excluding cash acquired | 3,335 |
| Current liabilities | (7,483) |
| Other liabilities | (978) |
| | <hr/> |
| Cost of acquisition, net of cash acquired | \$ 7,583 |
| | <hr/> |

The following unaudited pro forma results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, assumes that the Nashville Auto-Diesel College occurred at the beginning of the year preceding the year of acquisition. The unaudited pro forma results of operations are based on historical results of operations, include adjustments for depreciation, amortization, interest, and taxes, and do not necessarily reflect the actual results that would have occurred.

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 |
| | (unaudited) | |
| Pro forma revenues | \$ 159,969 | \$ 201,882 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 852 | \$ 8,481 |

On January 23, 2004, a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of LESC, Southwestern Acquisition, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, acquired the Southwestern College of Business, Inc. ("Southwestern") in Dayton, Ohio, Cincinnati-Vine Street, Ohio, Cincinnati-Northland Blvd, Ohio, Franklin, Ohio and Florence, Kentucky for approximately \$14.5 million, net of cash acquired. Included in this purchase price is certain real estate which was subsequently acquired from Southwestern for \$0.7 million. The post acquisition consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of the Southwestern College of Business, Inc. from the acquisition date. The purchase price was allocated to Southwestern's identifiable net assets with the excess, if any, of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired recorded as goodwill. Intangible assets acquired, included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, include student contracts of \$0.3 million which are being amortized over a one year period from the date of acquisition and trade name of \$0.3 million which is not subject to amortization. Intangible asset amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$0.3 million. Goodwill of approximately \$12.8 million, which is deductible for tax purposes, is

also not subject to amortization. The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at January 23, 2004, the date of acquisition.

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Property, equipment and facilities | \$ 890 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | 13,436 |
| Current assets, excluding cash acquired | 267 |
| Current liabilities | (95) |
| | <hr/> |
| Cost of acquisition, net of cash acquired | \$ 14,498 |
| | <hr/> |

The following unaudited pro forma results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004 assume that the acquisition of Southwestern occurred at the beginning of the year preceding the year of acquisition. The unaudited pro forma results of operations are based on historical results of operations, include adjustments for depreciation, amortization, interest, and taxes, and do not necessarily reflect the actual results that would have occurred.

| | December 31, | December 31, |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 |
| | (unaudited) | |
| Pro forma revenues | \$ 204,712 | \$ 261,279 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 8,349 | \$ 12,833 |

On October 20, 2004, New England Acquisition, LLC, a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of LESC, executed an asset purchase agreement to acquire the rights, title and interests in the assets used in the conduct and operation of New England Technical Institute ("NETI") for a purchase price of approximately \$20.0 million. NETI operates four schools in New Britain, Hamden, Shelton and Cromwell, Connecticut. This transaction closed on January 11, 2005. See also Note 20.

6. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company accounts for its intangible assets in accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The Company reviews intangible assets with an identifiable useful life for impairment, when indicators of impairment exist, as defined by SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." Annually, or more frequently if necessary, the Company evaluates goodwill for impairment, with any resulting impairment reflected as an operating expense.

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Goodwill balance as of December 31, 2002 | \$ 16,182 |
| Goodwill acquired pursuant to business acquisitions | 3,769 |
| | <hr/> |
| Goodwill balance as of December 31, 2003 | \$ 19,951 |
| | <hr/> |
| Goodwill acquired pursuant to business acquisitions | 12,826 |
| Purchase accounting adjustments | 25 |
| | <hr/> |
| Goodwill balance as of December 31, 2004 | \$ 32,802 |
| | <hr/> |

Amortization of intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004 was approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Intangible assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | At December 31, 2003 | | At December 31, 2004 | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Weighted Average Amortization Period (Years) |
| Student Contracts | \$ 670 | \$ 558 | \$ 950 | \$ 928 | 1 |
| Trade name | 480 | — | 810 | — | Indefinite |
| Non-compete | — | — | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | \$ 1,150 | \$ 558 | \$ 1,761 | \$ 929 | N/A |

7. PROPERTY, EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES

A summary of property, equipment and facilities is as follows:

| | As of December 31, | | Useful Life (Years) |
|--|--------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 | |
| Land | \$ 4,395 | \$ 4,213 | — |
| Buildings and improvements | 40,127 | 57,407 | 3–25 |
| Equipment, furniture and fixtures | 32,410 | 37,260 | 3–7 |
| Vehicles | 1,569 | 1,738 | 3–7 |
| Construction in progress | 3,285 | 1,976 | |
| | 81,786 | 102,594 | |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (39,751) | (47,445) | |
| | \$ 42,035 | \$ 55,149 | |

Included above in equipment, furniture and fixtures are assets acquired under capital leases as of December 31, 2003 and, 2004 of \$7.2 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, net of accumulated depreciation of \$4.7 million and \$5.3 million, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization expense of property, equipment and facilities was \$7.2 million, \$9.3 million and \$10.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

8. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

| | As of December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | \$ 8,956 | \$ 9,750 |
| Other accrued expenses | 2,814 | 2,397 |
| | <u>\$ 11,770</u> | <u>\$ 12,147</u> |

9. LONG-TERM DEBT AND LEASE OBLIGATIONS

Long-term debt and lease obligations consist of the following:

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 |
| Credit Facility | \$ 32,000 | \$ 35,750 |
| Finance obligation | 9,503 | 9,672 |
| Automobile loans | 132 | 113 |
| Capital leases—computers (with rates ranging from 6.9% to 19.9%) | 1,425 | 1,294 |
| | <u>43,060</u> | <u>46,829</u> |
| Less current maturities | (3,624) | (5,311) |
| | <u>\$ 39,436</u> | <u>\$ 41,518</u> |

Scheduled maturities of long-term debt outstanding at December 31, 2004, are as follows:

| Year Ending December 31, | Credit Facility | Lease Obligations and Auto Loans | Total |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 2005 | \$ 5,000 | \$ 311 | \$ 5,311 |
| 2006 | — | 283 | 283 |
| 2007 | — | 210 | 210 |
| 2008 | — | 207 | 207 |
| 2009 | — | 133 | 133 |
| Thereafter | 30,750 | 9,935 | 40,685 |
| | <u>\$ 35,750</u> | <u>\$ 11,079</u> | <u>\$ 46,829</u> |

Effective February 11, 2003, the Company entered into a new Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), which completely refinanced the previous debt agreement. The Credit Agreement is a \$25.0 million revolving facility. The term of the credit agreement is three years and outstanding borrowings bear interest at the rate of adjusted LIBOR plus 3.25% or a base rate (as defined in the credit agreement) plus 1.5%. At December 31, 2004, the interest rate on the amounts outstanding ranged from 5.7% to 6.75%. In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the credit agreement, Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., its subsidiaries and Southwestern are required to pay a commitment fee to the lender with respect to the unused amounts available under the credit agreement.

at a rate equal to 0.5% per year. Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., its subsidiaries and Southwestern are charged quarterly interest at an annual rate of 3.25% on the outstanding balance of letters of credit issued under the agreement. At December 31, 2004, the Company had outstanding letters of credit aggregating \$4.0 million.

The credit agreement requires that the Company maintain certain financial covenants, as defined in the credit agreement, including a minimum quarterly EBITDA (as defined in the credit agreement), maximum capital expenditures and maximum debt leverage ratios. The obligations of Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., its subsidiaries and Southwestern under the credit agreement are secured by a first priority lien upon substantially all of the assets that Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., its subsidiaries and Southwestern own and any assets that Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., its subsidiaries and Southwestern may acquire in the future, including a pledge of substantially all of its, its subsidiaries' and Southwestern's common stock.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants contained in the credit agreement.

In December 2003, the revolving credit facility was increased to \$40.0 million. In January 2004 it was decreased to \$35.0 million until it expires in February 2006. On December 28, 2004, the credit agreement was amended to permit the acquisition of NETI and to increase the aggregate permitted borrowings under the agreement to \$40.0 million through January 15, 2005, at which time it reverted back to \$35.0 million. On February 15, 2005, the Company replaced its Credit Agreement. See Note 20.

The Company completed a sale and leaseback of several facilities on December 28, 2001, as discussed further in Note 12. The Company retained a continuing involvement in the lease, as defined in SFAS No. 98, *Accounting for Leases, Sales-Leaseback Transactions Involving Real Estate, Definition of the Lease Term, and Initial Direct Costs of Direct Financing Leases*, and as a result it is prohibited from utilizing sale-leaseback accounting. Accordingly, the Company has treated this transaction as a finance lease. Rent payments under this obligation were \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, respectively. These payments have been reflected in the accompanying consolidated income statement as interest expense for all periods presented since the effective interest rate on the obligation is higher than the scheduled payments.

10. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

In April 2001, the Company instituted a 100:1 stock split resulting in 50,000,000 shares authorized. All share and per share amounts have been adjusted to give retroactive effect to this stock split.

On July 30, 2001, three senior members of management and a member of the Board of Directors purchased an aggregate of 222,448 shares for cash proceeds of \$0.7 million. Also in 2001, an existing shareholder purchased an additional 403,600 shares for cash proceeds of approximately \$1.2 million.

During 2002, an aggregate of 147,563 shares were purchased by certain officers and directors. In connection with the purchase of these shares, the Company received promissory notes for approximately \$0.4 million, payable in 10 years. Interest is payable annually at an annual interest rate

of 5.6%. These notes have been reflected as a reduction in stockholders' equity. During 2004, approximately \$0.3 million of these notes were repaid.

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted the Lincoln Technical Institute Management Stock Option Plan (the "Plan") for key employees, consultants and nonemployee directors. The name of the Plan was changed to the LESC Management Stock Option Plan in 2003. There are reserved for issue, upon exercise of options granted under the Plan, no more than 2,087,835 shares of the authorized common shares. The term of each option granted is ten years. The options awarded to each key employee are evenly divided between service options, which vest annually from the date of grant, and performance options, which vest according to annual targets. The vesting of the options varies depending on date of hire. For all key employees, or non-employee directors who were with the Company prior to February 1, 2001, 20% of their service options were granted as of the effective date with 20% vesting annually thereafter. For their performance options, 25% vest each year beginning April 15, 2003, subject to the Company achieving certain financial goals. For all key employees, or non-employee directors who were hired after February 1, 2001, 20% of their service options vest on the anniversary of their hire date. Similarly, 20% of their performance options vest on each April 15 after the date of hire subject to achieving certain financial goals and vest in full after five years. The exercise price of the options is equal to the estimated fair value of the shares at the date of grant, which is determined by the board of directors as long as the Company is a privately-held entity.

As discussed in Note 2, the Company changed its method of calculating the fair value of its stock options. The Company utilized the minimum value method in 2002 and volatility assumptions commencing in 2003. The Company utilizes a market-based approach to determine the fair market value of stock options granted. The fair value of stock options granted during 2004 was determined using the Company's expected valuation in the public market based upon peer company comparisons of market multiples and based upon consultation with the Company's advisors. All options granted during 2004 were granted and valued contemporaneously based upon the Company's anticipated initial public offering price. The fair value of the stock options used to compute stock-based compensation is the estimated present value at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions.

| | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Expected volatility | 0% | 66.9–82.0% | 59.79–80.35% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Expected life (term) | 3–7 Years | 3–8.5 Years | 4–8.5 Years |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.02–5.68% | 1.89–5.85% | 2.45–4.27% |
| Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year | \$ 3.10 | \$ 11.94 | \$ 23.88 |

The following is a summary of transactions pertaining to the option plans. Outstanding options at December 31, 2000 below are options provided to a board director in connection with the Company's acquisition:

| | Weighted-Average | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Shares | Exercise Price |
| Outstanding December 31, 2000 | 161,500 | \$ 1.55 |
| Granted | — | — |
| Outstanding December 31, 2001 | 161,500 | 1.55 |
| Granted | 1,922,420 | 3.10 |
| Cancelled | (388,200) | 3.10 |
| Outstanding December 31, 2002 | 1,695,720 | 2.95 |
| Granted | 547,375 | 11.98 |
| Cancelled | (87,500) | 3.10 |
| Outstanding December 31, 2003 | 2,155,595 | 5.22 |
| Granted | 128,500 | 23.88 |
| Cancelled | (230,425) | 9.49 |
| Exercised | (31,175) | 3.10 |
| Outstanding December 31, 2004 | 2,022,495 | \$ 5.92 |

As of December 31, 2004

| Range of Exercise Prices | Stock Options Outstanding | | | Stock Options Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Shares | Contractual Weighted Average life (years) | Weighted Average Price | Shares | Weighted Exercise Price |
| \$1.55 | 161,500 | 4.47 | \$ 1.55 | 161,500 | \$ 1.55 |
| \$3.10 | 1,364,120 | 7.04 | 3.10 | 726,082 | 3.10 |
| \$4.00–\$10.00 | 93,000 | 8.36 | 6.07 | 18,600 | 6.07 |
| \$14.00 | 275,375 | 8.85 | 14.00 | 68,680 | 14.00 |
| \$20.00–\$25.00 | 128,500 | 9.28 | 23.88 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 2,022,495 | 7.28 | \$ 5.92 | 974,862 | \$ 3.67 |

11. PENSION PLAN

The Company sponsors a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all of the Company's union employees. Benefits are provided based on employees' years of service and earnings. This plan was frozen on December 31, 1994 for non-union employees.

The following table sets forth the plan's funded status and amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements as of December 31:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| CHANGES IN BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS: | | | |
| Benefit obligation—beginning of year | \$ 9,625 | \$ 10,912 | \$ 11,966 |
| Service cost | 108 | 115 | 108 |
| Interest cost | 700 | 721 | 716 |
| Assumption changes | 1,246 | — | — |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | (450) | 686 | 683 |
| Benefits paid | (317) | (468) | (418) |
| | <u>10,912</u> | <u>11,966</u> | <u>13,055</u> |
| CHANGE IN PLAN ASSETS: | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets—beginning of year | \$ 9,225 | \$ 11,065 | \$ 13,231 |
| Actual return on plan assets | (624) | 2,220 | 1,258 |
| Employer contribution | 2,802 | 414 | — |
| Benefits paid, including expenses | (338) | (468) | (418) |
| | <u>11,065</u> | <u>13,231</u> | <u>14,071</u> |
| Fair value in excess of benefit obligation | 153 | 1,265 | 1,016 |
| FUNDED STATUS: | | | |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | 4,511 | 3,503 | 3,805 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Unrecognized transition asset | (9) | (6) | (3) |
| | <u>4,659</u> | <u>4,765</u> | <u>4,820</u> |
| Prepaid pension cost | \$ 4,659 | \$ 4,765 | \$ 4,820 |
| COMPONENTS OF NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST (INCOME): | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 108 | \$ 115 | \$ 108 |
| Interest cost | 700 | 721 | 716 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (827) | (938) | (1,105) |
| Amortization of transition asset | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 97 | 413 | 228 |
| | <u>97</u> | <u>413</u> | <u>228</u> |
| Net periodic benefit cost (income) | \$ 76 | \$ 309 | \$ (55) |

Fair value of total plan assets by major asset category:

| | As of December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 7.8% | 1.0% | — |
| Domestic equities | 41.8% | 45.0% | 48.0% |
| International equities | 9.3% | 10.0% | 11.0% |
| Domestic fixed income | 41.1% | 38.0% | 35.0% |
| International fixed income | 0.0% | 6.0% | 6.0% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations as of December 31:

| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount Rate | 6.75% | 6.10% | 5.75% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.00% | 4.00% | 4.00% |

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension cost for years ended December 31:

| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount Rate | 7.75% | 6.75% | 6.10% |
| Expected return on plan assets | 8.50% | 8.50% | 8.50% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.00% | 4.00% | 4.00% |

As this plan was frozen to non-union employees on December 31, 1994, the difference between the benefit obligation and accumulated benefit obligation is not significant in any year.

The Company invests plan assets based on a total return on investment approach, pursuant to which the plan assets include a diversified blend of equity and fixed income investments toward a goal of maximizing the long-term rate of return without assuming an unreasonable level of investment risk. The Company determines the level of risk based on an analysis of plan liabilities, the extent to which the value of the plan assets satisfies the plan liabilities and the plan's financial condition. The investment policy includes target allocations ranging from 30% to 70% for equity investments, 20% to 60% for fixed income investments and 0% to 10% for cash equivalents. The equity portion of the plan assets represents growth and value stocks of small, medium and large companies. The Company measures and monitors the investment risk of the plan assets both on a quarterly basis and annually when the Company assesses plan liabilities.

The Company uses a building block approach to estimate the long-term rate of return on plan assets. This approach is based on the capital market principle that the greater the volatility, the greater the return over the long term. An analysis of the historical performance of equity and fixed income investments, together with current market factors such as the inflation and interest rates, are used to help make the assumptions necessary to estimate a long-term rate of return on plan assets. Once this

estimate is made, the Company reviews the portfolio of plan assets and makes adjustments thereto that the Company believes are necessary to reflect a diversified blend of equity and fixed income investments that is capable of achieving the estimated long-term rate of return without assuming an unreasonable level of investment risk. The Company also compares the portfolio of plan assets to those of other pension plans to help assess the suitability and appropriateness of the plan's investments.

While the Company does not expect to make any contributions to the plan in the next fiscal year, after considering the funded status of the plan, movements in the discount rate, investment performance and related tax consequences, the Company may choose to make contributions to the plan in any given year.

Information about the expected benefit payments for the plan is as follows:

| | Expected benefit payments: |
|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 2005 | \$ 342 |
| 2006 | 510 |
| 2007 | 744 |
| 2008 | 515 |
| 2009 | 1,154 |
| 2010-2014 | 2,757 |

Effective January 1, 1995, the Company established a 401(k) salary reduction plan for all eligible employees. Employees may contribute up to 15% of their compensation into the plan. The Company will contribute an additional 30% of the employee's contributed amount on the first 6% of compensation. For the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, the Company's expense for the 401(k) plan amounted to \$0.3 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Lease Commitments—The Company leases office premises, educational facilities and various equipment for varying periods through the year 2020 at basic annual rentals (excluding taxes, insurance, and other expenses under certain leases) as follows:

| Year Ending December 31, | Finance Obligations | Operating Leases | Capital Leases |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 2005 | \$ 1,258 | \$ 12,095 | \$ 372 |
| 2006 | 1,258 | 11,784 | 320 |
| 2007 | 1,258 | 11,478 | 236 |
| 2008 | 1,258 | 10,943 | 236 |
| 2009 | 1,258 | 9,393 | 164 |
| Thereafter | 8,915 | 47,445 | 275 |
| | 15,205 | 103,138 | 1,603 |
| Less amount representing interest | (15,205) | — | (309) |
| | \$ — | \$ 103,138 | \$ 1,294 |

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

On December 28, 2001, the Company completed a sale and leaseback of four owned facilities to a third party for net proceeds of approximately \$8.8 million. The initial term of the lease is 15 years with two ten-year extensions. The lease is an operating lease that starts at \$1.2 million in the first year and increases annually by the consumer price index. The lease includes an option near the end of the initial lease term to purchase the facilities at fair value, as defined. In connection with this transaction, \$9.0 million of cash received was required to be maintained as collateral security under the previous loan agreement and was reflected as restricted cash. Upon entering into the Credit Agreement on February 11, 2003, these restrictions were effectively eliminated. This transaction is being accounted for as a financing. The net proceeds received have been reflected in the consolidated balance sheet as a finance obligation. The lease payments are included as a component of interest expense.

Rent expense, included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, is \$11.5 million, \$11.8 million and \$15.2 million, respectively. Interest expense related to the financing obligation in the accompanying financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 is \$1.2 million.

Litigation and Regulatory Matters—The Company has been named as a defendant in actions resulting from the normal course of operations. Based, in part, on the opinion of counsel, management believes that the resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Letters of Credit—The Company has available \$9.0 million of letters of credit under the Credit Agreement. There are outstanding letters of credit relative to bonding and building rental agreements in the amount of \$2.6 million, \$5.8 million and \$4.0 million at December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

13. INCOME TAXES

Provision (benefit) for income taxes includes the following:

| | December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Currently payable: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 39 | \$ 3,401 | \$ 7,774 |
| State | 391 | 669 | 1,797 |
| Total | 430 | 4,070 | 9,571 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (337) | 859 | (329) |
| State | (194) | 426 | — |
| Total | (531) | 1,285 | (329) |
| Total provision (benefit) | \$ (101) | \$ 5,355 | \$ 9,242 |

The components of the deferred tax assets are as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 |
| Deferred tax assets | | |
| Current: | | |
| Vacation | \$ 291 | \$ 350 |
| Allowance for bad debts | 2,160 | 2,809 |
| Accrued student fees | 898 | 706 |
| Accrued legal fees | 21 | 22 |
| Accrued bonus | 1,412 | — |
| Medical claims | 543 | 594 |
| Other | 29 | 28 |
| Total current deferred tax assets | 5,354 | 4,509 |
| Noncurrent: | | |
| Accrued rent | 396 | 1,042 |
| Stock-based compensation | 316 | 813 |
| Depreciation | 951 | 1,783 |
| Other intangibles | 195 | 297 |
| Sale leaseback—deferred gain | 1,497 | 1,627 |
| Total noncurrent deferred tax assets | 3,355 | 5,562 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 8,709 | 10,071 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | |
| Noncurrent: | | |
| Other | — | (24) |
| Goodwill | (453) | (1,444) |
| Prepaid pension cost | (1,910) | (1,928) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (2,363) | (3,396) |
| Total net noncurrent deferred tax assets | 992 | 2,166 |
| Total net deferred tax assets | \$ 6,346 | \$ 6,675 |

As of December 31, 2003, the Company had utilized all available net operating loss carry forwards.

The difference between the actual tax provision (benefit) and the tax provision (benefit) that would result from the use of the Federal statutory rate is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | 2002 | | 2003 | | 2004 | |
| Income (loss) before taxes | \$ (775) | 100.0 % | \$ 13,574 | 100.0 % | \$ 22,220 | 100.0 % |
| Expected tax (benefit) | \$ (264) | (34.0)% | \$ 4,615 | 34.0 % | \$ 7,777 | 35.0 % |
| Other nondeductible items | — | — | 161 | 1.2 | 297 | 1.3 |
| Other | (47) | (6.1) | (144) | (1.0) | — | — |
| State tax expense (net of Federal benefit) | 210 | 27.1 | 723 | 5.3 | 1,168 | 5.3 |
| Total | \$ (101) | (13.0)% | \$ 5,355 | 39.5% | \$ 9,242 | 41.6% |

14. SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company follows SFAS No. 131, "*Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*." SFAS 131 establishes standards for the way that public business enterprises report certain information about operating segments in their financial reports. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated on a regular basis by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in assessing performance of the segment and in deciding how to allocate resources to an individual segment. SFAS No. 131 also established standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas and major customers.

The Company's principal business is providing post-secondary education. Accordingly, the Company's operations aggregate into one reporting segment.

15. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company had a consulting agreement with Hart Capital LLC, which was terminated by its terms in June, 2004, to advise the Company in identifying acquisition and merger targets and assisting with the due diligence reviews of and negotiations with these targets. Hart Capital LLC is the managing member of Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC, which is the second largest stockholder of the Company. Steven Hart, the owner and President of Hart Capital LLC, sits on the Company's board of directors. The Company paid Hart Capital LLC a monthly retainer, reimbursement of expenses and an advisory fee for its work on successful acquisitions or mergers. In accordance with the agreement, the Company paid Hart Capital LLC approximately \$0.7 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company may have future obligations to make certain payments to Hart Capital with respect to acquisition opportunities identified for the Company by Hart Capital prior to the termination of the agreement, if the Company consummates any such acquisition opportunities within the twelve months following the termination of the agreement. Accordingly, in connection with the consummation of the NETI acquisition, which closed on January 11, 2005, the Company paid Hart Capital \$0.3 million for its services.

In 2003, the Company entered into a management service agreement with its the majority stockholder, Stonington Partners. In accordance with this agreement, the Company pays Stonington Partners a management fee of \$0.75 million per year for management consulting and financial and business advisory services. Such services include valuing acquisitions and structuring their financing and assisting with new loan agreements. This agreement will terminate by its terms upon the Company's completion of an initial public offering.

As discussed in Note 10, during 2002, the Company advanced certain members of senior management approximately \$0.4 million in the aggregate in connection with their purchase of Company stock. These notes have been reflected as a reduction in stockholders' equity. During 2004, approximately \$0.2 million of these loans were repaid.

16. SALE/DISPOSAL OF ASSETS

During 2002, the Company sold certain assets and property at the Oak Lawn, Illinois school for net proceeds of approximately \$1.3 million and recognized a gain on this sale of approximately \$1.0 million. In connection with the sale, the Company recorded another current asset of approximately \$0.3 million representing a reimbursement due from the State of Illinois for environmental remediation costs incurred. This reimbursement was received in 2003.

During 2002, the Company sold certain assets for net proceeds of \$0.2 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$0.1 million.

During 2004, the Company vacated its facility in Indianapolis resulting in a loss on the disposal of assets of approximately \$0.3 million. The Company is currently seeking to sell the property and has reclassified the assets to assets held for sale.

17. UNAUDITED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Quarterly financial information for 2003 and 2004 is as follows (in thousands except per share data):

| 2003 | Quarter | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| Net revenues | \$ 41,246 | \$ 43,751 | \$ 53,711 | \$ 60,173 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 883 | (1,452) | 6,540 | 10,017 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | 43 | (1,082) | 3,499 | 5,759 |
| Income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.27 |
| Diluted | 0.00 | (0.05) | 0.16 | 0.26 |

| 2004 | Quarter | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| Net revenues | \$ 59,574 | \$ 59,206 | \$ 69,196 | \$ 73,299 |
| Income from operations | 3,632 | 2,119 | 8,293 | 11,079 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | 1,618 | 860 | 4,393 | 6,107 |
| Income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.28 |
| Diluted | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.27 |

18. ACCOUNTING FOR LEASES

In connection with the recent clarification by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") of existing accounting literature related to lease accounting, the Company has completed a review of its lease accounting policies. As a result of that review, it is correcting its lease accounting practices for certain matters relating to lease accounting, as described below.

As a result, the Company has recorded a one-time, non-cash rent charge of \$0.7 million (\$0.4 million net of tax, related to prior years) in its fourth quarter of fiscal 2004 related to the timing of rent expense for its schools during the period of construction of leasehold improvements as well as to align the depreciation lives of certain of its leasehold improvements to the noncancellable lease terms, including renewal options. Previously, the Company did not report rent expense until the lease commencement date. The Company will record rent expense when the landlord allows it to begin construction of the leasehold improvements, which is typically three to four months before the lease commencement date.

The Company's financial statements for prior periods have not been restated since the amount, \$0.4 million, net of tax, related to prior years was deemed to be immaterial to its results of operations and statements of financial position and cash flows for the current year or any individual prior year. This correction does not impact historical or future cash flows or timing of payments under related leases.

19. INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING

On September 30, 2004, the Company wrote-off \$2.1 million of deferred offering costs as a result of a delay in filing the Company's registration statement. The Company is presently preparing an initial public offering of its common stock. Completion of such offering cannot be assured.

20. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

The Company has negotiated a new credit agreement with a syndicate of banks led by its existing lender. Under the terms of the agreement, which closed on February 15, 2005, the syndicate has committed to provide the Company with a \$100 million credit facility with a term of five years. The credit agreement permits the issuance of letters of credit, up to \$20 million, the amount of which reduces the availability of permitted borrowings under the agreement.

The obligations of the Company and its subsidiaries under the credit agreement are secured by a lien on substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries and any assets that it or its subsidiaries may acquire in the future, including a pledge of substantially all of its and its subsidiaries' common stock. Outstanding borrowings bear interest at the rate of adjusted LIBOR plus 1.0% to 1.75%, as defined or a base rate (as defined in the credit agreement). In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the credit agreement, the Company and its subsidiaries are required to pay a commitment fee to the lender with respect to the unused amounts available under the credit agreement at a rate equal to 0.25% to .40% per year, as defined.

On January 11, 2005, the Company acquired the rights, title and interests in the assets used in the conduct and operations of NETI for a purchase price of approximately \$20 million. The Company has not yet finalized the allocation of the purchase price to the fair value of the net assets acquired. On a preliminary basis, however, they have allocated \$1.3 million to a non-compete agreement and \$0.4 million to the value of student contracts.

* * * * *

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION
Schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts
(in thousands)

| Description | Balance at Beginning of Period | Charged to Expense | Deductions | Balance at End of Period |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Allowance accounts for the year ended: | | | | |
| December 31, 2002 | | | | |
| Allowance for accounts receivable | \$1,828 | 5,696 | 4,146 | \$3,378 |
| December 31, 2003 | | | | |
| Allowance for accounts receivable | \$3,378 | 7,415 | 5,324 | \$5,469 |
| December 31, 2004 | | | | |
| Allowance for accounts receivable | \$5,469 | 9,247 | 7,693 | \$7,023 |

Until , 2005 (25 days after the commencement of the offering), all dealers effecting transactions in these securities, whether or not participating in this offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to the dealer's obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as an underwriter and with respect to unsold allotments or subscriptions.

4,000,000 Shares



Lincoln Educational Services Corporation

Common Stock

—
PROSPECTUS
—

**Merrill Lynch & Co.
Banc of America Securities LLC
Lehman Brothers
Harris Nesbitt
Jefferies & Company, Inc.
Robert W. Baird & Co.**

, 2005

PART II

INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN THE PROSPECTUS

Item 13. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution

The following are the estimated expenses to be incurred in connection with the issuance and distribution of the securities registered under this Registration Statement, other than underwriting discounts and commissions. All amounts shown are estimates except the Securities and Exchange Commission registration fee and the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. filing fee. The following expenses will be borne solely by the Registrant.

| | | |
|---|----|-----------|
| SEC Registration Fee | \$ | 16,919 |
| National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Filing Fee | | 14,875 |
| Nasdaq Listing Fee | | 100,000 |
| Printing and Engraving Expenses | | 475,000 |
| Legal Fees and Expenses | | 1,050,000 |
| Accounting Fees and Expenses | | 500,000 |
| Transfer Agent and Registrar Fees and Expenses | | 2,000 |
| Miscellaneous | | 241,206 |
| | | <hr/> |
| Total | | 2,400,000 |
| | | <hr/> |

Item 14. Indemnification of Directors and Officers

The New Jersey Business Corporation Act permits or requires indemnification of officers and directors in the event that certain statutory standards of conduct are met.

We expect that our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, which we expect to adopt prior to the completion of this offering, will provide as follows:

The Corporation shall indemnify any and all persons who may serve or who have served at any time as directors or officers of the corporation, or who, at the request of the Board of Directors of the corporation may serve or at any time have served as directors or officers of another corporation in which the corporation at such time owned or may own shares of stock or of which it was or may be a creditor, and their respective heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, against any and all expenses, including amounts paid upon judgments, counsel fees, fines and amounts paid in settlement, actually and necessarily incurred by such persons in connection with the defense or settlement of any pending or threatened action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, in which they, or any of them, are made parties, or a party, or which may be asserted against them or any of them, by reason of being or having been directors or officers or a director or officer of the corporation, or of such other corporation, except if a judgment or other final adjudication adverse to the corporate agent establishes that his acts or omissions (a) were in breach of his duty of loyalty to the corporation or its shareholders (as defined in N.J.S.A. 14A:2-7(3)), (b) were not in good faith or involved a knowing violation of law, or (c) resulted in the receipt by the corporate agent of an improper personal benefit. Such indemnification shall be in addition to any other rights to which those indemnified may be entitled under any law, bylaw, agreement, vote of stockholders, or otherwise.

We expect that our amended and restated bylaws, which we expect to adopt prior to the completion of this offering, will provide as follows:

The Corporation shall indemnify any and all persons who may serve or who have served at any time as directors or officers of the corporation, or who, at the request of the Board of Directors of the corporation, may serve or at any time have served as directors or officers of another corporation in which the corporation at such time owned or may own shares of stock or of

which it was or may be a creditor, and their respective heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, against any and all expenses, including amounts paid upon judgments, counsel fees, fines and amounts paid in settlement, actually and necessarily incurred by such persons in connection with the defense or settlement of any pending or threatened action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, in which they, or any of them, are made parties, or a party, or which may be asserted against them or any of them, by reason of being or having been directors or officers or a director or officer of the corporation, or of such other corporation, except if a judgment or other final adjudication adverse to the corporate agent establishes that his acts or omissions (a) were in breach of his duty of loyalty to the corporation or its shareholders (as defined in N.J.S.A. 14A:2-7(3)), (b) were not in good faith or involved a knowing violation of law, or (c) resulted in the receipt by the corporate agent of an improper personal benefit. Such indemnification shall be in addition to any other rights to which those indemnified may be entitled under any law, bylaw, agreement, vote of stockholders, or otherwise.

See Item 17 for our undertaking to submit to adjudication for violation of these security laws.

Item 15. Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the past three years, we have issued unregistered securities to a limited number of persons, as described below. None of these transactions involved any underwriters or any public offerings and we believe that each of these transactions was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 3(a)(9) or Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, Regulation D promulgated thereunder or Rule 701 of the Securities Act of 1933 pursuant to compensatory benefit plans and contracts related to compensation as provided under Rule 701. The recipients of the securities in these transactions represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof, and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and instruments issued in these transactions.

On July 30, 2001, three senior members of management and a member of the Board of Directors purchased 222,448 shares from the Company for cash proceeds of \$689,588.

During 2002, 147,563 shares were purchased by certain officers and directors. In connection with the purchase of these shares, the Company received promissory notes for approximately \$432,000, payable in 10 years. Interest is payable annually at an annual interest rate of 5.6%. These notes have been reflected as a reduction in shareholder's equity. As of March 24, 2005, the promissory notes were repaid in full.

Item 16. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) *Exhibits.*

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|--|
| **1.1 | Form of Underwriting Agreement. |
| **3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant and amendments thereto. |
| **3.2 | Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant (to become effective upon completion of the offering). |
| **3.3 | Bylaws of registrant. |
| **3.4 | Form of Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant (to become effective upon completion of the offering). |
| *4.1 | Specimen Certificate evidencing shares of common stock. |

- **4.2 Stockholders' Agreement, dated as of September 15, 1999, among Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., and Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC.
- **4.3 Letter agreement, dated August 9, 2000, by Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., amending the Stockholders' Agreement.
- **4.4 Letter agreement, dated August 9, 2000, by Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., amending the Stockholders' Agreement.
- **4.5 Stockholders' Agreement, dated as of June 21, 1999, among Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., P.J. Santangelo and James Santangelo.
- **4.6 Management Stockholders Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2002, by and among Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C. and the Stockholders and other holders of options under the Management Stock Option Plan listed therein.
- **4.7 Form of Registration Rights Agreement between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C.
- *5.1 Form of Opinion of Lindabury, McCormick & Estabrook, P.A. regarding legality of common stock.
- **10.1 Credit Agreement, dated as of February 15, 2005, among Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, the Guarantors from time to time parties thereto, the Lenders from time to time parties thereto and Harris Trust and Savings Bank, as Administrative Agent.
- **10.2 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 3, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and David F. Carney.
- **10.3 Amended Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and David F. Carney.
- **10.4 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 3, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Lawrence E. Brown.
- **10.5 Amended Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Lawrence E. Brown.
- **10.6 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 3, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Scott M. Shaw.
- **10.7 Amended Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Scott M. Shaw.
- **10.8 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 3, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Cesar Ribeiro.
- **10.9 Amended Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Cesar Ribeiro.
- **10.10 Lincoln Educational Services Corporation 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan.
- **10.11 Lincoln Educational Services Corporation 2005 Non Employee Directors Restricted Stock Plan.
- **10.12 Lincoln Educational Services Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan.
- *10.13 Form of Stockholders Agreement among Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, Steven W. Hart and Steven W. Hart 2003 Grantor Retained Annuity Trust.
- *10.14 Lincoln Technical Institute Management Stock Option Plan, effective January 1, 2002.

- **10.15 Form of Stock Option Agreement, dated January 1, 2002, between Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc. and certain participants.
- **10.16 Management Stock Subscription Agreement, dated January 1, 2002, among Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc. and certain management investors.
- **21.1 Subsidiaries of Registrant.
- *23.1 Consent of Lindabury, McCormick & Estabrook, P.A. (included in Exhibit 5.1).
- *23.2 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.
- **24.1 Power of Attorney.
- **24.2 Power of Attorney of John Petillo.

* Filed herewith.

** Previously filed.

(b) *Financial Statement Schedules*.

All schedules for which a provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and are required under the related instructions are as follows:

1. Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, Schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts.

Item 17. Undertakings

(a) Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the Registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the Registrant has been informed that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the Registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer, or controlling person of the Registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer, or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the Registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

(b) The undersigned Registrant undertakes that:

- i. For purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, the information omitted from the form of prospectus filed as part of this Registration Statement in reliance upon Rule 430A and contained in the form of prospectus filed by the Registrant pursuant to Rule 424(b)(1) or (4) or 497(h) under the Securities Act shall be deemed to be part of this Registration Statement as of the time it was declared effective; and

- ii. For the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each post-effective amendment that contains a form of prospectus shall be deemed to be a new Registration Statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial *bona fide* offering thereof.

(c) The undersigned Registrant undertakes to provide to the underwriters at the closing specified in the underwriting agreement, certificates in such denominations and registered in such names as required by the underwriters to permit prompt delivery to each purchaser.

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following exhibits are filed with this Registration Statement.

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|--|
| **1.1 | Form of Underwriting Agreement. |
| **3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant and amendments thereto. |
| **3.2 | Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant (to become effective upon completion of the offering). |
| **3.3 | Bylaws of registrant. |
| **3.4 | Form of Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant (to become effective upon completion of the offering). |
| *4.1 | Specimen Certificate evidencing shares of common stock. |
| **4.2 | Stockholders' Agreement, dated as of September 15, 1999, among Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., and Five Mile River Capital Partners LLC. |
| **4.3 | Letter agreement, dated August 9, 2000, by Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., amending the Stockholders' Agreement. |
| **4.4 | Letter agreement, dated August 9, 2000, by Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., amending the Stockholders' Agreement. |
| **4.5 | Stockholders' Agreement, dated as of June 21, 1999, among Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C., P.J. Santangelo and James Santangelo. |
| **4.6 | Management Stockholders Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2002, by and among Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C. and the Stockholders and other holders of options under the Management Stock Option Plan listed therein. |
| **4.7 | Form of Registration Rights Agreement between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Back to School Acquisition, L.L.C. |
| *5.1 | Form of Opinion of Lindabury, McCormick & Estabrook, P.A. regarding legality of common stock. |
| **10.1 | Credit Agreement, dated as of February 15, 2005, among Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, the Guarantors from time to time parties thereto, the Lenders from time to time parties thereto and Harris Trust and Savings Bank, as Administrative Agent. |
| **10.2 | Employment Agreement, dated as of January 3, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and David F. Carney. |
| **10.3 | Amended Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and David F. Carney. |
| **10.4 | Employment Agreement, dated as of January 3, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Lawrence E. Brown. |
| **10.5 | Amended Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Lawrence E. Brown. |
| **10.6 | Employment Agreement, dated as of January 3, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Scott M. Shaw. |
| **10.7 | Amended Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Scott M. Shaw. |

- **10.8 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 3, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Cesar Ribeiro.
- **10.9 Amended Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2005, between Lincoln Educational Services Corporation and Cesar Ribeiro.
- **10.10 Lincoln Educational Services Corporation 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan.
- **10.11 Lincoln Educational Services Corporation 2005 Non Employee Directors Restricted Stock Plan.
- **10.12 Lincoln Educational Services Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan.
- *10.13 Form of Stockholder's Agreement among Lincoln Educational Services, Steven W. Hart and Steven W. Hart 2003 Grantor Retained Annuity Trust.
- *10.14 Lincoln Technical Institute Management Stock Option Plan, effective January 1, 2002.
- **10.15 Form of Stock Option Agreement, dated January 1, 2002, between Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc. and certain participants.
- **10.16 Management Stock Subscription Agreement, dated January 1, 2002, among Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc. and certain management investors.
- **21.1 Subsidiaries of Registrant.
- *23.1 Consent of Lindabury, McCormick & Estabrook, P.A. (included in Exhibit 5.1).
- *23.2 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.
- **24.1 Power of Attorney.
- **24.2 Power of Attorney of John Petillo.

* Filed herewith.

** Previously filed.

[QuickLinks](#)

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[SUMMARY](#)

[Lincoln Educational Services Corporation](#)

[The Offering](#)

[Summary Historical and Pro Forma Financial and Other Data](#)

[RISK FACTORS](#)

[FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS](#)

[USE OF PROCEEDS](#)

[DIVIDEND POLICY](#)

[CAPITALIZATION](#)

[DILUTION](#)

[UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION](#)

[SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION](#)

[MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS](#)

[BUSINESS](#)

[REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT](#)

[MANAGEMENT](#)

[CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS](#)

[PRINCIPAL AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS](#)

[DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK](#)

[DESCRIPTION OF CREDIT AGREEMENT](#)

[SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE](#)

[MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS FOR NON-UNITED STATES HOLDERS](#)

[UNDERWRITING](#)

[LEGAL MATTERS](#)

[EXPERTS](#)

[WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION](#)

[INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS](#)

[DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND MARCH 31, 2005 \(In thousands, except share amounts\) \(Unaudited\)](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF](#)

[INCOME THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2005 \(In thousands, except per share amounts\) \(Unaudited\)](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF](#)

[CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 \(In thousands\) \(Unaudited\)](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF](#)

[CASH FLOWS THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2005 \(In thousands\) \(Unaudited\)](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED](#)

[CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2005 \(In thousands, except share amounts\)](#)

[REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS DECEMBER](#)

[31, 2003 AND 2004 \(In thousands, except share amounts\)](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS](#)

[YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2003 AND 2004 \(In thousands, except per share amounts\)](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN](#)

[STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2003 AND 2004 \(In thousands\)](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS](#)

[YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2003 AND 2004 \(In thousands\)](#)

[LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL](#)

[STATEMENTS YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2003 AND 2004 \(In thousands, except share amounts\)](#)

[PART II INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN THE PROSPECTUS](#)

[SIGNATURES](#)

[EXHIBIT INDEX](#)

NUMBER

SHARES

LE

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION

INCORPORATED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY
COMMON STOCK

CUSIP

SEE REVERSE FOR
CERTAIN DEFINITIONS
533535 10 0

THIS CERTIFIES THAT:

IS THE OWNER OF

FULLY PAID AND NON-ASSESSABLE SHARES OF COMMON STOCK OF NO PAR VALUE EACH OF

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION

transferable on the books of the Corporation in person or by attorney upon surrender of this certificate duly endorsed or assigned. This certificate and the shares represented hereby are subject to the laws of the State of New Jersey, and to the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws of the Corporation, as now or hereafter amended. This certificate is not valid until countersigned by the Transfer Agent and Registrar.

WITNESS the facsimile seal of the Corporation and the facsimile signature of its duly authorized officers

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION
CORPORATE SEAL
2003
NEW JERSEY

DATED:

COUNTERSIGNED:

CONTINENTAL STOCK TRANSFER & TRUST COMPANY
JERSEY CITY, NJ
TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

/s/ Alexandra M. Luster
SECRETARY

BY:

/s/ David F. Carney
CHAIRMAN AND CEO

AUTHORIZED OFFICER



The following abbreviations, when used in the inscription on the face of this certificate, shall be construed as though they were written out in full according to applicable laws or regulations:

TEN COM – as tenants in common
TEN ENT – as tenants by the entireties
JT TEN – as joint tenants with rights of survivorship and not as tenants in common

UNIF GIFT MIN ACT -

Custodian
(Cust) (Minor)
under Uniform Gifts to Minors Act

(State)

Additional abbreviations may also be used though not in the above list.

For Value Received, _____ hereby sell, assign and transfer unto

PLEASE INSERT SOCIAL SECURITY OR OTHER IDENTIFYING NUMBER OF ASSIGNEE

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPEWRITE NAME AND ADDRESS, INCLUDING ZIP CODE, OF ASSIGNER)

_____ Shares
of the stock represented by the within Certificate, and do hereby irrevocably constitute and appoint

_____ Attorney
to transfer the said stock on the books of the within named Corporation with full power of substitution in the premises.

Dated _____

NOTICE: THE SIGNATURE TO THE ASSIGNMENT MUST CORRESPOND WITH THE NAME AS WRITTEN UPON THE face OF THE CERTIFICATE IN EVERY PARTICULAR, WITHOUT ALTERATION OR ENLARGEMENT OR ANY CHANGE WHATSOEVER.

THE SIGNATURE TO THE ASSIGNMENT MUST CORRESPOND TO THE NAME AS WRITTEN UPON THE face OF THIS CERTIFICATE IN EVERY PARTICULAR, WITHOUT ALTERATION OR ENLARGEMENT OR ANY CHANGE WHATSOEVER, AND MUST BE GUARANTEED BY A COMMERCIAL BANK OR TRUST COMPANY OR A MEMBER FIRM OF A NATIONAL OR REGIONAL OR OTHER RECOGNIZED STOCK EXCHANGE IN CONFORMANCE WITH A SIGNATURE GUARANTEE MEDALLION PROGRAM.

COLUMBIA FINANCIAL PRINTING CO., P.O. BOX 218 BETHPAGE, NY 11714
www.stockinformation.com

Form of Legal Opinion

[Letterhead of Lindabury, McCormick & Estabrook, P.A.]

June 21, 2005

Lincoln Educational Services Corporation
200 Executive Drive, Suite 340
West Orange, New Jersey 07052

Lincoln Educational Services Corporation
Initial Public Offering
of 4,000,000 shares of common stock

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We serve as special New Jersey counsel to Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, a New Jersey corporation (the "Company"). This opinion is furnished to you in connection with the purchase and sale of an aggregate of 4,000,000 shares (the "Shares") of the Company's common stock, no par value (the "Common Stock"), pursuant to the form of Purchase Agreement filed as Exhibit 1.1 of the Registration Statement (the "Purchase Agreement"), among the Company, the selling shareholders named therein and the underwriters named therein. This opinion is furnished to you in accordance with the requirements of Item 601(b)(5) of Regulation S-K under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act").

In that connection, we have reviewed originals or copies of the following documents (the "Documents"):

- (a) The Purchase Agreement, filed as Exhibit 1.1 of the Registration Statement.
 - (b) The registration statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-123644) filed by the Company under the Securities Act, with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") on March 29, 2005, and amendment nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4 thereto filed by the Company with the Commission on May 6, 2005, May 25, 2005, June 7, 2005 and June 21, 2005, respectively, and copies of the related prospectus (the registration statement as amended at the time it became effective, including the information deemed to be a part thereof at the time of effectiveness pursuant to Rule 430A under the Securities Act, being hereinafter referred to as the "Registration Statement").
-

- (c) The certificate of incorporation and by-laws of the Company, as amended through June 7, 2005.
- (d) a specimen certificate representing the Shares.
- (e) Originals or copies of such other corporate records of the Company, certificates of public officials and of officers of the Company and agreements and other documents as we have deemed necessary as a basis for the opinions expressed below, including resolutions of the Board of Directors of the Company relating to the issuance and sale of the Shares.

In our review of the Documents, we have assumed:

- (a) The genuineness of all signatures.
- (b) The authenticity of the originals of the documents submitted to us.
- (c) The conformity to authentic originals of any documents submitted to us as copies.
- (d) As to matters of fact, the truthfulness of the representations made in the Purchase Agreement and the other Documents and in certificates of public officials and officers of the Company.

We have not independently established the validity of the foregoing assumptions.

Members of our firm are admitted to practice law in the State of New Jersey, and we do not express any opinion as to the laws of any other jurisdiction.

Based upon the foregoing and upon such other investigation as we have deemed necessary, we are of the opinion that when issued, sold, and paid for in the manner described in the Registration Statement, the Shares will be duly authorized, legally and validly issued, fully paid, and nonassessable.

This opinion letter is rendered to you in connection with the transactions contemplated by the Documents. This opinion letter may not be relied upon by you for any other purpose without our prior written consent. This opinion letter speaks only as of the date hereof. We expressly disclaim any responsibility to advise you of any development or circumstance of any kind, including any change of law or fact, that may occur after the date of this opinion letter that might affect the opinions expressed herein.

We hereby consent to the filing of this opinion with the Commission as an exhibit to the Registration Statement. We also consent to the reference to our firm under the heading "Legal Matters" in the Registration Statement. In giving this consent, we do not hereby admit that we are included in the category of persons whose consent is required under Section 7 of the Securities Act or the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Very truly yours,

LINDABURY, MCCORMICK & ESTABROOK, P.A.

STOCKHOLDERS' AGREEMENT

among

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION,

BACK TO SCHOOL ACQUISITION, L.L.C.,

STEVEN W. HART

And

STEVEN W. HART 2003 GRANTOR RETAINED ANNUITY TRUST

Dated as of June __, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE I
DEFINITIONS

SECTION 1.01. Certain Defined Terms

ARTICLE II
CERTAIN AGREEMENTS

SECTION 2.01. Voting

SECTION 2.02. Company Financial Statements

ARTICLE III
RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER

SECTION 3.01. General Restriction

SECTION 3.02. Legends

SECTION 3.03. Certain Restrictions on Transfer

SECTION 3.04. Rights to Participate in Certain Sales

SECTION 3.05. "Drag-Along" Rights

SECTION 3.06. Certain Persons to Execute Agreement

SECTION 3.07. Improper Sale or Encumbrance

ARTICLE IV
REGISTRATION RIGHTS

SECTION 4.01. Incidental Registration

SECTION 4.02. Furnish Information

SECTION 4.03. Expenses of Registration

SECTION 4.04. Underwriting Requirements

SECTION 4.05. Registration Procedures

SECTION 4.06. Rule 144 Information

SECTION 4.07. Indemnification

ARTICLE V
MISCELLANEOUS

SECTION 5.01. Termination

SECTION 5.02. Conflict with Certificate of Incorporation or By-Laws

SECTION 5.03. Expenses

SECTION 5.04. Notices

SECTION 5.05. Public Announcements

SECTION 5.06. Headings

SECTION 5.07. Severability

SECTION 5.08. Entire Agreement

[SECTION 5.09. Assignment](#)

[SECTION 5.10. No Third Party Beneficiaries](#)

[SECTION 5.11. Amendment](#)

[SECTION 5.12. Governing Law](#)

[SECTION 5.13. Counterparts](#)

[SECTION 5.14. Specific Performance](#)

[SECTION 5.15. Waiver of Jury Trial](#)

STOCKHOLDERS' AGREEMENT, dated as of June ___, 2005 among LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation (the "Company"); BACK TO SCHOOL ACQUISITION, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company ("Stonington"); STEVEN W. HART and STEVEN W. HART 2003 GRANTOR ANNUITY TRUST (the "Hart Trust").

WHEREAS, by a Stock Option and Consulting Agreement, dated June 21, 1999, between the Company and Steven W. Hart, the Company granted an option to Steven W. Hart to acquire 1,615 new shares of Common Stock of the Company ("Common Stock"), which now constitutes an option to acquire 161,500 new shares of Common Stock as a result of a stock split effected by the Company (the "S. Hart Option"); and

WHEREAS, by an Assignment of Stock Options of Lincoln Educational Services Corp., Inc. dated December 24, 2003 between Steven W. Hart and the Hart Trust, Steven W. Hart assigned to the Hart Trust all of his rights, title and interest as to 100,000 of the options included in the S. Hart Option; and

WHEREAS, as a condition to the exercise of part of the S. Hart Option and in order to make certain agreements with respect to their respective rights and obligations as holders of Common Stock, the parties hereto have determined that it is in their best interests to enter into this Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the mutual agreements and covenants hereinafter set forth, the parties hereto hereby agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

DEFINITIONS

SECTION 1.01. Certain Defined Terms. As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

"Affiliate" means, with respect to any specified Person, any other Person that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls or is controlled by, or is under common control with, such specified Person.

"Agreement" or "this Agreement" means this Stockholders' Agreement, dated as of June ___, 2005, among the Company and each of the other parties signatory hereto, and all amendments hereto made in accordance with the provisions of Section 5.11.

"beneficial owner" or "beneficially own" has the meaning given such term in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act.

"Board" means the Board of Directors of the Company.

"Business Day" means any day that is not a Saturday, a Sunday or other day on which banks are required or authorized by law to be closed in the City of New York.

“By-Laws” means the Company’s by-laws, as in effect from time to time.

“Cash Equivalents” means (a) marketable direct obligations issued or unconditionally guaranteed by the United States government or issued by any agency thereof and backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, in each case maturing within one year from the date of acquisition thereof; (b) marketable direct obligations issued by any state of the United States or any political subdivision of any such state or any public instrumentality thereof maturing within one year from the date of acquisition thereof and, at the time of acquisition, having the highest rating obtainable from either Standard & Poor’s Ratings Services, a Division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (“S&P”) or Moody’s Investors Service, Inc.; or (c) commercial paper maturing not more than one year from the date of issuance thereof and, at the time of acquisition, having the highest rating obtainable from either S&P or Moody’s Investors Service, Inc.

“Certificate of Incorporation” means the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation as in effect from time to time.

“Commission” means the Securities and Exchange Commission, and any successor commission or agency having similar powers.

“Common Stock” has the meaning specified in the recitals to this Agreement.

“Company” has the meaning specified in the preamble to this Agreement.

“Control” (including the terms “controlled by” and “under common control with”), with respect to the relationship between or among two or more Persons, means the possession, directly or indirectly or as trustee or executor, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the affairs or management of a Person, whether through the ownership of voting securities, as trustee or executor, by contract or otherwise, including, without limitation, the ownership, directly or indirectly, of securities having the power to elect a majority of the board of directors or similar body governing the affairs of such Person.

“Encumbrance” means any security interest, pledge, mortgage, lien (including, without limitation, environmental and tax liens), charge, encumbrance, adverse claim, preferential arrangement or restriction of any kind, including, without limitation, any restriction on the use, voting, transfer, receipt of income or other exercise of any attributes of ownership.

“Exchange Act” means the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder.

“Fair Value” means (a) as to publicly traded securities, the average of the daily closing prices or last reported sales price, as applicable, or if closing prices or last reported sales prices are not available, the average of the highest reported bid and the lowest reported asked price, for the ten (10) consecutive trading days ending on the most recent trading day prior to the date of determination, and (b) as to other assets, the fair market value of such assets determined in good faith by an independent nationally

recognized investment banking firm selected by the Company and approved (which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld) by (i) Steven W. Hart, the Hart Trust and their Permitted Transferees and (ii) Stonington and its Affiliates, by action of the majority in number of Fully Diluted Shares held by each such group, and which investment banking firm shall have provided no material services to the Company or any Stockholder within the preceding year.

“Fully Diluted Shares” means the aggregate of (a) the number of Shares issued and outstanding (other than Shares held in the treasury of the Company or held by any Subsidiary) and (b) the number of Shares issuable upon (i) the exercise of any then outstanding options, warrants or similar instruments (other than such instruments held by the Company or any Subsidiary) and (ii) the exercise of any conversion or exchange rights with respect to any outstanding securities or instruments (other than such securities or instruments held by the Company or any Subsidiary).

“Marketable Securities” means securities that are (a) (i) securities of or other interests in any Person that are traded on a national securities exchange, reported on the Nasdaq Stock Market System or otherwise actively traded over-the-counter or (ii) debt securities of an issuer that has debt or equity securities that are so traded or so reported on and which a nationally recognized securities firm has agreed to make a market in, and (b) not subject to restrictions on transfer as a result of any applicable contractual provisions or the provisions of the Securities Act or, if subject to such restrictions under the Securities Act, are also subject to registration rights reasonably acceptable to Stonington.

“Other Stockholder” means any of Steven W. Hart, the Hart Trust and any of their Permitted Transferees.

“Permitted Transferee” means, in the case of Steven W. Hart or the Hart Trust, (a) any of their Affiliates or, in the case of Steven W. Hart, members of his immediate family, and (b) any Person with respect to which the Stockholders agree that they have no objection if a Sale of Shares is made to such Person.

“Person” means any individual, partnership, firm, corporation, limited liability company, association, trust, unincorporated organization or other entity, as well as any syndicate or group that would be deemed to be a person under Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act.

“Public Company” means that, as of the date of determination, the shares of Common Stock that have been sold in Public Offerings shall equal not less than 10% of the Fully Diluted Shares.

“Public Offering” means an underwritten public offering of equity securities of the Company pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act.

“Registrable Shares” means the Shares, including the Shares issued or issuable pursuant to the S. Hart Option, and any securities issued or issuable with respect to any Shares by way of conversion, exchange, replacement, stock dividend, stock split or other distribution or in connection with a combination of shares, recapitalization, merger,

consolidation or other reorganization or otherwise. For purposes of this Agreement, any Registrable Shares shall cease to be Registrable Shares when (a) a registration statement covering such Registrable Shares has been declared effective and such Registrable Shares have been disposed of pursuant to such effective registration statement, (b) such Registrable Shares are sold by a Person in a transaction in which the rights under the provisions of this Agreement are not assigned or (c) such Registrable Shares are sold pursuant to Rule 144(k) (or any similar provision then in force, but not Rule 144A) under the Securities Act without registration under the Securities Act.

“Restricted Shares” means all Shares other than (a) Shares that have been registered under a registration statement pursuant to the Securities Act; (b) Shares with respect to which a Sale has been made in reliance upon, and in accordance with, Rule 144; or (c) Shares with respect to which the holder thereof shall have delivered to the Company either (i) a written opinion, in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to the Company, of counsel, who shall be reasonably satisfactory to the Company, or (ii) a “no action” letter from the Commission, to the effect that subsequent transfers of such Shares may be effected without registration under the Securities Act.

“Rule 144” means Rule 144 (or any successor provision) under the Securities Act.

“Rule 144 Transaction” means any Sale of Shares made in reliance upon, and in accordance with, Rule 144.

“Sale” means any sale, assignment, transfer, distribution or other disposition of Shares or of a participation or other right therein, whether voluntarily or by operation of law.

“Securities Act” means the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder.

“Share” means any share of Common Stock.

“S. Hart Option” has the meaning specified in the recitals to this Agreement.

“Stockholder” means each Person (other than the Company) who or which shall be a party to this Agreement, whether in connection with the execution and delivery hereof as of the date hereof, pursuant to Section 3.06 or otherwise, so long as such Person shall own, beneficially or of record, any Shares.

“Subsidiary” or “Subsidiaries” of any Person means any corporation, partnership, joint venture, association or other entity, all of the capital stock or other similar equity interests of which, are owned beneficially and of record by such Person directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries.

“Stonington” has the meaning specified in the preamble to this Agreement.

“Third Party” means, with respect to any Stockholder, any Person, other than (i) the Company, (ii) any Subsidiary of the Company or (iii) any Affiliate of such

Stockholder or, in the case of Steven W. Hart or the Hart Trust, any Person described in clause (a) of the definition of Permitted Transferee.

ARTICLE II

CERTAIN AGREEMENTS

SECTION 2.01. Voting. Steven W. Hart and the Hart Trust hereby agree to vote all Shares owned or held of record by each of them as directed by Stonington at any annual or special stockholders' meeting, or to take all actions by written consent in lieu of any such meeting as directed by Stonington.

SECTION 2.02. Company Financial Statements. For such time as Steven W. Hart, the Hart Trust and their Permitted Transferees (other than the Persons described in clause (b) of the definition of Permitted Transferee) hold any Shares, the Company agrees to provide each of them with (i) unaudited quarterly financial statements of the Company within 45 days of the end of each fiscal quarter of the Company and (ii) audited financial statements of the Company within 90 days of the end of each fiscal year of the Company.

ARTICLE III

RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER

SECTION 3.01. General Restriction. The Other Stockholders agree that they will not, directly or indirectly, make or solicit any Sale of, or create, incur, solicit or assume any Encumbrance with respect to, any Share, except in compliance with the Securities Act and this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Steven W. Hart, the Hart Trust and their Permitted Transferees may make or solicit sales to Permitted Transferees, subject to compliance with this Article III.

SECTION 3.02. Legends. (a) The Company shall affix to each certificate evidencing Shares of the Other Stockholders a legend in substantially the following form:

THE SECURITIES EVIDENCED BY THIS CERTIFICATE HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED. NO REGISTRATION OF TRANSFER OF SUCH SECURITIES WILL BE MADE ON THE BOOKS OF THE ISSUER UNLESS SUCH TRANSFER IS MADE IN CONNECTION WITH AN EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER SUCH ACT OR PURSUANT TO AN EXEMPTION FROM THE REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS OF SUCH ACT OR SUCH ACT DOES NOT APPLY.

THE SECURITIES EVIDENCED BY THIS CERTIFICATE ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER AS SET FORTH IN A STOCKHOLDERS' AGREEMENT, DATED AS OF JUNE ____, 2005, AS IT MAY THEREAFTER BE AMENDED, A COPY OF WHICH IS ON FILE AT THE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES OF THE ISSUER. NO REGISTRATION OF

TRANSFER OF SUCH SECURITIES WILL BE MADE ON THE BOOKS OF THE ISSUER UNLESS AND UNTIL SUCH RESTRICTIONS SHALL HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH.

(b) The Company shall affix to each certificate evidencing Shares of any Stockholder a legend in substantially the following form:

THE HOLDER OF THE SECURITIES EVIDENCED BY THIS CERTIFICATE IS ENTITLED TO CERTAIN RIGHTS AND SUBJECT TO CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS AS SET FORTH IN A STOCKHOLDERS' AGREEMENT, DATED AS OF JUNE ____, 2005, AS IT MAY THEREAFTER BE AMENDED, A COPY OF WHICH IS ON FILE AT THE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES OF THE ISSUER.

(c) In the event that any Shares shall cease to be Restricted Shares, the Company shall, upon the written request of the holder thereof, issue to such holder a new certificate evidencing such Shares without the first paragraph of the legend required by Section 3.02(a) endorsed thereon; provided, however, that such holder shall furnish the Company or its transfer agent such certificates, legal opinions or other information as the Company or its transfer agent may reasonably require to confirm that the legend is not required on such certificate. In the event that any Shares shall cease to be subject to the restrictions on transfer set forth in this Agreement, the Company shall, upon the written request of the holder thereof, issue to such holder a new certificate evidencing such Shares without the second paragraph of the legend required by Section 3.02(a). In the event that any Shares shall cease to be entitled to any rights and subject to any obligations set forth in this Agreement, the Company shall, upon the written request of the holder thereof, issue to such holder a new certificate evidencing such Shares without the legend required by Section 3.02(b).

SECTION 3.03. Certain Restrictions on Transfer. (a) So long as Stonington and/or its Affiliates own at least twenty percent (20%) of the then outstanding Shares, each Other Stockholder agrees that such Other Stockholder will not, directly or indirectly, make any Sale of, or create, incur, or assume any Encumbrance with respect to, any Shares held by such Other Stockholder other than any Sale or Encumbrance to a Permitted Transferee (other than the Persons described in clause (b) of the definition of Permitted Transferee), without first granting Stonington and/or its Affiliates, the option to acquire those Shares in accordance with this Section 3.03.

(b) If an Other Stockholder receives a bona fide offer that such Other Stockholder desires to accept from a Third Party with respect to the Sale of such Other Stockholder's Shares, such Other Stockholder shall give to Stonington written notice (the "Transfer Notice") of such proposed Sale, specifying the proposed transferee, the number of Shares proposed to be disposed of, the proposed purchase price to be received in exchange therefor, and the other material terms of the proposed Sale. Delivery of the Transfer Notice to Stonington shall constitute an irrevocable offer to sell such Shares to Stonington on the terms and conditions set forth therein; provided, however, that if the proposed purchase price set out in the Transfer Notice is other than all cash, such Other Stockholder shall accept an amount of cash equivalent to the Fair Value of such non-cash consideration, such Fair Value to be determined at the cost of the Company.

(c) Stonington shall have the right, exercisable by written notice given to such Other Stockholder within ten (10) Business Days after receipt of such Transfer Notice, to purchase (or to cause an Affiliate of Stonington to purchase) all, but not less than all, of the Shares specified in such Transfer Notice at the purchase price and on the other terms set forth therein.

(d) If Stonington exercises its right of first refusal pursuant to this Section 3.03, the closing of the purchase of the Shares with respect to which such right has been exercised shall take place within five Business Days after Stonington gives notice of such exercise. If Stonington does not exercise its right of first refusal pursuant to this Section 3.03 within the time specified for such exercise, the Other Stockholder shall be free during the 90-day period following the expiration of such time to sell the Shares specified in such Transfer Notice to the Person specified therein for the consideration (or at any price in excess thereof) and on substantially the same terms (or on other terms more favorable to the Other Stockholder) specified therein.

SECTION 3.04. Rights to Participate in Certain Sales. (a) (i) Neither Stonington nor any of its Affiliates shall, in one or more transactions, directly or indirectly, make any Sale of Shares held by them constituting more than forty-five percent (45%) of the then outstanding Shares to any Third Party or Third Parties, unless the terms and conditions of such Sale shall include an offer to include, at the option of the Other Stockholders, in such Sale to the Third Party or Third Parties, the number of Shares then owned by such Other Stockholders, as determined by Section 3.04(a)(iii).

(ii) If Stonington and/or any of its Affiliates receives from a Third Party or Third Parties a bona fide offer or offers to purchase or otherwise acquire (for purposes of this Section an “Offer”) any Shares held by Stonington or such Affiliates (for purposes of this Section 3.04, the “Offered Shares”) that, together with Shares previously disposed of by Stonington or any of such Affiliates to Third Parties aggregate more than forty-five percent (45%) of the then outstanding Shares (such Shares, together with the Offered Shares, for purposes of this Section 3.04, the “Tag-Along Shares”), and Stonington and/or such Affiliates intends to sell such Offered Shares to such Third Party or Third Parties, then Stonington and such Affiliate (for purposes of this Section 3.04, the “Prospective Sellers”) shall jointly provide written notice (for purposes of this Section 3.04, the “Offer Notice”) of such Offer to each of the Other Stockholders not later than thirty (30) days prior to the consummation of the Sale contemplated by the Offer. The Offer Notice shall identify the Offered Shares, the price offered for such Offered Shares (for purposes of this Section 3.04, the “Offer Price”), all other material terms and conditions of the Offer and, in the case of an Offer in which the consideration payable for Offered Shares consists in whole or in part of consideration other than cash, such information relating to such other consideration as may be reasonably necessary to ascertain the value of such other consideration.

(iii) Each of the Other Stockholders shall have the right and option, for the period of ten (10) days after the date the Offer Notice is given (for purposes of this Section 3.04, the “Notice Period”), to notify the Prospective Sellers of such Other Stockholder’s interest in selling or otherwise disposing of up to the Pro Rata Portion of such Other Stockholder’s Shares pursuant to the Offer. For purposes of this subsection 3.04, “Pro Rata Portion” means, with

respect to each Other Stockholder, a number of Fully Diluted Shares equal to the product of (x) the total number of Fully Diluted Shares then owned by such Other Stockholder, multiplied by (y) a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the total number of Tag-Along Shares, and the denominator of which shall be the total number of Shares owned by the Prospective Sellers on the date of the first sale of Tag-Along Shares.

(iv) Each Other Stockholder desiring to sell such Other Stockholder's Pro Rata Portion of Shares pursuant to this Section 3.04 shall, prior to the expiration of the Notice Period, provide the Prospective Sellers with a written notice specifying the number of Shares as to which such Other Stockholder has an interest in selling or otherwise disposing of pursuant to the Offer (for purposes of this Section 3.04, a "Notice of Interest"), and shall deliver to the Prospective Sellers, to be held in trust, (A) the certificate or certificates evidencing the Shares to be sold or otherwise disposed of by such Other Stockholder duly endorsed in blank or accompanied by written instruments of transfer in form reasonably satisfactory to the Prospective Sellers executed by such Other Stockholder; (B) an instrument of assignment reasonably satisfactory to the Prospective Sellers assigning, as of the consummation of the Sale to the Third Party or Third Parties, all of such Stockholder's rights under this Agreement with respect to the Shares to be sold or otherwise disposed of (to the extent assignable); (C) a special irrevocable power-of-attorney authorizing the Prospective Sellers, on behalf of such Other Stockholder, to sell or otherwise dispose of such Shares pursuant to the terms of the Offer (at a price equal to the Offer Price) and to take all such actions as shall be necessary or appropriate in order to consummate such Sale; provided, however, that the Prospective Sellers shall not have the authority to incur or create liabilities or to give representations or warranties on behalf of such Other Stockholder; and (D) wire transfer instruction for payment of the purchase price (if cash) for the purchase of the Other Stockholder's Shares. Delivery of such certificate or certificates evidencing the Shares to be sold, the instrument of assignment, the special irrevocable power-of-attorney authorizing the Prospective Sellers and wire transfer instructions, on behalf of such Other Stockholder, to sell or otherwise dispose of such Shares shall constitute an irrevocable election by such Other Stockholder to authorize and permit the Prospective Sellers to sell such Shares, on behalf of such Other Stockholder, pursuant to the Offer. The Prospective Sellers shall cause the Third Party to whom or which the Shares of the Other Stockholders are being sold or otherwise disposed of to deliver the appropriate purchase price for the purchase of such Shares to the Other Stockholders, against delivery of the Shares being sold or otherwise disposed of.

(v) Each Stockholder shall bear such Stockholder's own expenses in connection with any such Sale pursuant to this Section 3.04.

(vi) If at the end of the Notice Period any Other Stockholder shall not have given a Notice of Interest (and delivered all other required documents) with respect to some or all of such Other Stockholder's Shares, such Other Stockholder will be deemed to have waived all of such Other Stockholder's rights under this Section 3.04 with respect to the portion of such Other Stockholder's Shares for which a Notice of Interest shall not have been given. If, at the end of the 180-day period following the giving of the Offer Notice, the Prospective Sellers shall not have completed the Sale of all the Offered Shares and the Shares with respect to which any Other Stockholders shall have given Notices of Interest pursuant to this Section 3.04, the Prospective Sellers shall return to such Other Stockholders all certificates evidencing the unsold Shares that such Other Stockholders delivered for Sale pursuant to this Section 3.04 and such

Other Stockholders' related instruments of assignment and powers-of-attorney and the Prospective Sellers shall not consummate the Sale with such Third Party or Third Parties without again complying with the terms and procedures set forth in this Section 3.04, including providing to the Other Stockholders another Offer Notice.

(vii) Except as expressly provided in this Section 3.04, no Prospective Seller shall have any obligation to any Other Stockholder with respect to the Sale of any Shares owned by any Other Stockholder in connection with this Section 3.04. Anything herein to the contrary notwithstanding and irrespective of whether any Notice of Interest shall have been given, no Prospective Seller shall have any obligation to any Other Stockholder to sell or otherwise dispose of any Offered Shares pursuant to this Section 3.04 as a result of any decision by such Prospective Seller not to accept or consummate any Offer or Sale with respect to the Offered Shares (it being understood that any and all such decisions shall be made by such Prospective Seller in its sole discretion). Except as otherwise permitted herein, no Other Stockholder shall be entitled to sell or otherwise dispose of Shares directly to any Third Party or Third Parties pursuant to an Offer (it being understood that all such Sales shall be made only on the terms and pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Section 3.04).

(b) Anything in this Section 3.04 to the contrary notwithstanding, in the event that Stonington and/or its Affiliates shall exercise their rights under Section 3.05, the Other Stockholders shall thereafter have no right pursuant to this Section 3.04 to participate in any Sale pursuant to this Section 3.04 with respect to the Sale the subject of Section 3.05. Nothing in this Section 3.04 shall affect any of the obligations of any of the Stockholders under any other provision of this Agreement.

SECTION 3.05. "Drag-Along" Rights. (a) If Stonington and/or any of its Affiliates shall, in any transaction or series of related transactions, directly or indirectly, propose to make a Sale of Shares constituting more than 50% of the Fully Diluted Shares (for purposes of this Section 3.05, the "Controlling Shares") to a Third Party or Third Parties (for purposes of this Section 3.05, an "Offer"), Stonington and/or such Affiliates may, at their option, require each of the Other Stockholders to sell the Pro Rata Portion of such Stockholder's Shares to such Third Party or Third Parties for the same consideration per Share and otherwise upon the same terms and conditions upon which Stonington and/or such Affiliates sell their Shares. For purposes of this Section 3.05, "Pro Rata Portion" means, with respect to each Other Stockholder, a number of Shares equal to the product of (x) the total number of Fully Diluted Shares then owned by such Other Stockholder, multiplied by (y) a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the total number of Shares proposed to be sold by Stonington and/or such Affiliates, and the denominator of which shall be the total number of Shares then owned by Stonington and/or its Affiliates.

(b) (i) Stonington and/or such Affiliates shall provide a written notice (for purposes of this Section 3.05, the "Offer Notice") of such Offer to each of the Other Stockholders not later than the fifteenth Business Day prior to the consummation of the Sale contemplated by the Offer. The Offer Notice shall contain written notice of the exercise of the "drag-along" rights of Stonington and/or its Affiliates pursuant to Section 3.05(a), setting forth the consideration per Share to be paid by the Third Party or Third Parties and the other material terms and conditions of the Offer. Within ten (10) Business Days following the date the Offer Notice is given, each of the Other Stockholders shall deliver to Stonington and/or such Affiliates,

to be held in trust, (A) the certificate or certificates evidencing the Pro Rata Portion of Shares owned or held by such Other Stockholder duly endorsed in blank or accompanied by written instruments of transfer in form reasonably satisfactory to Stonington and/or such Affiliate executed by such Other Stockholder, (B) a special irrevocable power-of-attorney authorizing Stonington and/or such Affiliate, on behalf of such Other Stockholder, to sell or otherwise dispose of such Shares pursuant to the terms of the Offer and to take all such actions as shall be necessary or appropriate in order to consummate such Sale; provided, however, that Stonington and/or such Affiliate shall not have the authority to incur or create liabilities or to give representations and warranties on behalf of such Other Stockholder, and (C) wire transfer instructions for payment of the purchase price of the Other Stockholder's Shares; provided that no Other Stockholder shall have any liability to any purchaser of the Shares pursuant to the Offer in excess of the aggregate proceeds received by such Other Stockholder in exchange for such Stockholder's Shares or in a manner that is disproportionate or different from Stonington and its Affiliates. Stonington and/or such Affiliate shall cause the Third Party to whom or which the Shares of the Stockholders are being sold or otherwise disposed to deliver the appropriate amount of immediately available funds for the purchase of such Shares to the Other Stockholders pursuant to the wire transfer instructions described in clause (C) above. If in connection with such Sale, Stonington and/or such Affiliate are to receive consideration other than cash, Cash Equivalents or Marketable Securities, each Other Stockholder shall have the right to elect to receive in lieu thereof cash or Cash Equivalents equal to the Fair Value of the consideration otherwise payable to such Other Stockholder. Such Other Stockholder shall make such election in a written notice to Stonington and/or such Affiliate within ten (10) Business Days following the date the Offer Notice is provided to such Other Stockholder.

(ii) Each Stockholder shall bear such Stockholder's own expenses incurred in connection with a Sale pursuant to this Section 3.05.

(iii) If, at the end of the 180-day period following the giving of the Offer Notice, Stonington and/or its Affiliates shall not have completed the Sale of all the Controlling Shares and the Other Stockholders' Shares delivered pursuant to Section 3.05(b)(i), Stonington and/or its Affiliates shall return to each of the Other Stockholders all certificates evidencing unsold Shares and related powers-of-attorney that such Other Stockholder delivered pursuant to this Section 3.05.

(iv) Except as expressly provided in this Section 3.05, Stonington and its Affiliates shall have no obligation to any Other Stockholder with respect to the Sale of any Shares owned by any Other Stockholder in connection with this Section 3.05. Anything herein to the contrary notwithstanding, Stonington and/or its Affiliates shall have no obligation to any Other Stockholder to sell or otherwise dispose of any Controlling Shares pursuant to this Section 3.05 as a result of any decision by Stonington and/or its Affiliates not to accept or consummate any Offer or Sale with respect to the Controlling Shares (it being understood that any and all such decisions shall be made by Stonington and/or its Affiliates in their sole discretion). No Other Stockholder shall be entitled to make any Sale of Shares directly to any Third Party pursuant to an Offer (it being understood that all such Sales shall be made only on the terms and pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Section 3.05). Nothing in this Section 3.05 shall affect any of the obligations of any of the Stockholders under any other provision of this Agreement.

SECTION 3.06. Certain Persons to Execute Agreement. (a) Each Stockholder agrees that it will not directly or indirectly make any Sale of, or create, incur or assume any Encumbrance with respect to, any Shares held by such Stockholder, unless, prior to the consummation of any such Sale or the creation, incurrence or assumption of any such Encumbrance, the Person to whom or which such Sale is proposed to be made or the Person in whose favor such Encumbrance is proposed to be created, incurred or assumed (for purposes of this Section 3.06, a “Prospective Transferee”) (i) executes and delivers to the Company an agreement, in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to the Company, whereby such Prospective Transferee confirms that, with respect to the Shares that are the subject of such Sale or Encumbrance, it shall be deemed to be a “Stockholder” for the purposes of this Agreement and agrees to be bound by all the terms of this Agreement and (ii) delivers to the Company a written opinion of counsel, satisfactory in form and substance to the Company, to the effect that the agreement referred to above that is delivered by such Prospective Transferee is a legal, valid and binding obligation of such Prospective Transferee enforceable against such Prospective Transferee in accordance with its terms. Upon the execution and delivery by such Prospective Transferee of the agreement referred to in clause (i) of the next preceding sentence and, the delivery of the opinion of counsel referred to in clause (ii) of the next preceding sentence, such Prospective Transferee shall be deemed a “Stockholder” for the purposes of this Agreement, and shall have the rights and be subject to the obligations of a Stockholder hereunder with respect to the Shares held by such Prospective Transferee or in respect of which such Encumbrance shall have been created, incurred or assumed.

(b) Anything in this Section 3.06 to the contrary notwithstanding, the provisions of this Section 3.06 will not be applicable to (i) any Sale of Shares pursuant to a Public Offering or (ii) any Sale of Shares in a Rule 144 Transaction so long as immediately prior to, and immediately after the consummation of, such Rule 144 Transaction the Company is a Public Company.

SECTION 3.07. Improper Sale or Encumbrance. Any attempt by any Stockholder to make any Sale of, or create, incur or assume any Encumbrance with respect to, any Shares not in compliance with this Agreement shall be null and void and the Company shall not give any effect in the Company’s stock records to such attempted Sale or Encumbrance.

ARTICLE IV

REGISTRATION RIGHTS

SECTION 4.01. Incidental Registration. If at any time the Company determines that it shall file a registration statement under the Securities Act (other than a registration statement on a Form S-4 or S-8 or filed in connection with an exchange offer or an offering of securities solely to the Company’s employee benefit plans) on any form that would also permit the registration of the Registrable Shares and such filing is to be on behalf of the Company and/or on behalf of selling holders of its securities for the general registration of its Common Stock to be sold for cash, the Company shall each such time promptly give each Other Stockholder written notice of such determination setting forth the date on which the Company proposes to file such registration statement, which date shall be no earlier than thirty (30) days

from the date of such notice, and advising each Other Stockholder of its right to have such Other Stockholder's Registrable Shares included in such registration; provided that the Other Stockholders shall not have any right to have their Registrable Shares included in the initial public offering of the Company if no other Stockholder has its Registrable Shares so included. Upon the written request of any Other Stockholder received by the Company no later than fifteen (15) days after the date of the Company's notice, the Company shall use all reasonable efforts to cause to be registered under the Securities Act all of the Registrable Shares that each Other Stockholder has so requested to be registered. If, in the written opinion of the managing underwriter (or, in the case of a non-underwritten offering, as reasonably determined by the Board and communicated in writing to the Stockholders), the total amount of such securities to be so registered, including such Registrable Shares, will exceed the maximum amount of the Company's securities which can be marketed (i) at a price reasonably related to the then current market value of such securities, or (ii) without otherwise materially and adversely affecting the entire offering, then the Company shall be entitled to reduce the number of Registrable Shares to be sold in the offering by the Other Stockholders, and any other stockholders of the Company exercising incidental registrations rights similar to those set forth herein, to that number which in the written opinion of the managing underwriter (or, in the case of a non-underwritten offering, as reasonably determined by the Board and communicated in writing to the Other Stockholders) would permit all such securities (including Shares held by any other stockholder of the Company who proposes to exercise such incidental registration rights) to be so marketed. Such reduction shall be allocated among the Other Stockholders in proportion (as nearly as practicable) to the amount of Registrable Shares owned by each such Other Stockholder and the number of Shares owned by any other stockholders of the Company which are sought to be included in the registration statement by such other stockholders of the Company, all measured at the time of filing the registration statement.

SECTION 4.02. Furnish Information. It shall be a condition precedent to the obligations of the Company to take any action pursuant to Section 4.01 that the Other Stockholders shall furnish to the Company such information regarding themselves, the Registrable Shares held by them, and the intended method of disposition of such securities as the Company shall reasonably request and as shall be required in connection with the action to be taken by the Company.

SECTION 4.03. Expenses of Registration. All expenses incurred in connection with each registration pursuant to Section 4.01, excluding underwriters' discounts and commissions, but including without limitation all registration, filing and qualification fees, word processing, duplicating, printers' and accounting fees (including the expenses of any special audits or "cold comfort" letters required by or incident to such performance and compliance), fees of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. or listing fees, messenger and delivery expenses, all fees and expenses of complying with state securities or blue sky laws, fees and disbursements of counsel for the Company, and the fees and disbursements of one counsel for the selling Other Stockholders (which counsel shall be selected by the Other Stockholders holding a majority in interest of the Registrable Shares being registered), shall be paid by the Company. The Other Stockholders shall bear and pay the underwriting commissions and discounts applicable to securities offered for their account in connection with any registrations, filings and qualifications made pursuant to this Agreement.

SECTION 4.04. Underwriting Requirements. In connection with any underwritten offering, the Company shall not be required under Section 4.01 to include Registrable Shares in such underwritten offering unless the Other Stockholders holding such Registrable Shares accept the terms of the underwriting of such offering that have been reasonably agreed upon between the Company and the underwriters selected by the Company and which are customary with respect to such an offering and not inconsistent with this Article IV. No such Other Stockholder shall be required to make any representations or warranties to or agreements with the Company or the underwriters other than representations, warranties or agreements regarding such Other Stockholder, such Other Stockholders' Registrable Shares and such Stockholders' intended method of distribution customarily given to underwriters and any other representation required by law.

SECTION 4.05. Registration Procedures. If and whenever the Company is required by the provisions of Section 4.01 to effect the registration of any Registrable Shares under the Securities Act, the Company shall, as expeditiously as possible:

(a) furnish to each Other Stockholder of Registrable Shares covered by such registration statement and each underwriter thereof, if any, such number of conformed copies of such registration statement and of each such amendment and supplement thereto (in each case including all exhibits), such number of copies of the prospectus contained in such registration statement filed under Rule 424 under the Securities Act, and such other documents, as such Other Stockholder and underwriter may reasonably request in order to facilitate the public sale or other disposition of such Registrable Shares;

(b) use all reasonable efforts to register or qualify all Registrable Shares covered by such registration statement under such other securities laws or blue sky laws of such jurisdictions as any Other Stockholder thereof and any underwriter thereof shall reasonably request; and

(c) notify each Other Stockholder of Registrable Shares covered by such registration statement and each underwriter thereof, if any, at any time when a prospectus relating thereto is required to be delivered under the Securities Act, upon the Company's discovery that, or upon the happening of any event of which the Company has knowledge as a result of which, the prospectus included in such registration statement, as then in effect, includes an untrue statement of material fact or omits to state any material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading in the light of the circumstances then existing, and at the request of any such Other Stockholder or such underwriter promptly prepare and furnish to such Other Stockholder or such underwriter, if any, a reasonable number of copies of a prospectus supplemented or amended so that, as thereafter delivered to the purchasers of such Registrable Shares, such prospectus shall not include an untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading in the light of the circumstances then existing.

SECTION 4.06. Rule 144 Information. With a view to making available the benefits of certain rules and regulations of the Commission which may at any time permit the sale of the Registrable Shares to the public without registration, at all times after ninety (90) days

after any registration statement covering a public offering of securities of the Company under the Securities Act shall have become effective, the Company agrees to:

- (i) make and keep public information available, as those terms are understood and defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act;
- (ii) use its reasonable efforts to file with the Commission in a timely manner all reports and other documents required of the Company under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act; and
- (iii) furnish to each Other Stockholder holding Registrable Shares forthwith upon request a written statement by the Company as to its compliance with the reporting requirements of such Rule 144 and of the Securities Act and the Exchange Act, a copy of the most recent annual or quarterly report of the Company, and such other reports and documents so filed by the Company as such Other Stockholder may reasonably request in availing itself of any rule or regulation of the Commission allowing such Other Stockholder to sell any Registrable Shares without registration.

SECTION 4.07. Indemnification. In the event any Registrable Shares are included in a registration statement under Section 4.01:

(a) The Company shall indemnify and hold harmless each Other Stockholder, such Other Stockholder's managers, directors and officers, each Person who participates in the offering of such Registrable Shares, including underwriters (as defined in the Securities Act), and each Person, if any, who controls such Stockholder or participating Person within the meaning of the Securities Act, against any losses, claims, damages or liabilities, joint or several, to which they may become subject under the Securities Act or otherwise, insofar as such losses, claims, damages or liabilities (or proceedings in respect thereof) arise out of or are based on any untrue or alleged untrue statement of any material fact contained in such registration statement on the effective date thereof (including any prospectus filed under Rule 424 under the Securities Act or any amendments or supplements thereto) or arise out of or are based upon the omission or alleged omission to state therein a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading, or any violation by the Company of the Securities Act or any rule or regulation thereunder, and shall reimburse each such Other Stockholder, such Other Stockholder's managers, directors and officers, such participating person or controlling person for any legal or other expenses reasonably incurred by them (but not in excess of expenses incurred in respect of one counsel for all of them unless there is an actual conflict of interest between any indemnified parties, which indemnified parties may be represented by separate counsel) in connection with investigating or defending any such loss, claim, damage, liability or action; provided, however, that the indemnity agreement contained in this Section 4.07(a) shall not apply to amounts paid in settlement of any such loss, claim, damage, liability or action if such settlement is effected without the consent of the Company (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld); provided further that the Company shall not be liable to any Other Stockholder, such Other Stockholder's managers, directors and officers, participating person or controlling person in any such case for any such loss, claim, damage, liability or action to the extent that it arises out of or is based upon an untrue statement or alleged untrue statement or omission or alleged omission made in connection with such registration statement, preliminary

prospectus, final prospectus or amendments or supplements thereto, in reliance upon and in conformity with written information furnished expressly for use in connection with such registration by any such Other Stockholder, such Other Stockholder's directors and officers, participating person or controlling person. Such indemnity shall remain in full force regardless of any investigation made by or on behalf of any such Other Stockholder, such Other Stockholder's directors and officers, participating person or controlling person, and shall survive the transfer of such securities by such Other Stockholder.

(b) Each Other Stockholder joining in a registration severally and not jointly shall indemnify and hold harmless the Company, each of its directors and officers, each person, if any, who controls the Company within the meaning of the Securities Act, and each agent and any underwriter for the Company (within the meaning of the Securities Act) against any losses, claims, damages or liabilities, joint or several, to which the Company or any such director, officer, controlling person, agent or underwriter may become subject, under the Securities Act or otherwise, insofar as such losses, claims, damages or liabilities (or proceedings in respect thereof) arise out of or are based upon any untrue statement or alleged untrue statement of any material fact contained in such registration statement on the effective date thereof (including any prospectus filed under Rule 424 under the Securities Act or any amendments or supplements thereto) or arise out of or are based upon the omission or alleged omission to state therein a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading, in each case to the extent, but only to the extent, that such untrue statement or alleged untrue statement or omission or alleged omission was made in such registration statement, preliminary or final prospectus, or amendments or supplements thereto, in reliance upon and in conformity with written information furnished by or on behalf of such Other Stockholder expressly for use in connection with such registration; and each such Other Stockholder shall reimburse any legal or other expenses reasonably incurred by the Company or any such director, officer, controlling person, agent or underwriter (but not in excess of expenses incurred in respect of one counsel for all of them unless there is an actual conflict of interest between any indemnified parties, which indemnified parties may be represented by separate counsel) in connection with investigating or defending any such loss, claim, damage, liability or action; provided, however, that the indemnity agreement contained in this Section 4.07(b) shall not apply to amounts paid in settlement of any such loss, claim, damage, liability or action if such settlement is effected without the consent of such Other Stockholder (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld), and provided further that the liability of each Other Stockholder hereunder shall be limited to the proportion of any such loss, claim, damage, liability or expense which is equal to the proportion that the net proceeds from the sale of the Shares sold by such Other Stockholder under such registration statement bears to the total net proceeds from the sale of all securities sold thereunder, but not in any event to exceed the net proceeds received by such Other Stockholder from the sale of Registrable Securities covered by such registration statement.

(c) Promptly after receipt by an indemnified party under this Section 4.06 of notice of the commencement of any action, such indemnified party shall, if a claim in respect thereof is to be made against any indemnifying party under this Section 4.06, notify the indemnifying party in writing of the commencement thereof and the indemnifying party shall have the right to participate in and assume the defense thereof with counsel selected by the indemnifying party and reasonably satisfactory to the indemnified party; provided, however, that an indemnified party shall have the right to retain its own counsel, with all reasonable fees and

expenses thereof to be paid by such indemnified party, and to be apprised of all progress in any proceeding the defense of which has been assumed by the indemnifying party. The failure to notify an indemnifying party promptly of the commencement of any such action, if and to the extent prejudicial to its ability to defend such action, shall relieve such indemnifying party of any liability to the indemnified party under this Section 4.07, but the omission so to notify the indemnifying party will not relieve it of any liability that it may have to any indemnified party otherwise than under this Section 4.07.

(d) To the extent any indemnification by an indemnifying party is prohibited or limited by law, the indemnifying party, in lieu of indemnifying such indemnified party, shall contribute to the amount paid or payable by such indemnified party as a result of such losses, claims, damages or liabilities in such proportion as is appropriate to reflect the relative fault of the indemnifying party and indemnified party in connection with the actions which resulted in such losses, claims, damages or liabilities, as well as any other relevant equitable considerations. The relative fault of such indemnifying party and indemnified party shall be determined by reference to, among other things, whether any action in question, including any untrue or alleged untrue statement of material fact or omission or alleged omission to state a material fact, has been made by, or relates to information supplied by, such indemnifying party or indemnified party, and the parties' relative intent, knowledge, access to information and opportunity to correct or prevent such action. The amount paid or payable by a party as a result of the losses, claims, damages or liabilities referred to above shall be deemed to include any legal or other fees or expenses reasonably incurred by such party in connection with any investigation or proceeding.

The parties hereto agree that it would not be just and equitable if contribution pursuant to this Section 4.07(d) were determined by pro rata allocation or by any other method of allocation which does not take account of the equitable considerations referred to in the immediately preceding paragraph. No person guilty of fraudulent misrepresentation (within the meaning of Section 11(f) of the Securities Act) shall be entitled to contribution from any person who was not guilty of such fraudulent misrepresentation.

ARTICLE V

MISCELLANEOUS

SECTION 5.01. Termination. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, the obligations of the parties hereto shall terminate on the date upon which the Other Stockholders or their Permitted Transferees cease to own any Shares.

SECTION 5.02. Conflict with Certificate of Incorporation or By-Laws. In the event any provision of this Agreement conflicts with any provision of the Certificate of Incorporation or the By-Laws, the terms of this Agreement shall control, and each Stockholder shall vote all Shares which such Stockholder holds of record, and shall take all actions necessary, to ensure that at all times the Certificate of Incorporation and the By-Laws do not conflict with any provision of this Agreement.

SECTION 5.03. Expenses. Except as expressly set forth herein, costs and expenses, including, without limitation, fees and disbursements of counsel, financial advisors and accountants, incurred in connection with this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby shall be paid by the Person incurring such costs and expenses.

SECTION 5.04. Notices. All notices, requests, claims, demands and other communications hereunder shall be in writing and shall be given or made (and shall be deemed to have been duly given or made upon receipt) by delivery in person, by courier service, by telecopy or by registered or certified mail (postage prepaid, return receipt requested) to the respective parties at the following addresses (or at such other address for a party as shall be specified in a notice given in accordance with this Section 5.04):

- (a) if to Steven W. Hart or the Hart Trust:

Steven W. Hart
131 Rowayton Avenue
Rowayton, CT 06851
Telecopy: (203) 857-6051

with a copy to:

Shipman & Goodwin LLP
One Constitution Plaza
Hartford, CT 06103
Telecopy: (860) 251-5311
Attention: Marcus D. Wilkinson, Esq.

- (b) if to the Company:

Lincoln Educational Services Corporation
200 Executive Drive
West Orange, NJ 07052
Telecopy: (973) 243-0841
Attention: President

with a copy to:

Stonington Partners, Inc.
767 Fifth Avenue, 48th Floor
New York, NY 10153
Telecopy: (212) 339-8585
Attention: James J. Burke, Jr.

(c) if to Stonington:

c/o Stonington Partners, Inc.
767 Fifth Avenue, 48 Floor
New York, NY 10153
Telecopy: (212) 339-8585
Attention: James J. Burke, Jr.

with a copy to:

Shearman & Sterling
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Telecopy: (212) 848-7179
Attention: Clare O'Brien, Esq.

SECTION 5.05. Public Announcements. Unless otherwise required by applicable law, no party to this Agreement shall make, or cause to be made, any press release or public announcement in respect of this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby or otherwise communicate with any news media without the prior written consent of the other party, and the parties shall cooperate as to the timing and contents of any such press release or public announcement.

SECTION 5.06. Headings. The descriptive headings contained in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only and shall not affect in any way the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement.

SECTION 5.07. Severability. If any term or other provision of this Agreement is invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced by any law, governmental regulation or public policy, all other terms and provisions of this Agreement shall nevertheless remain in full force and effect so long as the economic or legal substance of the transactions contemplated hereby is not affected in any manner materially adverse to any party. Upon such determination that any term or other provision is invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced, the parties hereto shall negotiate in good faith to modify this Agreement so as to effect the original intent of the parties as closely as possible in an acceptable manner in order that the transactions contemplated hereby are consummated as originally contemplated to the greatest extent possible.

SECTION 5.08. Entire Agreement. This Agreement and the agreements referred to herein constitute the entire agreement of the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements and undertakings, both written and oral, between the Company, Stonington, Steven W. Hart and the Hart Trust with respect to the subject matter hereof and thereof.

SECTION 5.09. Assignment. Except as expressly provided herein, this Agreement shall not be assigned without the express written consent of each of the Company, Stonington, Steven W. Hart and the Hart Trust (which consent may be granted or withheld in the sole discretion of each of the Company, Stonington, Steven W. Hart and the Hart Trust).

SECTION 5.10. No Third Party Beneficiaries. Except as set forth in Sections 2.01 and 4.07, this Agreement shall be binding upon and inure solely to the benefit of the parties hereto, their successors and their permitted assigns and nothing herein, express or implied, is intended to or shall confer upon any other Person, any legal or equitable right, benefit or remedy of any nature whatsoever under or by reason of this Agreement.

SECTION 5.11. Amendment. This Agreement may not be amended or modified except by an instrument in writing signed by, or on behalf of, each of the parties.

SECTION 5.12. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York. All actions and proceedings arising out of or relating to this Agreement shall be heard and determined in any New York state or federal court sitting in The City of New York, and the parties hereto hereby consent to the jurisdiction of such courts in any such action or proceeding.

SECTION 5.13. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, and by the different parties hereto in separate counterparts, each of which when executed shall be deemed to be an original but all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same agreement.

SECTION 5.14. Specific Performance. The parties hereto agree that irreparable damage would occur in the event any provision of this Agreement was not performed in accordance with the terms hereof and that the parties shall be entitled to specific performance of the terms hereof, in addition to any other remedy at law or equity.

SECTION 5.15. Waiver of Jury Trial. Each of the parties hereto irrevocably and unconditionally waives trial by jury in any action, proceeding or counterclaim (whether based on contract, tort or otherwise) arising out of or relating to this Agreement or the actions of the Company, Stonington, Steven W. Hart or the Hart Trust in the negotiation, administration, performance and enforcement thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be duly executed, as of the day and year first above written, by their respective authorized signatories thereunto duly authorized.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION

By _____
Name:
Title:

BACK TO SCHOOL ACQUISITION, L.L.C.

By _____
Name:
Title:

STEVEN W. HART

STEVEN W. HART 2003 GRANTOR RETAINED ANNUITY TRUST

By: _____
Trustee

By: _____
Trustee

LINCOLN TECHNICAL INSTITUTE
MANAGEMENT STOCK OPTION PLAN

(Effective January 1, 2002)

1. **Purpose.** The Lincoln Technical Institute Management Stock Option Plan (the “*Plan*”) is intended to further the best interests of Lincoln Technical Institute, Inc., a New Jersey corporation (the “*Company*”), and its subsidiaries by encouraging key employees, consultants and non-employee directors of the Company and such subsidiaries to continue their association with the Company and its subsidiaries and by providing additional incentive for unusual industry and efficiency through offering an opportunity to acquire a proprietary stake in the Company and its future growth. The Company believes that this goal may best be achieved through the grant of nonqualified stock options (the “*Nonqualified Stock Options*”) or incentive stock options intended to qualify under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “*Code*”) (the “*Incentive Stock Options*”, and together with the Nonqualified Stock Options, the “*Options*”), to purchase shares of Class A common stock, no par value, of the Company (“*Common Stock*”). Options are sometimes referred to herein as “*Awards*”.

2. **Shares Subject to Plan.** There are reserved for issue upon the exercise of Options granted under the Plan no more than 2,087,835 shares (the “*Option Shares*”) of the *Company’s* authorized Common Stock. The Option Shares, are reserved for grant as either Service Options (as defined below) or Performance Options (as defined below). If any Option granted under the Plan shall expire or terminate without having been exercised in full or cancelled in exchange for a cash or other payment, subject to the terms of Section 9 hereof, the unissued Option Shares subject thereto shall again be available for the purposes of the Plan. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued in connection with Incentive Stock Options is 1,043,917 shares, subject to such adjustments under Section 8 as may be permitted under Section 422 of the Code.

3. **Effective Date of Plan.** The Plan shall take effect on January 1, 2002 (the “*Effective Date*”). The shareholders of the Company shall duly approve the Plan within 12 months after its adoption by the Board of Directors of the Company (the “*Board*”). If such shareholder approval is not obtained, then the Plan shall be void *ab initio* and any Awards made hereunder shall be automatically rescinded.

4. **Administration of the Plan.** The Plan shall be administered by the Board or by the Compensation Committee of the Board (the “*Committee*”). The Board may authorize the Committee to exercise any and all of the powers and functions of the Board pursuant to the Plan. The interpretation and construction by the Committee or the Board of any provisions of the Plan or of any Awards granted under it shall be final and conclusive. No member of the Committee or of the Board shall be liable for any action or determination made in good faith with respect to the Plan or any Awards granted under it.

5. **Eligibility.** Options may be granted only to those key employees (“*Employees*”) consultants (“*Consultants*”) and non-employee directors (“*Directors*”) of the Company or of any subsidiary of the Company (as such term is defined in Section 425 of the Code) selected by the Board or the Committee (together, the “*Participants*”).

6. **Options.**

(a) **Grant of Options.** The Company, by action of the Board or of the Committee and subject to the provisions of this Plan, may, from time to time, grant Options to purchase Option Shares to Participants and for such number of Option Shares as may be determined by the Board or the Committee. Each grant of an Option pursuant to this Plan shall be made in writing and upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by the Board or by the Committee at the time of grant, subject to the provisions and limitations set forth in this Plan. The grant of any such Option shall be evidenced by a written agreement executed by such officer of the Company as is designated in the resolution of the Board or the Committee authorizing such Option grant, and the date thereof shall be the date of grant of such Option.

(b) **Option Price.** The per share exercise price of each Option (the “*Option Price*”) granted pursuant to this Plan shall be determined by the Board or by the Committee; *provided* that the Option Price of any Options granted on the Effective Date shall be \$3.10 per Option Share. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Option Price for an Incentive Stock Option shall be no less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of Common Stock as of the date of grant, as determined in good faith by the Committee in accordance with Section 422 of the Code.

(c) **Duration of Options.** The period for which each Option granted hereunder shall be effective shall commence upon the date of the written agreement evidencing such Option and (unless otherwise expressly specified in such written agreement) shall continue until such Option shall be terminated according to its terms or as hereinafter provided (the “*Option Period*”). Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Section 6(c) and in Section 10 hereof, an Option (whether or not exercisable) shall terminate immediately upon an Employee’s ceasing to be an employee, a Consultant’s ceasing to be a consultant or, in the case of a Director, a Director’s ceasing to be a member of the Board of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. The Option Period of any Option granted pursuant to this Plan shall terminate upon the earliest to occur of (1) the tenth anniversary of the date of the written agreement evidencing such Option and (2) the following dates:

(i) the six-month anniversary of the date upon which the Participant holding such Option ceases to be an employee, consultant or director of the Company or its subsidiaries by reason of death;

(ii) unless otherwise specifically provided in any agreement between the Participant and the Company or one of its subsidiaries, the thirty-day anniversary of the date of the Retirement or Disability (as such terms are defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement dated April 1, 2001, by and among the Company, the Management Investors (as defined therein) parties thereto and Stonington (as defined therein) (as in effect from time to time, the “*Management Stockholders Agreement*”)) of the Participant if the Participant retires or is disabled while an employee, consultant or director of the Company or any of its subsidiaries,

or the thirty-day anniversary of the date of Retirement, Disability or Involuntary Termination (as defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement) of the Participant; *provided, however*, that in the event of a Participant's termination of employment due to Retirement, Disability or Involuntary Termination, Performance Options shall terminate on the thirty-day anniversary of the later of (A) such termination of employment and (B) April 15th of the year in which such termination of employment occurred.

(iii) immediately upon a Participant's Voluntary Resignation (as defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement) or termination of employment, consultancy or directorship with the Company or any of its subsidiaries for Cause (as defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement).

(d) Non-Transferability. No Option granted pursuant to this Plan may be sold, offered, disposed of, pledged, hypothecated, encumbered or otherwise transferred by the Participant except to a deceased Participant's executors, administrators and testamentary trustees or as provided in the Management Stockholders Agreement, and, further, during the lifetime of the Participant, the Option may be exercised only by, or on behalf of, the Participant.

(e) Incentive Stock Options Granted to Certain Shareholders. No Incentive Stock Option may be issued pursuant to the Plan to any individual who, at the time the Option is granted, owns stock possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company or any parent or subsidiary (as defined in Section 424 of the Code) of the Company, unless (i) the Option Price determined as of the date of grant is at least 110% of the fair market value on the date of grant of the shares of Common Stock subject to such Option, as determined in good faith by the Board in accordance with Section 422 of the Code, and (ii) the Incentive Stock Option is not exercisable more than five years from the date of grant.

(f) Exercisability and Vesting of Options. Options granted hereunder shall be designated by the Board or the Committee as Service Options or Performance Options. Options shall become exercisable pursuant to the following terms and (except as otherwise expressly provided for in the agreement granting such Option, in any agreement between the Company and the Participant or below in this Section 6(f)) only if the Employee is an employee or the Consultant is a consultant of the Company or any of its subsidiaries (as determined pursuant to Section 10 hereof) or, in the case of a Director, the Director is a member of the Board or board of directors of any of its subsidiaries on the date on which such Option becomes exercisable. An Option (or portion thereof) which becomes exercisable pursuant to the terms of this Section 6(f) is referred to as a "*Vested Option*."

(i) The Board or the Committee may designate certain Options as Service Options ("*Service Options*"). Except as the Board or the Committee may otherwise determine, Service Options granted on the Effective Date shall vest and become exercisable with respect to 20% of the Option Shares as of the first anniversary of the date of grant and with respect to an additional 20% of the Option Shares as of each of the second, third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the date of grant; *provided* that the Employee or Consultant remains in the employ of the Company or any of its subsidiaries (as determined pursuant to Section 10 hereof) or the Director remains a member of the Board or board of directors of any of its subsidiaries; and *provided, further*, that in the event of a Change in Control (as herein defined) of the Company all

outstanding unvested Service Options held by Participants shall become fully vested and immediately exercisable on the effective date of such Change in Control.

(ii) The Board or the Committee may designate certain Options as Performance Options (“*Performance Options*”). Except as the Board or the Committee may otherwise determine, or except as expressly provided for in the agreement granting such Option, Performance Options shall vest and become exercisable at a rate of up to 20% per year subject to the achievement of predetermined EBITDA Value (as defined in Schedule I hereto) targets for each fiscal year of the Company (the “*Target EBITDA Values*”), or the achievement of cumulative EBITDA Value targets (the “*Cumulative Target EBITDA Values*”). Performance Options shall vest and become exercisable on April 15th following the year in which the Target EBITDA Values or the Cumulative Target EBITDA Values are achieved, *provided* that the Participant (A) remains in the employ of the Company or any of its subsidiaries (as determined pursuant to Section 10 hereof), or (B) is no longer in the employ of the Company or any of its subsidiaries due to an Involuntary Termination which occurred after the last day of the fiscal year of the Company. The Target EBITDA Values and the Cumulative Target EBITDA Values for a grant of Performance Options shall be established prior to the relevant date of grant. If the Target EBITDA Value for a fiscal year is not achieved, none of the Performance Options subject to vesting for such fiscal year shall become exercisable and such Performance Options shall instead remain eligible to vest and become exercisable if and when the applicable Cumulative Target EBITDA Value is achieved; *provided, further*, that each Performance Option shall become fully vested and immediately exercisable on the seventh anniversary of the date such Option was granted (the “*Anniversary Date*”), *provided* that the Participant holding such Option has remained continuously in the employ of the Company or any of its subsidiaries (as determined pursuant to Section 10 hereof) through the Anniversary Date; *provided, further*, that, in the event of a Change in Control, all outstanding Performance Options held by Participants shall become fully vested and immediately exercisable on the effective date of such Change in Control; *provided, further*, that in the event of an IPO (as defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement) Performance Options not yet vested will no longer become exercisable in accordance with this Section 6(f)(ii) and will vest and become exercisable as if they were Service Options, in the same manner as determined under clause (i) of this Section 6(f).

Subject to Sections 8 and 11 hereof, the Target EBITDA Values and the Cumulative Target EBITDA Values as established pursuant to the Plan, shall be adjusted as determined by the Board in good faith if there has been a disposition of assets representing a substantial portion of the consolidated assets of the Company, an acquisition of assets representing a substantial portion of the consolidated assets of the Company, a recapitalization or merger of the Company, or other extraordinary transaction in the preceding four quarters.

(iii) “*Change in Control*,” shall mean: (A) on or prior to an IPO (i) the merger of the Company with or into another corporation as a result of which Stonington owns less than 30% of the outstanding common stock (on a fully diluted basis, assuming exercise of all options and warrants, whether or not then exercisable) of the surviving company (or parent thereof), (ii) the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company to an entity not controlled by Stonington, or (iii) the sale (in a single transaction or series of related transactions) to an entity not controlled by Stonington of shares of Common Stock and as a result of which Stonington owns less than 30% of the outstanding Common Stock (on a fully diluted basis, assuming

exercise of all options and warrants, whether or not then exercisable); *provided, however*, that an IPO shall not constitute a Change in Control; and (B) following an IPO (1) when a “person”, as defined in Section 3(a)(9) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “*Exchange Act*”) and as used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) thereof, including a “group”, as defined in Section 13(d) and 14(d) thereof either directly or indirectly becomes the “beneficial owner” (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act) of 15% or more of either (i) the then outstanding Common Stock or (ii) the combined voting power of the then outstanding voting securities of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; *provided, however*, that the following acquisitions shall not constitute a Change in Control (i) any acquisition directly from the Company, (ii) any acquisition by the Company, or (iii) any acquisition by an employee benefit plan (or related trust) sponsored or maintained by the Company or any corporation controlled by the Company; (2) when, during any period of 24 consecutive months during the existence of the Plan, the individuals who, at the beginning of such period, constitute the Board (the “*Company Incumbent Directors*”), cease for any reason other than death to constitute at least a majority thereof; *provided, however*, that a director who was not a director at the beginning of such 24-month period shall be deemed to be a Company Incumbent Director if such director was elected by, or on the recommendation of or with the approval of at least two-thirds of the directors of the Company, who then qualified as Company Incumbent Directors; (3) when the stockholders of the Company approve a reorganization, merger or consolidation of the Company without the consent or approval of a majority of the Company Incumbent Directors; (4) the consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation of the Company with or into another entity or any other corporate reorganization, if more than 60% of the combined voting power of the continuing or surviving entity’s securities outstanding immediately after such reorganization, merger or consolidation is owned by persons who were not stockholders of the Company immediately prior to such reorganization, merger, consolidation; or (5) a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company or the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company.

(g) Procedure for Exercise and Payment for Shares. Exercise of an Option shall be made by the giving of written notice to the Company by the Participant. Such written notice shall be deemed sufficient for this purpose only if it (i) is delivered to the Company at its principal offices, (ii) states the number of Option Shares with respect to which the Option is being exercised, and (iii) states the date, no earlier than the fifth business day after, and no later than the tenth business day after, the date of such notice, upon which the Option Shares shall be purchased and payment therefor shall be made. The payments for Option Shares purchased pursuant to exercise of an Option shall be made at the principal offices of the Company. Upon (x) the exercise of any Option, in compliance with the provisions of this Section 6(g), (y) receipt by the Company of the payment for the Option Shares so purchased together with cash in the amount of (or the making of arrangements referred to in Section 13 of the Plan with respect to) any taxes required to be collected or withheld as a result of the exercise of this Option, and (z) receipt by the Company of an executed copy of the Management Stockholders Agreement (unless such Participant is already a party thereto or the Company receives such other evidence as the Company may reasonably require to ensure that the Option Shares issuable upon exercise of the Option shall be subject to the Management Stockholders Agreement), the Company shall deliver or cause to be delivered to the Participant so exercising an Option a certificate or certificates for the number of Option Shares with respect to which the Option is so exercised and payment is so made. The Option Shares shall be registered in the name of the exercising

Participant; *provided* that in no event shall any Option Shares be issued pursuant to exercise of an Option until full payment therefor shall have been made in one of the manners set forth below; and *provided, further*, that until such payment has been made, the exercising Participant shall have no rights of a shareholder. For purposes of this paragraph, the date of issuance shall be the date upon which payment in full has been received by the Company as provided herein. The exercise price shall be payable at the election of the Participant, in whole or in part, in any one or a combination of cash or "Mature Common Stock" valued at the Fair Value Price (as defined below) as of the date the notice of exercise is given. Mature Common Stock is defined as shares of Common Stock held by such Participant for more than six months.

(h) Cash-Out of Certain Options. (i) Without limiting any rights of the Company under the Management Stockholders Agreement, the Board or Committee may in its sole discretion cancel the vested portion of any Option held by a person who is at such time no longer an employee, consultant or director of the Company or its subsidiaries in exchange for a cash payment equal to the excess of (x) the Fair Value Price (as defined below) of the Option Shares subject to such Vested Option, over (y) the Option Price for such Option Shares, multiplied by the number of Option Shares subject to such cancelled Options; *provided, however*, that the exercise of the right of the Board or the Committee hereunder shall not be made in contemplation of a Change in Control or an IPO.

(ii) Without limiting any rights of the Company under the Management Stockholders Agreement, the Board or the Committee may cancel any outstanding Option in exchange for a cash payment, or in the discretion of the Board or the Committee payment of other property, to the Participant equal to the excess of (x) the fair market value (as determined in good faith by the Board) of the consideration received per Stonington Share by Stonington in any sale (by merger, stock purchase or otherwise) to a person which is not an Affiliate (as defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement) of the Company or Stonington of all the then issued and outstanding Stonington Shares (as defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement) (a "Transfer Event"), over (y) the Option Price for such Option Shares, multiplied by the number of Option Shares subject to such cancelled Options, in each case effective upon the consummation of the Transfer Event.

(iii) "Fair Value Price," shall mean, with respect to each Option Share, as of any date of determination, (X) in the event there is no public market for the Common Stock, the quotient obtained by dividing (a) the excess of (I) the product of (A) the Company's EBITDA (as defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement) for the four full fiscal quarters ending immediately preceding the date of determination, and (B) 6.0 over (II) the excess of (C) the sum of (x) the aggregate principal amount of any Indebtedness (as defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement), determined in accordance with GAAP (as defined in the Management Stockholders Agreement), as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter of the Company and (y) the aggregate liquidation value (including any accrued dividends thereon) of any outstanding preferred stock of the Company or any of its subsidiaries that is held by persons or entities other than the Company or any of its subsidiaries, determined in accordance with GAAP, as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter of the Company, over (D) the sum of the amount of cash deemed to be on hand as of the end of such quarter as a result of the assumed exercise of all outstanding Options as described in the next paragraph and the amount of cash and cash equivalents, determined in accordance with GAAP, held by the Company or

any of its subsidiaries as of the end of such quarter by (b) the number of Shares then outstanding determined on a fully diluted basis as of the end of its most recently completed fiscal quarter of the Company; *provided, however*, if there had been a disposition of assets representing a substantial portion of the consolidated assets of the Company, an acquisition of assets representing a substantial portion of the consolidated assets of the Company, a recapitalization or merger of the Company, or other extraordinary transaction in the preceding four quarters then the Fair Value Price shall be adjusted as determined by the Board in good faith or (Y) in the event of a public market for the Common Stock, the closing price of a share of Common Stock as reported on the composite tape for securities listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or such other national securities exchange as may be designated by the Board, or, in the event that the Common Stock is not listed for trading on a national securities exchange but is quoted on an automated system, on such automated system, in any such case on the date of determination (or, if there were no sales on the date of determination, the closing price of a share of Common Stock as reported on said composite tape or automated system for the most recent day during which a sale occurred).

In making calculations for purposes of subclauses (a) and (b) of clause (X) of the preceding paragraph it shall be assumed that all Options (whether or not then vested or exercisable), warrants and rights to purchase shares of Common Stock and securities convertible or exchangeable into shares of Common Stock, if any, outstanding on the date as of which the calculation is being made had been exercised, converted or exchanged on such date if the exercise price or conversion or exchange price is less than the Fair Value Price per share and any purchase price for shares of Common Stock payable upon such exercise had been paid in cash and appropriate adjustments (including without limitation the reflection of such cash exercise price and the issuance of such additional shares of Common Stock) made to the relevant balance sheet of the Company.

7. **Requirements of Law and of Certain Agreements.** If any law or any regulation of any commission or agency of competent jurisdiction shall require the Company or the exercising Participant to take any action with respect to any Option Shares, then the date upon which the Company shall issue or cause to be issued the certificate or certificates for such Option Shares shall be postponed until full compliance has been made with all such requirements of law or regulation; *provided* that the Company shall use reasonable efforts to take all necessary action to comply with such requirements of law or regulation. Further, if requested by the Company, at or before the time of the issuance of such Option Shares, the Participant shall deliver to the Company his or her written statements satisfactory in form and content to the Company, that he or she intends to hold the Option Shares so acquired by him or her for investment and not with a view to resale or other distribution thereof to the public in violation of the Securities Act or any applicable state securities or “blue sky” law. Moreover, in the event that the Company shall determine in its sole discretion that, in compliance with the Securities Act or any applicable state securities or “blue sky” law, it is necessary to register any of the Option Shares, or to qualify any such Option Shares for exemption from any of the requirements of the Securities Act or any other applicable statute or regulation, no Options may be exercised until the required action has been completed; *provided* that the Company shall use reasonable efforts to take all necessary action to comply with such requirements of law or regulation. All Option Shares shall bear the legends provided for in the Management Stockholders Agreement.

8. Adjustments. In the event of the declaration of any stock dividend on any class of shares of common stock of the Company or in the event of any reorganization, merger, consolidation, acquisition, disposition, separation, recapitalization, stock split, split-up, spin-off, combination or exchange of any such shares of Common Stock or like event, the number and/or character of the Option Shares and/or the Option Price of any Option granted under the Plan, shall be appropriately adjusted by changes in this Plan and in any Options outstanding pursuant to this Plan (including, if appropriate, by substitution of options of the successor or transferee company in the event of a merger or disposition, cash or other property for the Options) that may be deemed to be appropriate by the Committee or the Board, acting in good faith.

9. Grant of Terminated Options. If any Option (or any portion thereof) terminates as a result of an Participant's ceasing to be an Employee, Consultant or Director of the Company or its subsidiaries, the Committee or the Board may grant to any Participant other than such former Participant an additional Option or Options with respect to the unissued Option Shares previously subject to the Option (or portion thereof) so terminated at such price and on terms and conditions determined by the Board at the date of such grant.

10. Termination of Employment or Consultancy.

(a) The employment of an Employee shall not be deemed to have terminated if the Employee is an employee of the Company who is transferred to and becomes an employee of a subsidiary of the Company or, if he or she is an employee of a subsidiary of the Company, who is transferred to and becomes an employee of the Company or another subsidiary of the Company; *provided, however*, that if a subsidiary of the Company ceases to be a subsidiary, all employees of such subsidiary not theretofore transferred to and becoming employees of the Company or of another subsidiary of the Company shall be deemed to have ceased to be Employees within the meaning of this Plan on the date such subsidiary ceases to be a subsidiary of the Company.

(b) The consultancy of a Consultant shall not be deemed to have terminated if the Consultant is a Consultant of the Company who is transferred to and becomes a consultant of a subsidiary of the Company or, if he or she is a consultant of a subsidiary of the Company, who is transferred to and becomes a consultant of the Company or another subsidiary of the Company; *provided, however*, that if a subsidiary of the Company ceases to be a subsidiary, any consultants of such subsidiary not theretofore transferred to and becoming consultants of the Company or of another subsidiary of the Company shall be deemed to have ceased to be Consultants within the meaning of this Plan on the date such subsidiary ceases to be a subsidiary of the Company.

11. Termination, Amendment or Discontinuance of the Plan.

(a) This Plan shall terminate upon, and no Options shall be granted after, the close of business on January 1, 2012 unless it shall have sooner terminated by there having been granted and either fully exercised or cancelled in exchange for a cash payment Options covering the entire 2,087,835 Option Shares subject to this Plan.

(b) The Board may, insofar as permitted by law, amend, suspend, or discontinue this Plan at any time without restriction; *provided, however*, that the Board may not alter or amend or discontinue or revoke or otherwise impair any outstanding Options which have been granted pursuant to this Plan and which remain unexercised in a manner adverse to Option holders, except in an adjustment referred to in Section 8 above or in Section 11(c) below, or except in the event that there is secured the written consent of the holder of the outstanding Option proposed to be so altered or amended. Nothing contained in this paragraph, however, shall in any way condition or limit the termination of an Option as hereinabove provided where reference is made to termination of employment, consultancy or directorship of a Participant. The Option Period of any outstanding Option shall not be extended by any amendment or suspension or discontinuance of the Plan.

(c) In the event of the declaration of any stock dividend on any class of shares of common stock of the Company or in the event of any reorganization, merger, consolidation, acquisition, disposition, separation, recapitalization, stock split, split-up, spin-off, combination or exchange of any such shares of Common Stock or like event, such substitution or adjustments (including if appropriate substitution of options of the successor or transferee company in the event of a merger or disposition, cash or other property) shall be made in the aggregate number of shares reserved for issuance under the Plan, and in the vesting criteria of outstanding Options, as may be determined to be appropriate by the Committee or the Board, acting in good faith.

12. Liquidation of the Company. In the event of the complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company other than as an incident to a merger, reorganization, or other transaction referred to in Section 8 or 11(c) above, any Options remaining unexercised shall be deemed cancelled without regard to or limitation by any other provision of this Plan and each Vested Option shall be entitled to a payment in cancellation thereof equal to the excess, if any, of the amount received per Option Share in such liquidation or dissolution over the Option Price, multiplied by the number of Option Shares subject to such Option.

13. General Provisions.

(a) Nothing contained in the Plan shall prevent the Company or any subsidiary from adopting other or additional compensation arrangements for its employees, consultants or directors.

(b) The adoption of the Plan shall not confer upon any Employee any right to continued employment, any Consultant any right to continued consultancy or any Director any right to continue as a member of the Board or board of directors of any of its subsidiaries, nor shall it interfere in any way with the right of the Company or any subsidiary to terminate the employment, consultancy or directorship of any Employee, Consultant or Director at any time.

(c) No later than the date as of which an amount first becomes includible in the gross income of the Participant for Federal income tax purposes with respect to Option Shares acquired pursuant to the exercise of any Option hereunder, such Participant shall pay to the Company, or make arrangements reasonably satisfactory to the Company regarding the payment of, any Federal, state, local or foreign taxes of any kind required by law to be withheld with respect to such amount; *provided, however*, that such arrangements need not involve the advancement by the Company of any funds to, for or on behalf of any Participant or the incurrence or payment by the Company of any costs or expenses. With the approval of the Committee, a Participant may satisfy the foregoing requirements by electing to have the Company withhold from delivery shares of Common Stock having a value equal to the amount of tax to be withheld (but not in excess of the Company's minimum statutory withholding). The obligations of the Company hereunder shall be conditional on such payment or arrangements, and the Company shall, to the extent permitted by law, have the right to deduct any such taxes from any payment otherwise due to the Participant.

(d) The Plan and all Awards made and actions taken thereunder shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York without reference to the choice of law principles thereof.

SCHEDULE I

EBITDA Values

| Fiscal Year | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Target EBITDA Value (thousands) | \$ 13,000 | \$ 18,000 | \$ 23,000 | \$ 26,500 | \$ 30,000 |
| Cumulative Target EBITDA Value (thousands) | \$ 13,000 | \$ 31,000 | \$ 54,000 | \$ 80,500 | \$ 110,500 |

EBITDA Value for a fiscal year shall mean the consolidated earnings from continuing operations of the Company and its subsidiaries for such period before consolidated interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, determined in accordance with GAAP in effect on the date hereof and consistent with the principles utilized in connection with the preparation of the audited financial statements of the Company for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2002 excluding (i) extraordinary charges and gains and (ii) any charges or gains attributable to the grant or exercise of the Option

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

Exhibit 23.2

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Lincoln Educational Services Corporation
West Orange, New Jersey

We consent to the use in this Registration Statement of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation on Form S-1 of our report dated March 28, 2005 (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph related to a change in accounting method for stock based compensation) appearing in the Prospectus, which is a part of this Registration Statement, and to the references to us under the heading "Experts" in such Prospectus.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Parsippany, New Jersey
June 20, 2005

QuickLinks

[CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM](#)